CITY OF PHILADELPHIA SINKING FUND COMMISSION

In Re: Quarterly Meeting

Wednesday, January 20, 2016

- - - - -

This Meeting of the Sinking Fund Commission, held pursuant to notice in the above mentioned cause, before Angela M. King, RPR, Court Reporter - Notary Public there being present, held at Two Penn Center, 16th Floor Conference Room on the above date, commencing at approximately 11:00 a.m., pursuant to the State of Pennsylvania General Court Rules

STREHLOW & ASSOCIATES
FULL SERVICE COURT REPORTING AGENCY
54 FRIENDS LANE, SUITE 116
NEWTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA 18940

(215) 504-4622

SERVING NJ, PA, NY & DE

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | Page 2 |
|----|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | A P P E A R A N C E S |
| 2 | |
| 3 | COMMISSION MEMBERS: |
| 4 | Benjamin Gilbert, Chairman |
| 5 | Alan Butkovitz, Controller |
| 6 | Rasheia Johnson, Treasurer |
| 7 | |
| 8 | ALSO PRESENT: |
| 9 | Matthew Mazza, Executive Director |
| 10 | Christopher R. DiFusco, CIO, PGW |
| 11 | Bill Rubin |
| 12 | Ellen Berkowitz |
| 13 | Brad Woolworth |
| 14 | Adam Coleman |
| 15 | Frank Domeisen, Gallagher Advisor |
| 16 | Christiaan Brokaw, Gallagher Advisor |
| 17 | Marvin Devers, Gallagher Advisor |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | |

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | Page 3 |
|----|---|--------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | MR. GILBERT: Good morning. The Minutes | |
| 4 | of the transcript of the previous meeting | |
| 5 | has been circulated by way of email. Are | |
| 6 | there any questions or corrections or | |
| 7 | additions to the Minutes? | |
| 8 | (No response.) | |
| 9 | MR. GILBERT: Hearing none, can I have a | |
| 10 | motion to accept the Quarterly Meeting | |
| 11 | Minutes from November 18? | |
| 12 | MR. BUTKOVITZ: I move to accept the | |
| 13 | Minutes. | |
| 14 | MR. GILBERT: Is there a second? | |
| 15 | MS. JOHNSON: Second. | |
| 16 | MR. GILBERT: Any questions on the | |
| 17 | motion? | |
| 18 | (No response.) | |
| 19 | MR. GILBERT: All those in favor? | |
| 20 | (Ayes.) | |
| 21 | MR. GILBERT: Motion carries. | |
| 22 | The Quarterly Sinking Fund Statements | |
| 23 | for December 31 were also circulated by way | |
| 24 | of email. Are there any questions on those | |

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | Page 4 |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | statements? | |
| 2 | (No response.) | |
| 3 | MR. GILBERT: Hearing none, may I have a | |
| 4 | motion to accept the Sinking Fund | |
| 5 | Statements? | |
| 6 | MR. BUTKOVITZ: I'll move to accept | |
| 7 | them. | |
| 8 | MR. GILBERT: Second? | |
| 9 | MS. JOHNSON: Second. | |
| 10 | MR. GILBERT: Been properly moved and | |
| 11 | seconded. Any questions on the motion? | |
| 12 | (No response.) | |
| 13 | MR. GILBERT: All those in favor? | |
| 14 | (Ayes.) | |
| 15 | MR. GILBERT: Motion carries. | |
| 16 | The PGW Pension Plan Statements for | |
| 17 | December 31 were also circulated by way of | |
| 18 | email. Any question on those statements? | |
| 19 | (No response.) | |
| 20 | MR. GILBERT: Hearing none, may I have a | |
| 21 | motion to accept those statements? | |
| 22 | MR. BUTKOVITZ: So moved. | |
| 23 | MS. JOHNSON: Second. | |
| 24 | MR. GILBERT: Properly moved and | |

Page 5 1 seconded. All those in favor? 2 (Ayes.) 3 4 MR. GILBERT: Motion carries. Now hear from Frank Domeisen, Pension 6 Plan Investment Consultant on the performance of the plan as of December 31. 7 8 MR. DOMEISEN: Thank you. 9 Again, Frank Domeisen, Gallagher Fiduciary Advisors. Again, today with me is 10 Marvin Devers to my right. And also to my 11 12 left is Chris Brokaw who are also two 13 members of the team working on this plan. 14 So, we have a report that I've passed 15 out that we actually sent last week on the 16 13th last week. And at that point in time, we had some important numbers to share with 17 18 you and some of the market background is on 19 the first. Page numbers are on the lower left on the first eight pages. This is now 20 21 history it feels like with respect to the 22 fourth quarter. But just very, very quickly 23 and then we'll move on beyond the fourth 24 quarter market background. I will ask

Page 6 1 Marvin to talk about year to date, as well. 2 So just very quickly, I'm looking at page 1. I think one thing that was the 3 4 trigger finally that happened was the Fed 5 raising rates in the fourth quarter. Lot of our fixed -- lot of the fixed income 6 7 managers had been anticipating that and had been having maturity profiles that were 8 9 shorter than the index in anticipation of rising interest rates. Rising interest 10 rates falling on prices. We think that is a 11 12 short term issue. And looking forward, that 13 had been built into the marketplace already. On the second page we talk a little bit 14 about the high yield. There's been a lot of 15 high yield information in the press, high 16 profile bond fund. High yield bond fund 17 18 that closed. That was Third Avenue. also looking at -- if you look at the 19 20 bottom, there is potential opportunities, 21 and we will address that later as potential 22 opportunities within the high yield segment. 23 Obviously, looking at the higher quality end 24 within and -- within the high yield and also

Page 7 1 shorter maturities. 2 You don't have any direct high yield exposure. You do have two of your four bond 3 4 managers that do have the opportunity set to 5 move into some portion of high yield. 6 On the third page we talk about oil 7 prices. I don't think that's any surprise. Has a positive and negative. Moderate 8 9 concern on the negative being job losses and -- for one, potential opportunity 10 consumer spending hasn't translated into the 11 12 marketplace, but we do believe that there is some upside potential there. 13 On page 4, just mixed bag of terms that 14 the U.S. economy during the fourth quarter. 15 GDP in the third quarter grew at a 2 percent 16 annual pace. However, you know, when we 17 look on the weakness side, see weakness in 18 19 capital goods and industrial production. Consumer -- or, I'm sorry, healthcare was 20 21 off for the quarter, which is typically a 22 strong defensive segment. And weakness in 23 the commodity-oriented segments of the 24 market was slowing global growth.

Page 8 1 MR. RUBIN: Frank, can you go back to 2 page 3? When you say potential opportunities, where do you see the 3 4 potential opportunities? MR. DEVERS: The potential 6 opportunities, that really stem from the 7 ability for consumers to save at the gas pump. What economists have really expected 8 9 is that savings to translate into stronger retail sales, but it didn't materialize 10 11 until 2015 which was really a disappointment 12 to many economists and expectations for the consumer discretionary sector in the market. 13 So moving forward, the opportunity set 14 is that that could actually materialize but 15 16 also as Frank had mentioned in the high yield market, some of that disturbance from 17 18 the oil prices is causing spreads to widen 19 out. So, there is going to be potential opportunities on the credit side as well as 20 21 portfolio as investors are throwing the baby 22 out with the bath water. You are going to 23 be able to find opportunities there to pick 24 up additional yield.

Page 9 1 MR. RUBIN: Are the economists saying that the higher than expected Christmas 2 season/Holiday season could be translated 3 4 back to the lower oil prices or that no correlation? 6 MR. DEVERS: That was their expected, but the actual retail sales in the fourth 7 quarter in the Christmas season came in 8 9 below original expectations. So, that's that idea that individuals are saving 800 to 10 \$1,000 at the pump over a year. Let's go 11 12 out and spend at the retail sales, but it hasn't materialized in the effect that 13 14 retail sales are going to be higher than people originally projected. But they came 15 in lower. 16 MR. BUTKOVITZ: But what is consumer 17 I mean, do they really have that 18 19 available for spending or are they digging themselves out of debt? 20 21 MR. DIFUSCO: That was going to be mine -- we have been -- and Matt can state 22 23 to this, too, as CIOs for the big fund, he 24 and I have been looking at this for a while.

Page 10 1 We have been hearing from managers. 2 know gas has recently gone under, too. wasn't all that long ago it was three or 3 4 four gas prices have been going down 5 steadily. All the white papers or stuff 6 that I've read indicates that in past times 7 where we have seen gas prices fall, that's translated much more quickly. There's a 8 9 lag, but it's been much quickly. 10 Is there a point where you guys or others or economists conclude, hey, look, we 11 12 are kind of in a new paradigm where people 13 aren't going to spend this money? They are going to take the \$40 or whatever they save 14 a week, kind of what Alan is saying, 15 continue to pay down their house that's 16 under water, you know, pay off their student 17 loans, pay off their credit cards, save in 18 19 emergency fund. You know, are we counting too much on that gas savings definitely 20 21 translating into a consumer spend? 22 MR. DEVERS: Oh, yes. I mean, certainly there is that accounting. Certainly in 23 24 2015, what consumers have been doing is

Page 11 1 de-leveraging their mindset. But I think also what is going on is they have this --2 2008/2009 is readily stuck in individual's 3 4 heads. And so, they have this fear of going 5 through that again. And despite consumer 6 confidence being near highs, they are just not going out and spending because they are 7 de-leveraging and kind of securing their 8 9 home, so to speak. 10 So why we do it, say, it's a potential opportunity and that still could occur. 11 Ιt 12 just hasn't. And part of that is the de-leveraging effect. And it might not 13 occur, but there is that potential for it to 14 occur. And specifically, it wasn't just gas 15 prices. It's also the -- as we go through 16 the winter, the savings on heating your 17 home. Because it's not just oil, it's 18 19 natural gas prices have come down. Coal prices have come down. Energy price as a 20 21 whole have collapsed which is saving you at 22 the pump and in heating your home. But up 23 until now, it's been a more mild winter 24 which is also one of the things that drove

Page 12 1 oil prices down. 2 MR. WOOLWORTH: Can you guys just comment real quickly -- obviously, oil has 3 4 an input to overall production. It's pretty 5 significant. Gas prices although have come 6 down quite a bit, it's really been, I guess, 7 within a year. Seems to be a little bit more lag time for people to really kind of 8 9 internalize and say, hey, you know, maybe this isn't continuing in the future. 10 Can we talk about those effects to 11 12 certain segments of the economy 13 transportation, consume discretionary, et 14 cetera. 15 MR. DEVERS: So, the energy prices coming down -- and there is a lag effect to 16 it certainly. But what we have also seen is 17 18 industrial weakness throughout 2015, 19 especially in the back half. So that industrial production is really where 20 21 that -- the lower oil prices is going to be 22 at input. But industrial production is 23 driven by end user demand, consumer 24 durables, cars, refrigerators, all the big

Page 13 1 inputs, chemicals, et cetera. The weakness from the demand side in 2 industrial production is causing less 3 4 capacity utilization which therefore the 5 input of the oil costs is not driving any 6 excess gain in that segment of the economy. I mean, it's certainly saving on shipping your goods from overseas back into 8 9 the U.S. And so, there is certainly areas of savings in the profit cycle or the chain. 10 11 But it's not -- that's going to be at the 12 corporate level. It's not going to 13 translate really down into the consumer. So you know, Macy's being able to ship big 14 15 container of clothes over a few dollars 16 cheaper isn't going to translate into a lower sales price at the end result. 17 18 MR. BROKAW: And I would only add one 19 specific comment. Is that automobiles have 20 really made a come back now in 17 million 21 plus annual rate. There is some, let's say, 22 it's anecdotal that the savings on gasoline, 23 people are using that to fund new car 24 As long as interest rates purchases.

Page 14 1 continue where they are today, that that's -- that's the other side of the 2 3 equation. Interest rates stay low, financing, consumers can get access to 4 5 credit and buy those automobiles. 6 MR. DOMEISEN: I will keep moving here. 7 Talk about -- let's move to page 5, the shares with you, the equities. And again, 8 9 this is for the fourth quarter. We ended up with the S&P up 7 percent. Again, if we 10 fast forward through Friday, given the S&P 11 12 was down 7.9 percent for large gap. the -- that's reflecting kind of through 13 14 last Friday's close. 15 And moving forward to page 6, the segment to the market. Again, if you look 16 at the lower right, what we saw here was the 17 The green bars are the one 18 energy prices. 19 year number. The blue are the quarter. And so, we can see the energy price selling off 20 21 materials, as well, and industrials as we talked about before. 22 23 In terms of during the quarter, in terms 24 of style, what we're seeing is that growth

Page 15 1 outperformed value the same as last quarter, 2 is what we have seen over the past year. have seen that growth outperforming 3 value-oriented stock. For the quarter, 4 definitely a rebound again. But giving that 5 6 up and more so in the month of January to date. 7 International on page 7. 8 9 international markets, looking at the lower right, there is a rebound here. Also for 10 the quarter up 4.7 percent. Fast forwarding 11 12 through January 15, the developed markets index was off about 9 percent. Emerging 13 14 markets was the segment of the market that really was down for the -- for the trailing 15 one-year period in terms of just an update 16 quarter to date. That's off about 17 18 11 percent. 19 Moving forward, we still see -- I'm on 20 page 8. We see some opportunities in terms 21 of valuations. When we're looking at 22 develop -- on the lower left, developed 23 markets versus U.S, the valuations would 24 favor developed international markets over

Page 16 1 Within the international segment on 2 the right, given the valuations, favoring emerging markets over developed. 3 I will move over to page 9, which is the 4 5 Fixed Income. During the fourth quarter, we 6 ended up -- the yield went up actually for the 10-year Treasury 2 percent to about 7 8 2.3 percent. Rising interest rates during 9 that quarter. But however, year-to-date I think at this point, we're at about 10 2 percent for the 10-year Treasury. 11 12 it's come back down again. Rates increased across all maturities during the yield 13 curve, across the yield curve in the fourth 14 quarter. 15 And with that, let's move to the -- any 16 other comments on fixed income for 17 18 the quarter to date? We talked about 19 economy and --20 MR. DEVERS: No. Overall, I just 21 comment that credit suffered not only during 22 the quarter, but also during the year. 23 Specifically, within the high yield market. 24 High yield about 16-plus percent of that

Page 17 1 market is tied to energy. That grows 2 greater than 20-plus percent when you add in materials, as well. And those two sectors 3 4 really sold off, obviously, given what happened with commodity prices. Currently, 6 they trade at over 1,000 BPS or 10-plus percent of Treasury. That's kind of leaning 7 towards distressed in those segments. As a 8 result of the distress in those two sectors 9 on the high yield markets, it's pulling 10 11 spreads up as a whole which is actually --12 there's pockets of attractive value to be 13 had in high yield. 14 MR. WOOLWORTH: Can you comment just really quickly on the flattening of the 15 16 spread between the 10-year and 30-year bond been taking place recently? 17 18 MR. DEVERS: Yes. That's actually is 19 probably going to continue most likely. The Fed is raising the front of the curve, but 20 21 demand from overseas investors, limited 22 supply of the 30-year Treasury out in the 23 market and the demand that you're seeing 24 from underlying investors, they are -- it's

Page 18 1 going to come in and continue to push down on the back end of the curve 10-year, 2 30-year while the Fed is raising the front. 3 4 We are expecting to see flattening of the overall curve as we move forward, you 6 know. If the Fed -- and I put "if" in big 7 quotations given what's happening. If they continue to raise rates this year, certainly 8 9 the back end is going to hold steady just given the amended demand out there. We are 10 certainly still trading at a significant 11 12 premium to European rates. Then when you 13 factor in the stronger dollar, as well, the U.S. fixed income markets attractive to 14 foreign investors, and so that's going to 15 16 dampen down the longer end of the curve. MR. MAZZA: Are we still seeing chances 17 18 for two more rate hikes this year? Well, I certainly --19 MR. DEVERS: 20 MR. DIFUSCO: How about four? 21 MR. MAZZA: We have never seen the Fed 22 raise interest rates in the commodity 23 inflationary environment. So really, you 24 can argue that we have no idea what the Fed

Page 19 1 is going to do. People are saying four rate 2 hikes. People are saying two. It's never happened in an election year either. 3 4 could be a time where --MR. DEVERS: There is certainly a lot of indecisiveness in the Fed. And we talk 6 about this a lot in our research committee. 7 There is a lot of different views amongst 8 9 the members of our research committee. come out of the prospect of two. I know 10 different people are calling for four. 11 12 Ultimately, when I look at what the Fed is 13 calling for, I think they are going to be a little off. They are going to come in 14 15 under. 16 They should hold steady at the meeting this month. If this turbulence continues, 17 there is very little likelihood they are 18 19 going to raise at the March. Now you are into the summer. Liquidity ultimately comes 20 21 down in the summer as investors take a 22 little holiday. Are they going to make a 23 move during that period? If markets are 24 staying like they are, then probably not.

Page 20 1 Then you get into election. 2 So two is possible. Do I see more than two? Not very likely. Do I even see less 3 4 than two? Could be a bigger possibility. A lot of indecision in the interest rate, how 6 many times the Fed is going to go. 7 Ultimately, I don't think they hit their 8 target of being at 1-plus percent at the end 9 of the year. I think given what we have 10 seen, given how certain segments of the economy are playing out not only locally but 11 12 internationally, it's going to be a 13 challenging market for them to raise four 14 times. 15 MR. WOOLWORTH: Any thoughts that they 16 may actually cut it? MR. DEVERS: There is some talk amongst 17 not only market participants, but inside our 18 research committee, I know one of the 19 members actually sees them cutting by this 20 21 summer. So, reversing course. 22 MR. WOOLWORTH: Well, the dollar is too 23 strong. 24 MR. DEVERS: Dollar is strong. And as a

Page 21 1 result, the industrial production. What you are seeing is a bifurcation in the U.S. 2 economy where the industrial side of this, 3 4 so the manufacturing side is actually weakening. It is below 50, but the service 6 side is holding up well. So if that service side continues to hold up well, we are going to be able to 8 avoid a recession in the U.S. But if that 9 service side begins to weaken and you have 10 manufacturing, obviously, the contraction, 11 12 the probably of recession in the U.S. 13 increases greatly. MR. BUTKOVITZ: How does services hold 14 up if manufacturing contracts? 15 MR. DEVERS: The idea is that the 16 service industry or the U.S. has shifted 17 predominantly the bulk of their economy away 18 from the services. It's still IT services, 19 healthcare services, those segments are not 20 21 driven by the manufacturing side. I mean, 22 we are certainly all going to continue to 23 need --24 I mean, there is still MR. BUTKOVITZ:

Page 22 1 going to be a cut in overall demand, right? MR. DEVERS: There is going to be a cut 2 on the durable good side. 3 4 MR. BUTKOVITZ: But the total demand in 5 the economy is going to be down. MR. DEVERS: But the bulk of the demand 6 in economy is on the service side. 7 8 MR. BROKAW: I think the point is the 9 equation is 80 percent positive, 20 percent 10 with weakness. So that the 80 percent --11 So it's a net drag, MR. BUTKOVITZ: 12 right? I mean, I don't understand. So 20 percent of the economy is in 13 Is there something that is 14 contraction. 15 stimulating growth on the service side 16 that's unusual that's going to compensate for that? 17 18 MR. DEVERS: If it holds steady -- I 19 mean, GDP growth is going to be lower, but 20 it's still going to be positive. It's going 21 to be in the 1 to 1 and a half percent, 22 maybe a little lower than 1 now to 2, 2 and 23 a half. 24 MR. BUTKOVITZ: It's a slow down at the

Page 23 1 time of panic on Wall Street. So, won't that be read as a negative? 2 MR. DEVERS: Negative in --3 4 MR. BUTKOVITZ: In terms of its 5 momentum. It will be negative momentum. 6 MR. DEVERS: I'm sorry. I'm not 7 following. MR. BUTKOVITZ: China is still 8 9 absolutely in the positive side, but they 10 are below expectations. 11 MR. DEVERS: Correct. 12 Everybody is going MR. BUTKOVITZ: 13 crazy. Everybody is panicking. People are worried about whether there are symptoms of 14 a worldwide slow down and a domestic slow 15 down. So you're saying 1 or 1 and a half 16 percent of GDP growth is not going to 17 reassure people or allay those concerns --18 19 MR. DEVERS: Certainly. 20 MR. BUTKOVITZ: -- and get panic selling 21 on the stock market. So, how are you going 22 to reverse that -- how is that negative 23 momentum going to be reversed? 24 Or more to what you've said, that's not

Page 24

1 stability. That's a downward push overall. MR. DEVERS: I mean, it's a downward 2 effect on the U.S. economic growth, but it's 3 4 still positive. Now does that necessarily 5 translate into positive growth in your 6 equity market? No, not necessarily. But the idea is you still see several central 7 banks stimulating. While China, yes, is 8 9 slower; it still has a lot of leverage it can pull to drive growth higher if they 10 11 choose to pull those levers. 12 Right now the biggest thing -- and we talked about it at the last meeting. 13 biggest impact going on in China is the 14 currency issue. And their idea that do they 15 need to support the yuan and hold the peg to 16 the dollar or lessen that. What we have 17 18 seen and what we talked about in November is 19 that ultimately they're most likely going to break the peg. We've already seen the yuan 20

depreciate 10 percent this year alone.

there is elements that are taking place that

can't continue to support equity prices by

driving stimulus in other markets while the

21

22

23

24

Page 25 1 U.S. tightens a little bit. 2 MR. BUTKOVITZ: A moment ago you said that the junk bond sector is going to offer 3 opportunities for us? 4 MR. DEVERS: Correct. 6 MR. BUTKOVITZ: I've been reading about the panic about the potential for defaults 7 8 in the oil sector --9 MR. DEVERS: Yes. 10 MR. BUTKOVITZ: -- because of that. won't that spread? 11 12 MR. DEVERS: Defaults in the oil sector 13 are -- so, oil and materials make up about 20 percent of the high yield market. 14 is 80 percent of the high yield market that 15 16 is still attractive. There is company with strong balance sheets that have higher 17 interest coverage ratios that are in strong 18 19 shape to pay off their debts. 20 The idea of buying a active management 21 high yield manager to manage that portfolio 22 and to position the portfolio in those 23 companies that have the ability and will pay 24 off credit through conversations with

Page 26 1 management, understanding the business 2 model, is going to prevent -- present 3 opportunities as those sectors of the high 4 yield market are trading at 600 basis points above Treasury. Those yields are right now 6 trading at around 8 percent. MR. BUTKOVITZ: But there won't be 7 contamination by the oil defaults? 8 9 MR. DEVERS: No. Not to several sectors 10 of the economy. 11 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Well, how come there was 12 when we had the Lehman Brothers and the big 13 bank problems? That was a global recession 14 MR. DEVERS: 15 where you saw -- that wasn't triggered by oil or energy. That was triggered by the 16 lack of liquidity and the ability to get 17 loans for these companies to refinance. 18 19 then on top of that, excessive demand drying 20 up. 21 MR. BUTKOVITZ: And by panic about the 22 nontransparency of the balance sheets in the 23 banks, right? There is a concern about the 24 interrelatedness.

Page 27 1 MR. DEVERS: I mean, there is certainly the banks pulling out because of 2 Volker. Frank has limited liquidity which is 3 4 also creating the opportunity set within the high yield. But the financial system from a 6 banking standpoint is in fine shape, very 7 healthy at the bank side. That's not going to translate into the stress of the high 8 9 yield market outside of the liquidity issue. 10 The biggest stress is really tied to a few sectors, the industrial production, oil and 11 12 energy and then materials. MR. BUTKOVITZ: Why in the world is the 13 14 United States stock market tanking when we're told that there is so little direct 15 connection to China? A large part of it is 16 emotional and psychological, right? 17 irrational. 18 19 MR. DEVERS: Correct. MR. BUTKOVITZ: Why aren't we concerned 20 21 about the same kind of irrational 22 contamination in the bond market just 23 because people are primed, are tense, are on 24 the edge of their seats and they're looking

Page 28 for some evidence that books have been 1 2 cooked or that there's something wrong? does that psychology -- I see they are down 3 400 points today. They are doing well 4 5 yesterday. People tell me China is only 6 half percent of our GDP. There is no reason for it, right? 7 MR. DEVERS: No. Investors are trying 8 9 to adjust to information. They come out and 10 they often trade -- they overtrade. They'll oversell and they will overbuy. I mean, the 11 12 idea was that where we saw valuations in 13 U.S. markets, they were above one standard 14 deviation of long term averages. And so, it's going to adjust at a higher volatility, 15 a higher sensitivity to new information 16 especially when that information comes in 17 below expectations. 18 19 But that's not to say as specific to your question in the credit markets, that's 20 21 not to say credit markets can't go further 22 down and that spreads can't widen further. 23 But what we're saying is there is 24 opportunity in the current spreads.

Page 29 1 that if you're not in a position to have to trade in and out of your high yield market 2 or your portfolio, if you are able to 3 4 establish a position and hold to maturity, 5 you are going to continue to benefit from 6 the opportunity set. MR. BUTKOVITZ: I mean, Royal Bank of 7 Scotland says sell everything. Don't be in 8 9 anything in 2016. There have been a few other stock analysts who have said the same 10 thing. Essentially, you are using 11 12 conventional metrics and saying, well, there 13 is really no reason to panic. But what good is it when everybody is running for the life 14 boats saying, you know, that you are really 15 16 overreacting? MR. DEVERS: So, what is Royal Bank of 17 Scotland saying to do? Just hold cash? 18 19 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Yes. MR. DEVERS: Well, certainly, we will 20 21 see when they also decide to get back in the 22 markets and if they give up on volatility. 23 I mean, we saw this in the third quarter, 24 right? The markets were down almost 10

Page 30 1 percent, 10 plus if you look at international markets. Then we rallied back 2 8-plus percent in October. 3 4 I mean, who is to say that we don't 5 rally back 8-plus percent in February? 6 MR. BUTKOVITZ: I don't know. This is 7 the worst January in the history of the stock market. The -- we were in 8 9 unprecedented territory. How do we say it will bounce back as it normally does when 10 all of the indicators are that we are not in 11 12 normal territory and we can't afford to be 13 wrong? I mean, I don't suggest 14 MR. DEVERS: 15 that the worst one month specific to January 16 is unprecedented territory. I mean, we have seen worse months in October. We have seen 17 18 worse months in September, August. The idea 19 that we are down where we are in January, I 20 don't look at the month as an indication of 21 unprecedented territory. The idea is that the markets are 22 23 readjusting the information out of China. 24 And specifically what's going on with the

Page 31 U.S. and while the U.S. is selling off is 1 we're adjusting to lower oil prices. 2 3 oil/energy segment has been a really strong 4 segment up to the last 18, 24 months in the overall market. 6 As we were talking with Chris this morning, what's going with the market is we 7 come in every day and it's trading almost 8 9 one-to-one or very close to it with what the oil price is doing. But that oil price 10 11 doesn't materially impact several of the 12 sectors of the economy. It's irrational behavior by investors. And that creates 13 14 opportunity. 15 MR. MAZZA: Guys, we got a lot to cover. 16 MR. DEVERS: Yeah, I know. 17 MR. MAZZA: Continue, Frank. 18 MR. DOMEISEN: Let's cover the 19 performance. And I'm on page 11. And this will first address the equity side of the 20 21 investments. 22 Within the large cap, you have Rhumbline 23 and Northern Trust that are indexed to the 24 Russell 1000, so they come in line with the

Page 32 1 index. Large cap growth, you have Fred 2 Alger. They've outperformed for the I would also say since inception, 3 quarter. they have outperformed, as well. 4 During the month of October, late October, the portfolio on the value side was 6 transitioned from Cooke & Bieler to 7 O'Shaughnessy. And so, we show a footnote. 8 9 We don't have a full quarter of performance. One of the larger components of the drag and 10 overall combined large cap was from the 11 12 combination of Cooke & Bieler and then transitioning to O'Shaughnessy. We have the 13 footnote there since inception, which was 14 October 26, the end of October. And down 15 5.6 percent versus the benchmark of down 16 2 percent. So, a lot of the 17 underperformance in the combined large cap 18 19 equity came from that underperformance of O'Shaughnessy. 20 21 Some notes that we have from working and 22 talking to O'Shaughnessy, what happened in 23 the fourth quarter with respect to their 24 holdings, they had holdings in media stocks,

Page 33 1 specifically Viacom that hurt by concerns over declining viewership. With respect to 2 retailers, Bed Bath and Beyond and Macy's 3 hurt return. In the technology sector, they 4 did not own any semiconductors which were 6 positive almost 15 percent on merger 7 acquisition activity. So, they had specific holdings that hurt them. 8 9 Also with respect to financials, the underperformance was what they did not owe. 10 A segment of the market that they did not 11 12 own in the financial segment also led to 13 underperformance. We've talked to them. Part of their 14 reflection to us was that they do believe 15 they have holdings that are consistent with 16 their mandate that they looked at when they 17 presented and quality earnings growth, high 18 19 quality and earnings growth. So, it's off to a slow start which is impacting that 20 21 combined large cap. 22 MR. DIFUSCO: The only thing I'd add 23 quickly, as Frank said, Dan Falkowski is a 24 member of the investment staff for the muni

Page 34 We also have an account with 1 fund. 2 O'Shaughnessy there. He and I both were originally supposed to do an onsite. 3 4 wasn't able to go at the last minute. recently did an onsite with O'Shaughnessy. I think he would reiterate a lot of what 6 Frank has said as far as what they did hold 7 and didn't hold. 8 9 I would also add that the big fund had a similar experience in terms of timing. 10 11 came in on the big fund when we opened our 12 account in what I would describe as an inopportune time when we first opened the 13 14 account a few years ago. Their 15 lifetime-to-date numbers came back, you 16 know, relatively quickly. Their factors and their process has generally worked over 17 18 So while we are actively monitoring 19 them, obviously concern with the slow start. We have some related precedent with them 20 21 which would suggest that over the long term 22 they should -- they should turn around. 23 MR. WOOLWORTH: Yeah. Took them seven 24 months before all the sudden they switched

Page 35 1 and they were significantly above the trend for a very long time. 2 MR. DOMEISEN: I will move into the 3 4 small cap segment. Eagle, which is the small cap growth 6 mandate, we can see here the numbers on the 7 year-to-date basis are quite strong versus the benchmark. Higher quality helped them 8 9 in a down market draft. And actually since inception, their performance is positive 10 versus their benchmark. 11 12 And Vaughan Nelson that we have in the small cap value, their bias is a little bit 13 14 larger cap exposure. Larger cap 15 underperformed smaller cap. And we didn't 16 see the same return for the quarter. when you look back over the one-year and 17 even the three-year period, it would seem 18 19 quite strong out-performance. inception, they have outperformed. So when 20 21 you look at the combined small cap, we are 22 in line with the benchmark for the quarter; 23 and ahead over the longer time period. 24 Flipping the page to talk about the

Page 36 1 international. This would be page 12. the equity side, the value mandate Mondrian, 2 positive quarter for equities in the 3 4 international value space, but we did see some lag. However when you look, they are a 6 defensive manager. We have seen that 7 translate favorably over the year-to-date in one-year period. Longer term numbers since 8 9 inception, three and five years. You know, since inception providing value versus their 10 benchmark. 11 12 Harding Loevner, we have talked to them. Several times for the quarter they were 13 consistent with the benchmark, which is the 14 developed international growth index. 15 16 has been outperforming 95 percent of the peer group in that growth mandate. Here the 17 same issues we have talked about in the 18 19 fourth quarter. Part of that have been some 20 exposure to emerging markets. About 14 21 percent emerging market exposure. That's 22 provided the lag over time to them, the 23 one-year numbers. We have looked at whether 24 it makes sense to, you know, look at

Page 37 1 different options in this segment of the 2 market, the international developed and continuing to do so. 3 4 MR. MAZZA: Staff will be floating in RFP for international index manager by the 6 end of the month, so. We have some information MR. DOMEISEN: in the back of the book. You don't have to 8 9 flip there, but it does talk about the 10 active versus passive mandates specifically for the developed markets, non U.S. 11 12 developed markets versus the benchmark. What we have seen is over the last several 13 14 years that performance has been choppy relative to the benchmark, but all in all 15 behind the benchmark. So it kind of makes 16 sense for indexing for developed portion. 17 On the DFA which is the emerging market 18 19 manager, and this is for the quarter for the longer time periods in line with the 20 21 benchmark slightly ahead on the three years. 22 Since inception, they had provided value 23 added, and they are dedicated to emerging 24 market manager.

Page 38 1 On fixed income, the four managers that 2 you have are broken up. The first two are the gains, the core benchmark, the Barclays 3 Aggregate. The Barksdale is the core 4 manager and Logan Circle is a core plus. 6 Over time, the one-year number which we have here you see lagging a little bit on --7 lagging versus the benchmark, credit 8 9 exposure and duration. So, credit exposure was hurting. And the duration, which is a 10 measure of the maturity profile versus the 11 12 benchmark, they have been a little bit shorter than the benchmark anticipates in 13 14 rising rates. That didn't happen over the 15 last year. And for the current quarter was really in line with the benchmark for Logan 16 Circle and slightly behind for Barksdale, 17 which was a credit exposure. 18 On the intermediate term horizon, which 19 is one to ten years, you have Garcia 20 21 Hamilton, the core intermediate manager and Lazard. Lazard takes on a little bit more. 22 23 It's a core-plus mandate, if you will, 24 versus Garcia which is just pure guff[ph]

Page 39 1 credit. 2 You can see over the longer run, you can see out-performance. Again, it's struggled 3 again both of these managers over the last 4 5 year exposure to credit duration being shorter than the benchmark. 6 MR. MAZZA: Just to comment, Staff did have a meeting with Logan Circle partners 8 9 last month. You know, the conversation went very well. But to the Controller's point, a 10 11 large amount of the total return at Logan 12 Circle was due to high yield there. were down about 639 basis points. 13 They did say that ultimately there is an opportunity 14 there, though, due to the retail bid for 15 16 high yield bonds at this point. So while it has been a bad time for high 17 yield market, there is some significant 18 19 opportunity there. 20 MR. RUBIN: Matt, that RFP, was that 21 decided in the November meeting? 22 MR. MAZZA: That was. 23 MR. RUBIN: Okay. 24 So bottom line, the total MR. DOMEISEN:

Page 40 1 quarter was up 3 percent, and the benchmark 2 was up 3.3 percent. A lag benchmark point out on that total plan, the O'Shaughnessy 3 4 and Cooke & Bielers underperformance had an 5 impact of about 30 basis points overall. 6 you look back over the three-year period 7 where we're trying to look over three-year cycle of measuring, we're up 7.9 percent 8 9 which is in line with the target index of 10 7.9 percent. In the peer group, we did not have --11 12 there was not enough robust participants in 13 the peer group as of when we -- there is this report. However, it -- the three-year 14 number, the last three-year numbers through 15 16 the end of December kept the total fund in the top half of the all public plan peer 17 18 group below a billion dollars. So on a peer 19 group basis, it's holding its own. Go quickly now since we are short of 20 21 time. But page 13 is the valuation of the 22 portfolio in the upper right panel. Which 23 you can see here is that the total fund 24 valuation is 483,457,000 quarter end.

Page 41 1 you can see in the left panel slight 2 overweight and equities, 3 percent; underweight in international by 1 and a half 3 4 percent. So, the net equity exposure was plus or about 2 percent. And fixed income 6 was underweighted about 2 percent versus the benchmarks. 7 So, that translates onto the next page 8 9 which is 14. When we look at the -breaking it out by dollars and the various 10 categories, the overall equity allocation 11 12 and fixed income was 67 percent, 33 percent 13 respectively. And the target is 65/35. And finally on the page 15, the 14 valuation of the various managers we were --15 you were at 483,457,000 on the right. There 16 were earnings that represented the 3 percent 17 plus for the quarter of approximately 18 14.4 million. There were withdrawals during 19 the quarter of about 9 million that went 20 21 into -- some of it went into custody cash 22 and also payment of benefits. So, we showed 23 that line down below the custody cash which 24 is being held.

Page 42 1 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Do we know what's 2 happened since January 1? MR. DIFUSCO: Yes. We, Staff, roughly 3 4 calculated through yesterday that the S&P is 5 down about 8 percent and the portfolio is 6 down a little bit over 6 percent through so 7 far this year. MR. BUTKOVITZ: So, about \$30 million? 8 9 MR. DIFUSCO: Correct. This morning the 10 custody account or the total assets would be just a hair under 158 million. And we, 11 12 obviously, took the cash flows out of that such to calculate the -- it's about a 6, 6 13 14 and a quarter percent decline. 15 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Where does that put us on track for June 30 in terms of our return 16 this year so far? 17 MR. DIFUSCO: Well, it's mid month. 18 19 mean, I haven't calculated. 20 MR. DEVERS: July 1 to June 30 or to 21 now? 22 MR. DIFUSCO: I mean, to go to mid 23 month --24 MR. DEVERS: You are most likely flat to

Page 43 1 a little negative. So, I would put it 0 to minus 1 and a half, best guess. 2 MR. DIFUSCO: It would be a quess. 3 4 would rather run the calculation and be certain, which we are happy to do. But I 6 don't want to guess and be off. 7 MR. DEVERS: Fair enough. MR. DIFUSCO: Significantly. 8 9 Chris, does that then put us MR. RUBIN: in a situation where we are considering 10 alternatives and this is the indicator as to 11 12 why alternatives are important? Since the difference between our -- the main fund what 13 the market's down, what we're down is really 14 the drivers of those other vehicles that we 15 16 use. MR. DIFUSCO: Yes, depending on the type 17 of alternatives. I mean, I think as the 18 consultant and Matt alluded to and the 19 Controller's questions, obviously if we were 20 21 in the alternative such as high yield, we 22 would have -- during this time period, our 23 returns would have been worse. If we were 24 in alternatives that perhaps were more

Page 44 1 stable, real estate --2 MR. RUBIN: Private equity, real estate. Something with more MR. DIFUSCO: 3 4 stability, then yes, you are correct, Bill. The returns would have matched up a little 6 bit better. 7 MR. RUBIN: Okay. MR. DIFUSCO: This stuff does fluctuate. 8 9 It wasn't all that long ago, I think it was 10 in November, if you compared our returns to the muni fund, we were up, I think, 5 basis 11 12 points for the month of November; and the 13 municipal fund was down that month closer to 14 a percent. So I mean, depending on the type of 15 alternative you are in and the timing, it 16 can cut both ways. You have to be pretty 17 selective and you have to know -- you have 18 19 to really understand kind of what you are getting into and what your objectives are 20 21 and the type of alternatives you are 22 choosing. Because you are going to see 23 these moments in time where it can be huge 24 plus and it can be a real drag.

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | Page 45 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | MR. GILBERT: Any other questions? | |
| 2 | (No response.) | |
| 3 | May I have a motion to accept the report | |
| 4 | for the quarter end? | |
| 5 | MR. BUTKOVITZ: So moved. | |
| 6 | MS. JOHNSON: Second. | |
| 7 | MR. GILBERT: It's been properly moved | |
| 8 | and seconded. Questions on the motion? | |
| 9 | (No response.) | |
| 10 | MR. GILBERT: All those in favor? | |
| 11 | (Ayes.) | |
| 12 | MR. GILBERT: Motion carries. | |
| 13 | MR. MAZZA: If we could ask the | |
| 14 | Gallagher folks to step out while Staff have | |
| 15 | a conversation with the Board just to give | |
| 16 | you guys a summary on our travels for the | |
| 17 | investment consultant search. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | (Gallagher & Co. step outside) | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | MR. MAZZA: As part of our due | |
| 22 | diligence, Staff, we visited five investment | |
| 23 | consultants during this due diligence | |
| 24 | period. | |
| | | |

Page 46 1 MR. DIFUSCO: Six. MR. MAZZA: We visited the incumbent 2 Gallagher, which has been on the account for 3 4 over a decade. We visited the three other folks who will be in here today. We also visited the R.V. Kuhns, which is based in 6 New York. And we visited another firm based 7 in Allentown, Pennsylvania. 8 9 What was their name again, Chris? 10 Cornerstone. After, you know, meeting with a number 11 12 of these candidates, Staff came to the conclusion that besides Gallagher getting 13 the opportunity to come back in and present 14 their services, Clearbrook, PFM and NEPC 15 were the top candidates. We felt that all 16 three of these candidates had the requisite 17 staff and operations to handle our plan. 18 All three of these candidates as well 19 have determined with us that our certain 20 21 asset allocation, based on everyone's 22 capital market assumptions, that we will not 23 hit the discount rate of 765. But once 24 again, everyone's capital market assumptions

Page 47 1 now are in the bag due to what January has 2 occurred. In terms of fees, Gallagher charges the 3 4 plan \$135,000 to provide investment consulting services. You will see 6 Clearbrook Global Advisors, they are based in New York, they have charged a flat rate 7 of \$100,000 to provide investment consultant 8 9 services. PFM, the local candidate down the 10 street, is the highest. They are looking to charge us \$175,000. So Staff would ask the 11 12 Board to definitely inquire about that fee due to it being so much higher than the 13 other candidates. 14 15 MR. DIFUSCO: Just to add, we did give -- sorry to interrupt. We did ask all 16 the candidate from PFM, Clearbrook, 17 everyone, for kind of a best, last and final 18 19 offer. So the fee you see here --MR. MAZZA: Are their finals. 20 21 MR. DIFUSCO: According to them are 22 their final. NEPC came down slightly. 23 think R.V. Kuhns, even though they were 24 eliminated, came down slightly. Clearbrook,

Page 48 1 perhaps not surprisingly, didn't come down. 2 They are obviously the low cost. But PFM 3 did not. 4 MR. MAZZA: PFM refused. That was 5 surprising to us. 6 MR. DIFUSCO: That was a little 7 surprising. MR. MAZZA: And NEPC, who is up in 8 9 Boston, who we met with, it's about \$145,000 10 they are going to charge us. As you can see, everyone is in that ballpark of 135. 11 12 The average would be about 135 if you include Clearbrook in the high of PFM. 13 We just ask the Board to be very tough 14 in questioning in terms of price. Because 15 as Chris and I have stated, you know, our 16 goal with this plan is try to lower the 17 18 amount of fees that we're paying to 19 investment managers, to the consultant to 20 make sure that we are getting the best for 21 the pension plan for the pensioners. 22 Do you have anything else to add? 23 MR. DIFUSCO: No. 24 MR. MAZZA: With that, let me go grab

```
Page 49
 1
        the folks from Gallagher.
 2
        (Arthur Gallagher & Co. reenter the room.)
 3
 4
            MR. MAZZA: You'll have 25 minutes.
 6
            As the Board knows, Frank Domeisen,
        Chris Brokaw and Marvin Devers.
 7
        incumbent Arthur Gallagher.
 8
 9
            MR. DOMEISEN: I do have presentations
10
        for you. Thank you.
11
            MR. MAZZA: Ready when you are, Frank.
12
            MR. DOMEISEN: Okay. Frank Domeisen
13
        again.
                And thank you for the opportunity to
        address the opportunity to continue as the
14
        consultant with the Sinking Fund Commission,
15
        and specifically on the Gas Works Pension
16
               So I will walk through this book, but
17
18
        I will touch on some of the highlight pages
19
        that are -- to try to differentiate what you
        already know about us, but will give you a
20
21
        little bit background of Gallagher, Arthur
22
        J. Gallagher and our Investment --
23
        Institutional Investment and Fiduciary
24
        Services practice.
```

Page 50

1 As part of that, I am going to look on 2 the page 2 which is -- the page numbers are in the center, lower center of the page. 3 4 we continue forward, I would continue to lead as the -- would be the lead consultant 6 on the relationship. I would bring in and I'd work with Chris Brokaw to my left and 7 also Marvin Devers who you have known and 8 9 you met, as well. These two gentleman and myself would 10 combine into the team that would be 11 12 reviewing not just performance but also 13 looking at strategies in terms of going forward in terms of best solutions given 14 market environments as well as manager 15 16 search work that we are currently doing on a large cap growth and have done in the past 17 on both fixed income and small cap segments 18 19 of the market. The other folks on this team in the 20 21 right lower right is Craig Morton. 22 continues on the reporting oversight. 23 we show the bottom tier here in terms of 24 additional folks that are involved with

Page 51 1 Particular in the lower right Linda Tressler has worked on this relationship in 2 terms of performance measurement analyst, 3 4 working with the bank, the custodian bringing performance in and reconciling that 6 performance. In terms of the firm overview, just to step to a higher level for a moment. 8 9 mentioned, we are the -- we represent the institutional investment fiduciary services 10 practice, Arthur J. Gallagher. We are known 11 12 as Gallagher Fiduciary Advisors. The firm and GFA and our predecessors started in 13 1976. Really was from the formation of 14 ERISA. And that goes back over time during 15 16 that time period that we were formed. We have 50 employees in five locations, 17 primarily in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and 18 also Washington, DC. And those are the two 19 main offices. And work that we do for you 20 21 is all done out of Pittsburgh. But again, 22 we have resources that represent the 50 23 employees across the two main offices. 24 will talk a little bit how we leverage that

Page 52 1 later on. 2 We are an independent investment consultant registered with the SEC. 3 4 it's not specific for public accounts, but we do serve contractually under ERISA 321 as 6 a fiduciary investment advisor or 338 as an investment advisor itself where one would 7 take discretion. 8 9 All we do is investment consulting. 10 don't have any other lines or practices or business lines. Everything is fee based or 11 12 incentive based, but it's not -- there is no 13 hidden fee. We don't recapture any manager 14 commissions or revenue sharing whatsoever. So totally, it represents about 44 billion 15 16 in client assets and broken up to 200-plus clients. 17 18 On page 4 we show some representative clients and -- from this list. And we've 19 included in bold those that are 20 21 Pennsylvania-based clients across the state 22 for your review. 23 MR. DIFUSCO: How does our plan size --24 maybe just remind the Commissioners, what is

Page 53 1 your median or average client size? we're about now 450 about 500 million-dollar 2 plan roughly. 3 4 What's your median client size? MR. DOMEISEN: The average is about 350. 6 But we do have plans, we have the range going over a billion dropping down to about 7 50 million. But the sweet spot is about 8 9 300 million average. 10 MR. DIFUSCO: Thank you. 11 MR. DOMEISEN: Page 5 -- moving forward, 12 page 5 is a little bit about our credentials and our backgrounds. All three of us --13 Chris, myself and Marvin -- are charter 14 financial analyst, CFA designations. 15 have about 18 -- we have 18 members of that 16 category. That's a rigor that goes through 17 18 looking at various aspects of investment 19 management, investment accounting. 20 all three of us have that designation. 21 some of the additional credentials here 22 listed as well for your review. 23 Moving to page 6, the institutional 24 investment fiduciary services team. On the

Page 54 1 leadership team that is really providing oversight on all the work we do is -- there 2 is eight folks, gentlemen, on this list 3 4 including myself and Chris Brokaw. One thing I would like to point out is that Mick Davies who is listed on here is 6 the area president overseeing the full 7 collective enterprise of the GFA and 8 9 institutional investment services team. He's been involved with -- prior to coming 10 to Gallagher, he's been involved with 11 12 working on Montgomery County Public Plan as senior investment officer, and also had 13 worked in defined benefit plans for 14 multinational consulting firm Mercer prior 15 to him joining us. 16 This is the team that represents all of 17 us of the 50. And if you look onto the left 18 19 the consultants, that's where myself, Chris Brokaw and Marvin Devers are shown. 20 Moving to page 7, I will ask Marvin to 21 talk a little bit about the research 22 23 committee and how that works and how we leverage off of the pull. 24

Page 55 1 MR. RUBIN: Frank, can you go back to 2 page 6? Do you have a diversity program in place? How do you look for people to bring 3 4 into the firm? MR. DOMEISEN: We do look for that. 6 don't have a specific program in place, but 7 we try to take that into account across the 8 different offices. 9 MR. RUBIN: What's the breakdown of 10 male/female in managerial positions? MR. DOMEISEN: Male/female, I don't know 11 12 that off the top of my head. I have to go 13 by first names here. It's quesstimate. MR. DEVERS: Certainly, follow up with 14 15 that information. 16 MR. RUBIN: Okay. Thanks. MR. DEVERS: As Frank mentioned, one of 17 18 the things that we think is unique and 19 separates us from other candidates is our research committee, our research process as 20 21 a whole and how we structure our team. really drive everything from a top down 22 23 research committee. 24 It's made up of six members. This is

Page 56 where our client initiatives, client 1 2 directions, our tactical asset allocation, our review of alternative investments all 3 4 take place at the research committee. only that, it's charts as reviewing and 6 vetting our focus list or highest conviction managers that we then take the clients in 7 the various asset classes. 8 9 The additional element, though, is we divide up the research analysts and the rest 10 of the team into asset classes such as U.S. 11 12 equity, international equity, fixed income, et cetera. We feel this division, this 13 focus of team members on that asset class 14 allows them to identify the best in breed 15 manager specific to that by only reviewing 16 those asset -- those managers in that class. 17 So really comparing them relative to the 18 19 other members that come in and doing a full vet of their team and their process and 20 21 their ability to achieve long term results 22 down the road. So, it's really a 23 combination of top-down bottom-up that 24 drives Gallagher and our research

Page 57 initiatives for the clients as a whole. 1 2 MR. DOMEISEN: I should point out that we also include when we're doing searches on 3 4 this plan we had input from your website that you provide us with names. And if they 6 haven't been reviewed by us, we put it through the same rigor that you see on this 7 page by the same team doing qualitative 8 9 reviews. MR. DEVERS: One of the elements of our 10 research committee is our experience with 11 12 alternative investments. I know we just talked about that recently or the idea of 13 looking to bring them in. Gallagher has 14 over 25 years as a discretionary overseeing 15 alternative investment programs. Every 16 alternative manager, so those managers that, 17 A, exhibit a style that is more complicated 18 19 and long only; or B, have any type of liquidity go through a full vet process by 20 21 our research committee that requires onsite 22 visits, due diligence memos and a full 23 review. 24 Looking at page 9, this just highlights

Page 58 1 at the top level some of the outside third-party service providers we look to 2 gather information from our databases where 3 4 we are able to generate information, return 5 stream, manager profiles, product profiles 6 to help us in our due diligence effort with 7 managers. MR. BROKAW: If you look at page 10, 8 9 what I would like to highlight regarding the process is that fact that you see it's 10 circular with the client at the center. 11 And 12 what that means is we start with an 13 investment policy statement, of course, the roadmap for your investments. We look at 14 the asset allocation. We're monitoring the 15 managers, helping with manager selection and 16 also looking at the tactical positioning. 17 As we go through this process, it's not 18 19 a one-time process. We want to look back at 20 the policy, look at the goals within your 21 plan especially if they're changing goals, look at the market environment, see what the 22 23 opportunities are and go through the -- go 24 through the process again.

Page 59

1 That could mean changing the policy. That could mean changing the manager or a 2 tactical positioning recommendation. 3 4 processes are driven by the two committees you see at the bottom, research committee 6 and investment committee which Marvin spoke 7 to already. And so, if you turn the page, I think 8 9 maybe the best page would be on 13, to skip ahead to 13, and just focus on a couple of 10 11 talking points, a couple of ideas. 12 Given the current market environment and given the goals of your plan, we looked at 13 some alternative asset allocations that 14 could potentially get you closer to those 15 long term -- your long term return 16 assumption. And a couple of the ideas 17 within page 13 current portfolio is your 18 19 current target asset allocation. And then Mix One and Mix Two show various -- various 20 21 alternative portfolios that move some of the assets out of one class and into another. 22 23 Mix One and Two, moving some of the funds 24 out of bonds in Mix One into U.S. equities;

Page 60 1 but in Mix Two, we introduce some other asset classes to the portfolio. And it's 2 really the addition of these other asset 3 4 classes that we're going to speak to in the next section of the portfolio. 6 So on an overall basis, we see adding 7 these diversifying asset classes increasing the long term expected return as something 8 9 that could get you down the road towards 10 your return -- investment return goals. 11 I should point out that MR. DOMEISEN: 12 there is no free lunch here. What you do 13 see is on the second line at the bottom, bold risk, which is a measure of standard 14 deviation from the current portfolio which 15 is 65/35; 65 equities, 35 bonds. You can 16 see the risk level. But trying to -- this 17 was really showing what it would need to get 18 closer to the interest rate assumption from 19 20 going from left to right. 21 MR. DIFUSCO: For purposes of clarity, 22 how are you defining a Mix to global 23 equities -- excuse me, private markets and 24 marketable alternatives? How are you

Page 61 1 defining those? They can mean different things depending on who you are talking to. 2 So for the Commission, how are you 3 4 defining those two allocations? MR. DEVERS: Private markets is private 6 equity. And then marketable alternatives is 7 a proxy for fund-to-funds composites. diversified basket of hedge fund investments 8 9 that are investing in a publicly traded security at the underlying level. 10 11 MR. DIFUSCO: I know one of the things 12 maybe not so much with you, Marvin, but 13 Staff I guess talked to Frank about perhaps a little bit is opposed to a fund-to-funds 14 idea, leveraging -- if we really are going 15 to go down this hedge fund road, and I don't 16 know that there's necessarily going to be --17 I won't speak for the people in charge. 18 19 there may not be the appetite for that. Why is a fund to funds -- I get that we 20 21 are a smaller plan. Why is that a better 22 idea than trying to leverage an appropriate 23 existing relationship that perhaps the main 24 fund has in a hedge fund structure where we

Page 62 1 get the benefit of two sets of eyes, two staffs, lower fees? Why is that not a 2 better idea? 3 4 I'm just asking. I'm playing devil's 5 advocate. 6 Why is that not a better idea of going into a fund to funds where we are going to 7 have two layers of fees, and with a minimal 8 9 allocation may not get the kind of attention that we would want? 10 MR. DEVERS: Yeah. The idea behind fund 11 12 to funds is your ability similar to what we do with the overall portfolio is to build a 13 diversified basket of hedge funds which 14 provide a much smoother, more consistent 15 return profile which we have used the model. 16 I think one of the examples, not to say 17 that not leveraging off the current 18 19 relationship is an idea. But the dispersion that can occur in any given year from 20 21 underlying hedge funds is quite large. 22 know, looking at last year where you saw 23 just some big names in the space. Can't 24 think of his name off the top of -- Bill

Page 63 Ackman, for instance, his fund was done 1 2 20 percent over last year. You can look at some other long/short equity funds. 3 4 Activist funds that were down 15, 20, plus 5 percent as a result of some of their 6 positions. So, the idea is that if we build a portfolio of this, you can certainly do it 8 9 on your own. That's not to say that we can't build -- can't add, you know, 10 to 15 10 11 long/short equity or credit managers to a 12 portfolio and construct it as a whole. 13 we have a team -- we are hiring a team that 14 does that same efforts. Not only that, they are able to get potential capacity with 15 managers that might not otherwise be open to 16 new investments. They are able to better 17 monitor or manage your cash flow. 18 19 With the -- for instance, I am just going to speak about long/short because it's 20 21 one of the strategies we are going to talk 22 These are funds that provide about. 23 quarterly liquidity to investors. So going 24 into a single hedge fund, they might only

Page 64 1 have annual liquidity for a given size. the long/short fund of funds has negotiated 2 that capacity. They structured it in a way 3 4 you have additional liquidity from that 5 structure that you might not get from an 6 individual manager position. They are 7 monitoring it constantly and looking to identify all those new maybe smaller 8 9 managers, less known hedge fund managers that could be a successful addition to the 10 11 overall portfolio structure. 12 Really, it's a way to smooth the returns 13 that you are getting. De-risk the portfolio to media risk or blow ups, for that 14 15 instance, because you are adding an additional layer of due diligence on a 16 portfolio construction. 17 MR. DIFUSCO: How do you fees look? 18 19 know a couple of the funds we had met with maybe before that were on Gallagher's, for 20 21 lack of a better term, approved list are 22 folks that you are familiar with. 23 How are the fees, you know, in your 24 opinion? Some of them seemed a little bit

Page 65 1 high to me. But again, that was on the 2 first pass and pre-negotiation admittedly. How did they look to you just generalize. 3 4 MR. DEVERS: They looked in 5 comparison -- our focus looks in line with 6 the industry. They range from about 75 to 100 basis points, so 1 percent on a 7 management fee and 0 to 5 percent on a 8 9 performance fee for the fund. 10 What -- just to give an example. we saw last year is all three candidates on 11 12 our focus list had a positive return. 13 ranged from half a percent for the year up 14 to 6 percent for the year. When the S&P was up 1.4 percent. We saw volatility control. 15 16 And this is including that additional layer of fees. 17 18 MR. RUBIN: Got you. 19 MR. DEVERS: So we have seen that 20 stability, we have seen the net result be 21 what we expect from that asset class 22 especially given the volatility that we saw 23 last year played out as we like to see with 24 our management.

Page 66 1 MR. DIFUSCO: Okay. MR. RUBIN: You think there's still an 2 appetite across the board for fund of funds 3 4 in this hedge fund area? MR. DEVERS: Yes. I think that will 6 continue. What you are seeing, though, is consolidation. Certainly, those smaller 7 fund of funds, the sub-billion, 8 9 sub-500 million, they have a difficult time. 10 And they are not gaining assets. eventually, that's not an area we look to 11 12 allocate to. But those larger fund of funds 13 14 structures are just getting larger. are gaining assets. They are continued 15 appetite from investors, especially smaller 16 investors that don't have the ability, don't 17 have the expertise to build out a portfolio 18 19 of single hedge fund managers because of the risk that you can take with those 20 21 allocations. 22 MR. DIFUSCO: Is it a 1 in 15 all in, or 23 is it a 1 in 15 and then the Staff and the 24 Commission get kind of the actual fees we

Page 67 1 do -- and we do a study every year, and we see in addition to the 1 in 15, there is 2 actually another 40 basis point in operation 3 4 and travel expenses and all this sort of stuff that a lot of investors, institutional 6 investors, you know, elected folks and stuff 7 don't really have the appetite for. MR. DEVERS: The ones we look at are the 8 9 straights. MR. DIFUSCO: It's all in? 10 MR. DEVERS: Yeah. There's no 11 12 additional added expenses included. Just in the risk of time --13 14 MR. RUBIN: Have you ever presented any of this to the Board prior to today? 15 MR. DEVERS: I believe we have had 16 conversations. 17 MR. DOMEISEN: I don't think we have. 18 19 This was generated in terms of looking more recently at just how would you get -- one of 20 21 the requests from Staff to look at -- how 22 would we get closer to the interest rate 23 assumption. This was just shown as a 24 sampling here right now that could be

Page 68 1 effective in getting a higher return. 2 again, we need to manage the risk profile, 3 as well. 4 MR. RUBIN: When we were in the 2008/9 5 period, that was an idea that was floated at 6 that point to go into any of that. MR. DOMEISEN: We did do this -- we 8 looked at alternatives during that time 9 period, as well. Off the top of my head, I don't remember specifically what we 10 11 presented at that time. 12 Jumping ahead just to page MR. DEVERS: 21. One of the strategies that we think 13 would be an added value to the portfolio, as 14 I just spoke to, is equity long/short. 15 16 idea here is that over the long term, an equity long/short portfolio is going to 17 18 achieve the same return as marketable 19 equity. So, publicly traded equities just with less volatility. 20 21 And they achieve that same return by 22 cutting off the tail. This is just a simple 23 graph of the drawdowns that we have seen

going back to 1990. And the dark line is

24

Page 69 how far the HFRI, the index is drawn down 1 relative to the S&P 500. There has been 2 considerable protection on the tails in this 3 4 scenario. The other strategy that we talked about 6 during the meeting as well, Matt alluded to in conversations with the fixed income 7 manager is moved into high yield, taking a 8 9 tactical position given where spreads are to achieve that higher return. 10 Just looking at page 24 real guick, the 11 12 idea is adding a short duration, high 13 quality, high yield manager, that not only can achieve the higher yields in the high 14 yield market but can protect in rising 15 rates. This is just looking at five periods 16 of rising rate where the 20-year the 10-year 17 Treasury has risen over 100 basis points. 18 19 And how short duration high yield performed in that period relative to a core ag fixed 20 21 income. And across every period, the short 22 duration high yield has added value through 23 the less duration exposure and the through 24 the additional yield at the high yield

Page 70 1 portfolio. 2 I think just, Frank, if you want to finish us off jumping ahead given the time 3 4 constraints. Maybe to 31. MR. DOMEISEN: We want to tell you that we believe that we feel confident that we 6 understand the relationship. We understand 7 the sensitivity to the MacBride principles 8 9 and working with those as well as Sandy Hook principles. We've been proud to be working 10 11 with you through all these years. 12 One thing that we show you here is a 13 graph. And this is through September 30. If I updated it through December, it would 14 show the same results. But what we show 15 here is that in a peer group of public funds 16 under a billion dollars, the median 17 portfolio -- we beat the median portfolio on 18 19 a three-year rolling basis 80 percent of the time. And we looked at the last five-year 20 21 period. It's represented by the blue square versus the median which is the 50th 22 23 percentile, the line through the middle. 24 So we're continue -- we would hope to

Page 71 1 continue to work you and be proud to work with you to keep that performance in the top 2 half of the peer group as well as meeting 3 4 the actuarial assumption and providing those 5 additional ideas in terms of equity 6 long/short specifically as one idea and also 7 investing that through fund -- hedge funds, 8 as well. 9 So with that, we want to thank you for 10 the opportunity. MR. RUBIN: Frank, when you show that 11 12 graph, are they plans that are the same mix 13 as what we are? MR. DEVERS: 14 No. 15 MR. DOMEISEN: Not necessarily. It's the peer group of public. 16 MR. RUBIN: How do we know where we are 17 18 compared to groups that are investing 19 similar to where we are? Is there a chart 20 that --21 MR. DEVERS: We can provide one. We had a breakdown of asset allocation for all the 22 23 plans that are involved in this. We can 24 show where you are in relation to that.

Page 72 1 am happy to do a follow up, as well. 2 MR. DOMEISEN: I don't know if you can dissect the universe to be just those that 3 4 are in the same --MR. RUBIN: Similar. Ones that don't 6 have alternatives, ones that have other. We 7 are basically 55/35. MR. DOMEISEN: One thing we do is 8 9 certainly on each manager we look at peer group rankings on the same chart basis as 10 well for each individual manager to make 11 12 sure. We have included in the back just a lose leaflet which is actually one of our 13 14 more recent research position papers about the high yield bond market that is available 15 to all of our clients. And also, that is 16 just an example of the high yield bond 17 market commentary that we have a white paper 18 that is available. 19 20 There are opportunities that we have on 21 webinars that are online to talk about the 22 market conditions. That should go out. 23 don't know if it goes out to the -- it's 24 available to talk about the marketplace on a

```
Page 73
 1
        quarterly basis that we had one last week
 2
        from January 13 to make sure you are a part
        of that if you like.
 3
 4
            Thank you for the opportunity.
            MR. MAZZA:
                        Thank you.
            MR. BUTKOVITZ: I'm going to need 5
 6
        minutes.
 7
 8
                   (Brief break taken.)
 9
           (Clearbrook Global enters the room.)
10
11
                        These are the gentlemen from
            MR. MAZZA:
12
        Clearbrook. Jon Chesshire, Tim and Eric
13
        Blasberg. If you guys want to start, let me
        know when you're ready. It's 20 minutes,
14
15
        5-minute warning. That's 25 minutes total.
16
            MR. CHESSHIRE: We'll just -- I'll be
        brief on the firm outline. Page 2 is the
17
18
        agenda. To my left is Tim Ng, our Chief
        Investment Officer; Eric Blasberg.
19
        three of us along with two other members of
20
21
        the Clearbrook team sit on the investment
22
        committee. Tom Deegan, who some of you met
23
        last go around is traveling today. Couldn't
24
        be here today.
```

We will also talk about our investment

philosophy and process, what we are doing in

for the existing portfolio, and then we will

talk about alternative and reporting to sum

serve about 55 institutional clients,

For those of you that don't know us, we

corporate foundations, governmental agencies

and entities, anywhere from 25 million to

10 billion in terms of account size. We do

terms of allocation. We have a few ideas

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

it up.

Page 74

12 outsource CIO as well as traditional consulting. That is what we will talk about 13 here in a few minutes. 14 I think -- you know, I mentioned the 15 investment committee. I think one of the 16 things interesting about our firm is that 17 everybody, consultants to people in the 18 research area, have all been involved with 19 start ups. We sit on the other side. 20 21 sit on committees like this. 22 started businesses. We have run money. And 23 I think that helps in terms of how we add 24 value to client portfolios.

Page 75

1 Client services is certainly an emphasis with us. I know we've talked about four 2 meetings a year. But typically it ends 3 4 on -- we are on page 4 now. We interact with our clients a lot more than four times 6 a year. We are just counting up some of the meetings that we have had with clients last 7 year. They run anywhere from eight to 8 9 twelve, and then phone calls, et cetera, et cetera. I'm in Malvern. Eric has clients 10 11 in York, so he's down here guite a bit. 12 But bottom line is, we are very focused 13 on the client, forming a partnership with them and helping them, you know, meet their 14 needs as best as we can. 15 MR. DIFUSCO: I think we confirmed this 16 with you folks when we were up there. 17 to confirm, since it mentions four, we 18 19 confirm that there will now be six meetings a year, not four. I know that changed since 20 21 the RFP? Also, the 22 MR. CHESSHIRE: Yes. 23 investment people, it's not just Tim is CIO. 24 You will see him a few times a year.

Page 76 1 certainly more interact with clients, more 2 than any other firm I've been, frankly. Eric and I, we're technically consultants. 3 We also perform investment manager due 4 5 diligence as I mentioned. Eric is on the 6 Investment Committee. I think that helps in terms of how we communicate to clients. 7 Page 5 is our just sort of our bios. 8 9 Mentioned Tom is not here today, but we've all been involved in the investment 10 community for 20-plus years most of us and 11 12 in all aspects of the business. 13 Page 6 is just our representative client I can certainly take any questions 14 about that, but sort of reiterates what I 15 mentioned earlier. 16 One of the important points in 17 MR. NG: regards to the client list as you see, what 18 19 we're doing is we gained a good deal of traction recently with public plans and 20 21 public plans like yourselves and other 22 related plans. We gained a lot of traction 23 on it. We are brought into a number of 24 public plans to help with a number of the

Page 77 1 issues that they had with existing consultant. We were brought in as like 2 3 troubleshooting team. And now we've 4 recently been hired by lot of public entities because of the work we have been 6 doing for them over the years, as well. I think, you know, I MR. CHESSHIRE: rushed through the first few pages. 8 I think 9 we want to focus on the meat of the presentation, so I am going to hand it over 10 11 to Tim to talk about our investment process. 12 MR. NG: Thank you very much. 13 Appreciate you inviting us. We would be honored to take over this assignment if we 14 15 so could have that. 16 The really important point in terms of our philosophy is, as John mentioned, we are 17 18 involved all of us in portfolio management. 19 We grew up in this business. We didn't grow up -- it's not a bad term, as consultants. 20 21 We were portfolio managers, risk managers. 22 We were traders. We are individually 23 involved in actually the essence of putting 24 together portfolios, running portfolios,

Page 78 1 rebalancing portfolios, hiring investment 2 managers. And since we traded these type of securities, we are on the other side. 3 4 you are interviewing the investment manager, we did their job. So we know and have a 6 great deal of knowledge in terms of what 7 they are doing. What's very important in our philosophy 8 9 is, everyone is always saying, oh, my gosh, 10 the markets are down 10 percent as they are this year. What we try to do from a 11 12 philosophy perspective, anticipate what's 13 going to happen. You can't chase returns 14 after the fact. You can't mitigate losses 15 after the fact. What we always do is look at values around the world. Where are 16 stocks cheap? Where are bonds cheap around 17 the world? Where are investment managers 18 that we can find who are the best that can 19 20 give you the best returns for the least

amount of risk? That is really our job.

For example, real quickly, a year ago we

felt that there are certain firms that were

at risk that were very high profile names

Page 79 1 such as Pimco, a large investment firm when Bill Gross left. We've been dis-allocating 2 out of Pimco for a year and a half. Okay. 3 4 So anticipating those types of situations 5 just a few months ago, we lowered our overall stock allocations because there was 6 a lot of risk. And against the grain, we 7 went back and put more money back into bonds 8 9 because we thought there was a little bit more risk in fraud than the markets. Always 10 trying to anticipate philosophically what we 11 12 are trying to do and not chase returns, 13 which is the key. 14 MR. BUTKOVITZ: What do you think is 15 going to happen in the next six months? 16 Short term, always emotions drives markets. If you look at the three 17 points: China, oil, interest rates in the 18 19 U.S., and also is important, politics. one knows what's going on here in the United 20 21 States in regards to politics. No one 22 knows. Once you get through those issues, 23 and there are price points that we can 24 discuss long term, as to why this is going

Page 80 1 to work itself out over the next six months, there are a number of things that are going 2 to happen particularly with oil and OPEC in 3 4 They have an OPEC meeting that is very important that they will reset prices. 6 You have the elections in terms of all of the primaries, we'll get better clarity by 7 And china is going to figure this 8 9 out. What would you do if you 10 MR. BUTKOVITZ: were managing this portfolio right now? 11 12 MR. NG: What we would do today is you don't make changes in light of a situation 13 where there is a lot of craziness or emotion 14 driving the markets. If you believe that 15 the valuations and equities today and you 16 look at the price earning ratio, for 17 example, of the S&P 500 trading at 14 times, 18 it's a lot better than 20 times when it was 19 over the summer, right. 20 21 Same thing in Europe and same on other 22 overall opportunities across the board. 23 What has changed on a global basis in terms

of growth around the world, has it gone now

24

Page 81 1 to recession? We don't think so. So if you 2 believe that that's going to hold where you get slow downs and still 2 percent growth 3 4 rates around the world, nothing has changed from what it was really six months ago. So 6 valuations are even better today than they were before. 7 So, stay the course. But if we see 8 9 continued opportunities to move from -- we know fixed income is overvalued. 10 11 from fixed income to cheaper, better stock 12 selection and better stock I believe is here in the U.S. and also in Europe. 13 That's what 14 we would be doing. MR. CHESSIRE: But not significant. 15 are never making significant changes so we 16 end up going from 80/20 to 20/80 17 stocks/bonds. We have our allocation based 18 on your needs and your IPS, but then we will 19 work around the fringes to take advantage of 20 21 the opportunity. We don't want to suggest 22 that we are making significant moves. 23 MR. NG: No. And it could be anywhere 24 from 1 percent ot 5 percent, but that's a

Page 82 1 big move, okay, for us. That's to page 9 effectively where we're talking about the 2 asset allocation process. 3 4 What we are trying to do is always make 5 the fact that you are buying things that are 6 cheap. I always want to buy a cheap car or 7 cheap TV when I can buy it at a discount. That is what people are trying to buy 8 9 because it has the best probability of having appreciation in the future. 10 also, what we call -- everyone tries to find 11 12 the best manager that's available, right? Whether Bill Gross or all these big names. 13 But if you buy them in the wrong part of 14 what we call the cycle, meaning that every 15 strategy, every manager is going to have a 16 time period in which you will not do well. 17 So what you have to be able to do is 18 19 understand what cycle you're in, what stocks, what strategies have failed within 20 21 that cycle and then find the best manager 22 who is there. But conversely, more 23 important than ever before, and this time 24 period has showed us, it 2000 it showed us

Page 83 1 the same thing. When it's a bad cycle, you 2 have to get out and de-risk your portfolio. We are never ashamed of pulling --3 4 MR. BUTKOVITZ: How do you do that 5 consistent with what you just said? 6 MR. NG: What you do is because when you see valuations are far overvalued, we have 7 no issues in calling up managers and saying 8 9 we are dis-allocating from you one hundred percent. We just did that recently. 10 11 MR. BUTKOVITZ: How long does that take? 12 MR. NG: It could take as much as --13 depending upon if it's a situation where we have complete discretion, we can do it 14 within a day. If it's a situation whereby 15 it's more of a committee where we meet on a 16 quarterly basis, we have a prep call with 17 18 you before committee meeting. We set up and 19 tell you what our thought process is and what we're going to do. At the committee 20 21 meeting, we will make that decision if that's what the committee members would 22 23 like. 24 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Given what you see in

Page 84 1 the environment, what would be the warning sign to take that kind of action? 2 MR. NG: The warning sign is always 3 4 froth in the overall market. Meaning, if a bond in the U.S. government at the 10-year 6 is yielding 1.6 percent, and that's an 7 historical low. That's telling you, you know what, it's time to get out. You know 8 9 it's time to get out. The market is 10 artificially keeping it there. You should go into other assets. 11 12 It's those signs that we look at Where should it be? 13 historical data. is it now? And where has it been? 14 That's 15 what we try to do. We are not geniuses at No one is ever perfect. All you are 16 ever saying is if you buy cheap assets, you 17 have a better probability of making money on 18 19 the upside. But more importantly, you have less probability of having downside risk. 20 21 That's risk. You never buy the S&P 500 when 22 it's trading 30 times earnings, right? 23 try to buy it when it's 12 times earnings. 24 That's basically how I was trained

Page 85 1 essentially as a portfolio manager, and 2 that's what we try to do. Don't outthink the market. You can't time the market. All 3 4 you can ever do is give yourself the best probability of making money or prevent 6 yourself from losing money. That's what we do from an asset allocation perspective. 7 MR. DIFUSCO: How do you deal with --8 9 just to piggyback on the Controller's question. It's obviously a little bit more 10 11 difficult. You're talking about, you know, 12 when public pension fund clients, we have 13 RFP processes. We have contracting 14 The getting out part is easy. processes. Even, you know, Staff has a certain amount 15 of autonomy. We have a meeting where we 16 say, here is our thinking, Commissioners 17 agree. But then if we don't have the spot 18 19 where you want to move the money readily available, it could be six months, nine 20 21 months, a year. What kind of creative solutions or 22 23 things do you folks think about in terms of 24 in a public pension fund with, you know,

Page 86 1 bidding requirements and contractual -- you 2 know, like I said, getting out is easy. How do you make the getting into where you want 3 4 to move the money, you know, smooth, as well? 6 MR. NG: Sure. The only good part, 7 Chris, in terms of the markets over the years is that it goes down a lot faster than 8 9 it goes up. So as assets become more and 10 more pricy, we anticipate 14 times or 15, Gives us a little lead time. We would 16. 11 12 work with the committee say, listen, you 13 know what, small cap, it's getting a little 14 pricy here. Let's think about taking money 15 out of this manager. But in preparation, we have these five managers that we can suggest 16 and we would work with the committee and 17 say, let's point -- pinpoint these managers 18 19 who we would want and go through the process beforehand. 20 21 MR. BLASBERG: Simply rebalancing with 22 the manager in the portfolios. 23 MR. DIFUSCO: Right. But you are 24 talking about almost having like a bench or

Page 87 1 maybe people with 0-dollar contracts that 2 you can see, if I heard you correctly, where you would have maybe folks you didn't fund 3 4 right away but you would have kind of in reserve for a situation like you're 6 describing. MR. NG: 7 Yes. We always have an initial number of managers that we prefer, but we 8 9 will always have a bench between five and 10 ten managers underneath, yes, to have a 11 replacement available. Absolutely. 12 MR. DIFUSCO: Okay. 13 MR. RUBIN: Have you gone through our 14 portfolio? 15 MR. NG: Yes. MR. RUBIN: And sort of looking at what 16 we have now, do you see that as strong? 17 Weak? Where would you make changes 18 19 to what we have going forward? MR. NG: Since that's the meat of the --20 21 that's on page 14. And you're right, that's 22 the most important part of really now. will turn this over to Eric. And we can --23 24 MR. BLASBERG: Overall, I think it's a

Page 88 1 very good lineup. We are guite familiar 2 with most of these managers. And I think quite highly of them. 3 The asset allocation is, you know, quite similar to a lot of your 4 5 peers out there. 6 So, what we did just as kind of a discussion point is kind of reviewed the 7 existing allocations and kind of put it 8 9 against our asset allocation modeling system. Which I will admit this point is a 10 11 little dated as when we originally focused 12 out with. You know, what we strive to do here is really focus on coming up with our 13 forward looking capital market assumptions 14 for a wide variety of asset classes. 15 16 And we're really talking asset classes here, not specific managers kind of bigger 17 picture. And update them at least 18 19 semiannually. Lately, we have been having to do that a little more frequently. Really 20 21 the value that we provide is private forecast for that for various asset classes 22 23 going forward. A lot of it is based on 24 relative valuations. You know, we are more

Page 89 concerned not with the absolute numbers but 1 2 kind of the relationships between asset 3 classes. 4 MR. NG: And the actual observations are 5 on page 13 if you like to go through them 6 very quickly. Yeah. I know the 7 MR. BLASBERG: portfolio's probably changed a little bit 8 9 since we were last here and given some data here. But you know, kind of some 10 11 considerations we were throwing out there is 12 potentially looking into some alternative 13 asset classes. 14 MR. RUBIN: Can you drill down on that, 15 what that means? MR. BLASBERG: So, non-traditional. 16 So not long holding long, fixed income and 17 equities but in, you know, areas like hedge 18 funds, private equity, real estate. 19 is a whole wide variety that --20 21 MR. RUBIN: Where would you suggest we 22 qo? Private equity? Real estate? Which 23 areas? 24 MR. BLASBERG: A lot of it has to do

Page 90 1 with your own beliefs in liquidity constraints and things like that. We can 2 run the gamut between what's starting to 3 4 appear in the marketplace, liquid alternatives. Essentially, 40 Act mutual 6 fund vehicles that, you know, effectively replicate some of the hedge fund and fund of 7 funds strategies out there as well as going 8 9 into direct. 10 MR. RUBIN: What's your feeling? The two areas specifically. 11 MR. NG: 12 today, real estate is still getting a very 13 nice bid by investors around the globe both for core real estate, core plus, even 14 developmental real estate and others. 15 16 know a lot of institutions are still going into real estate on a global basis. 17 are looking for yield and some capital 18 appreciation, vis-a-vis, particularly the 19 public markets. 20 21 They say the market is going down 10, 22 20 percent. Wait a minute, real estate is a 23 much better value today. And yields around 24 the world are about 4, 4 and a half.

Page 91 other countries 6 or 7. That's not bad in 1 2 comparison. The other area is what folks have been 3 4 somewhat afraid of from number perspective. I've been involved in investing for now 6 30-plus years. I've been involved in hedge fund industry since 1985. It's one of the 7 crazies who started this whole situation. 8 We know it very, very well. 9 Hedge funds, if properly reviewed, 10 properly due diligenced serve a purpose in 11 12 the portfolio. Meaning, they will hedge your downside risk. I will tell you that 13 the number of the largest hedge fund 14 allocations that we have today, the majority 15 to this point as of yesterday are either 16 plus 65 basis points or down about 50 basis 17 18 points. In light of all of this crazy volatility 19 in the market, it has served the purpose of 20 21 doing two things. It gives you a stable 22 return, 7, 8, 9 percent per year. But more 23 importantly, they are protecting downside 24 for our portfolios as we speak today.

Page 92 1 And those are the two areas we think 2 have the best ability to hedge the downside risk of stocks is what we're seeing today in 3 4 the long term. MR. BUTKOVITZ: If your assessment is 6 that basically we have a good line up, why would we jettison the incumbent? 7 We will tell you the reason 8 9 why. Lineup accounts for probably 30 percent of one's overall performance 10 versus your underlying benchmark. The other 11 12 key 70 percent is asset allocation. 13 change we would make, the lineup is good. We think you maybe a little bit 14 overconcentrated in terms of U.S. equities 15 16 versus international equities at this point in time. 17 One singular reason why. 18 S&P 500 19 probably will have a growth of about 2.2 percent in terms of earnings, okay? 20 21 Because of what's happened in terms of 22 Europe with the dollar rising dramatically 23 against the euro and others, we are 24 projecting that euro stock index or European

Page 93 1 stocks would grow a 9 percent rate this 2 year. U.S. stocks today trading about 14 3 and a half times earnings. European stocks 4 are trading at 13 times earnings. For valuation perspective alone and 6 because of higher growth rate, we would 7 suggest taking some of your large cap U.S. equities and moving it more towards European 8 9 markets. 10 MR. BUTKOVITZ: How much of a change in 11 the percentages? 12 MR. CHESSHIRE: We're talking page 15 13 has our portfolios. 14 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Is that compared to our existing portfolio? 15 16 MS. JOHNSON: Yeah. 17 MR. MAZZA: Five-minute warning, guys. 18 MR. NG: Yes. The biggest difference 19 MR. CHESSHIRE: is the use of hedge funds in the lower 20 21 table, roughly 20 percent. That changes a 22 sharp ratio of the portfolio. Reduces the 23 risk. 24 I want to point that out. When we talk

Page 94 1 about hedge funds, Tim made an important point. It's a risk reducer. We're not 2 3 looking at hedge funds that are -- that are 4 strictly return only. We are using them as a diversifier in portfolios to minimize the 6 risk. I think that's an important point. MR. RUBIN: As direct or as fund of 8 funds? 9 No, directs. MR. NG: 10 MR. RUBIN: What's your feeling on fund 11 of funds? 12 MR. NG: I have seen the creation, the tremendous growth and now the demise of fund 13 of funds. They no longer serve a purpose 14 because they are charging you double fees. 15 16 You can do far better and it's a cheaper solution to go direct. There's no question. 17 MR. RUBIN: If somebody suggested to go 18 19 into fund to funds, you would think that was 20 not the correct way to go? 21 MR. NG: Absolutely. 22 MR. CHESSHIRE: Not for this size of portfolios. 23 For smaller account 24 MR. BLASBERG:

Page 95 1 sizes, sometimes you really don't have a 2 choice to build an adequate --MR. RUBIN: How about if we were able to 3 4 piggyback on a larger fund? MR. NG: We can do that for you because 6 we have quite a good amount of money with fund of funds. 7 Even --MR. RUBIN: If we took our directs that 8 9 we already have in the large municipal fund, would you think that that was a good idea to 10 piggyback off of those with the existing 11 12 relationships or would we create new ones? MR. NG: We would rather customize and 13 14 see how it fits in your portfolio. 15 MR. CHESSHIRE: Depends on what the 16 funds are. MR. NG: Right. Not all hedge funds 17 will work perfectly with you portfolio 18 19 versus the larger fund. 20 MR. CHESSHIRE: And that goes to the 21 point I just mentioned. We are looking at 22 funds that are going to reduce the risk of 23 the overall portfolio versus --24 MR. NG: The portfolio allocation, what

Page 96 1 are we doing? We have 7.65 percent 2 benchmark or hurdle that you are trying to achieve. Based on asset allocation manager 3 4 selection, we are trying to give the highest return for least amount of risk. That is 6 effectively what we're doing vis-a-vis your 7 portfolio today. MR. DIFUSCO: What's kind of the average 8 9 fee of the hedge funds that you are 10 recommending? 11 MR. NG: The majority is still 2 12 percent, properly 20 percent. What we've 13 been able to do, Chris, even within that, what we are trying to do is overall cost to 14 your fund. We are trying to minimize it an 15 have it below 1 percent cost. On average, 16 our cost is about 85 basis points per year 17 0.85 of 1 percent including the hedge funds. 18 19 We absolutely will match index funds 20 where we buy at \$0.05 on the dollar, very, 21 very cheap where we don't think the manager 22 can add value. Don't pay for essentially 23 the market return. What we're paying for is 24 over-performance from the hedge fund side or

Page 97 1 some of those on the active side, which is 2 the most important point. I think since we have a time frame in 3 4 terms of overall constraints. MR. BLASBERG: We went through a few 6 slides with some of the scenario analysis we There is quite a bit more we can do. 7 do. MR. RUBIN: On the firm side, do you 8 9 have a diversity policy for trying to bring in new associates? 10 11 MR. NG: Oh, sure. I mean, it's kind of 12 If you look at the overall firm, you funny. know we have tremendous emphasis in regards 13 to -- well, we have quite a number of 14 individual women, minorities, et cetera, et 15 cetera. Actually, they are even saying to 16 us now that a good number of people on the 17 research staff effectually meet that 18 19 qualification. Absolutely. I think in just overall closing with the 20 21 agenda, what's very important is we're 22 trying to customize portfolio really that 23 meets your needs as you mention. Are they 24 proper hedge funds? It's for your needs.

Page 98 1 Not necessarily meets the agenda of everyone 2 else. It's customization, one. Two is, you 3 4 know, we are here locally. So, we are your partner. We're an extension of you. 6 Anything you need, and we do this quite often. Outside of the four meetings, and 7 Chris mentioned we will probably have six 8 9 meetings, we are able to get this 10 assignment. We have prep meetings. We have prep calls. If you have a call that at any 11 12 point in time during a market cycle in 13 between meetings, we welcome those calls. 14 We happen to have that. 15 We also have a lot of educational sessions. We hold one educational session 16 per month in regards to having a manager in. 17 Or I come in and actually with other members 18 of the team have an educational for the 19 members of the staff. Do you have questions 20 21 about the stock market? Do you have 22 questions about asset allocation? We will 23 help you with that all along the way. 24 It's not just the six meetings. We have

Page 99 more than that in terms of overall service. 1 2 MR. RUBIN: Can I get one more at the 3 bell? What's your feeling on peer group 4 analysis? 5 MR. NG: Peer group analysis is 6 important as long as you have the right peer 7 group. It's very, very important. MR. RUBIN: Got it. 8 9 MR. BLASBERG: There is always drawbacks with peer group analysis. It's good to look 10 at. It's a useful tool. 11 12 MR. CHESSHIRE: It's a tool. 13 MR. BLASBERG: You never really know exactly the universe you're being compared 14 15 to because it's not all available information, but it is useful. 16 MR. NG: I think --17 MR. BLASBERG: We do look at it. 18 19 included in all our reports at the manager 20 level. 21 MR. NG: And under total planning. The

last point is on our fees it's \$100,000. It

may seem low versus other bidders. One is,

it's a business we want. We would be

22

23

24

```
Page 100
 1
        honored to have your assignment.
 2
        something that we really would like.
                                              It is
 3
        quaranteed for four years at this point in
 4
        time.
               That's maybe the next time the Jets
 5
        win the Superbowl.
 6
            MR. MAZZA: Gentleman, thank you.
 7
 8
            (At this time, a break was taken.)
 9
10
               (PFM Group enters the room.)
11
                       When you guys are ready,
            MR. MAZZA:
12
        you'll have 25 minutes. I'll give you a
13
        5-minute warning.
14
            MR. AMMATURO: Okay. Jumping right in.
15
        Everyone has a copy?
            Well, first I want to say, thank you for
16
        this opportunity. John, Biagio and myself
17
18
        are very excited to be here. John and I
19
        were actually here at this decision point
        five years ago. Unfortunately, it didn't
20
21
        work in our favor. We were very
22
        disappointed with the decision five years
23
        ago. You know, we took the feedback to
24
        heart. We made some changes inhouse.
```

Page 101

1 Sitting to my far right is Biagio, one of our additions who is now our investment 2 committee chairman, our director of 3 4 research. He's been with us for four years That's one of the -- some of the 6 feedback we got when this opportunity didn't 7 work out five years ago. When we see your portfolio five years 8 9 ago, we thought we could improve it. As we 10 sit here today, again, we think we can improve it. We are going to go through how 11 12 in the next 20 minutes or so. 13 If you just flip over the page, on page 3 just real quick intro, my name is Marc 14 Ammaturo. I'm the Managing Director at PFM. 15 Been at PFM for over 10 years now. Came 16 right out of business school. I co-manage 17 18 the business along with John Spagnola. 19 spent a lot of time in client service. 20 of my local clients here as comparable to PGW is SEPTA. 21 22 MR. SPAGNOLA: I'm John Spagnola, 23 Managing Director, as well. I've been at 24 PFM now, it's my 14th year. Prior to that,

Page 102 1 I had my own investment consulting company called Spagnola Cosack. I've been in the 2 consulting business now for 23, 24 years 3 4 overall working with a lot of public sector funds over the course of that time. 6 Appreciate the opportunity to be here. Margaret Belmondo would be part of the 7 client service team. She couldn't join us 8 9 here today. If we're fortunate enough to work with you, she would be working with you 10 11 on the client-basing relationship. 12 MR. MANIERI: Biagio Manieri. I've been 13 with PFM for four years. I'm Director of 14 Research. I oversee a team of analysts that's dedicated to macroeconomics, asset 15 class and management research. Prior to 16 PFM, I was at the Fed overseeing the pension 17 18 plan. Prior to that, I was in the buy side 19 managing equity portfolios. MR. AMMATURO: What we're going to 20 21 accomplish in the next 20 minutes or so is 22 laid out on page 2. John is going to start 23 off by going over the background on PFM, 24 then we are going to seque and we're going

Page 103 1 to get very specific. What's PFM value 2 proposition? We learned a lot when Chris and Matt visited PFM. And we have some 3 three specific elements that we want to dive 4 into how we are different based upon what we heard at that onsite meeting. 6 John is then going to go into observations on your plans, consideration. 8 9 Then I will close with why we think you should hire PFM. So with that, I'll hand it 10 11 to John. 12 Thanks, Marc. So, an MR. SPAGNOLA: 13 overview of PFM Group, many of you are probably familiar with us, is on page 6 we 14 15 are broken into two sections, asset management. We are broken into financial 16 advisory side of our business. 17 On the asset management side in the 18 19 orange is what we're talking about here today. Our business consult funds 20 21 \$16 billion or manages on the discretionary basis \$6 dollars of assets. Our 22 23 discretionary business has grown over the 24 We find we add a lot of value over

Page 104 1 our consulting model. We also managed fixed income assets 2 under the asset management division. On the 3 4 other side of our business is management, budget consulting and financial advisory. 6 We work with the City. Our 127 employees here based on Two Logan Square in 7 Philadelphia. We've been with the City in a 8 9 number of different levels, both on the 10 asset management side. We manage short term 11 fixed income assets and cash for PGW, the 12 Water Department, the Department of 13 Behavioral Health. Our multi-asset class, 14 our group here also works with Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, Community 15 16 College of Philadelphia and SEPTA. And same is also true in the are 17 financial advisory side of our business. 18 have clients that we work with in 19 Philadelphia. We have been in Philadelphia 20 21 for a long time and have a good track 22 record. 23 To dive down more into our multi-asset 24 class team, as I said, about 10 billion

Page 105 consult; 6 billion where we are 1 2 discretionary. We have 200 people that are dedicated to this line of business overall. 3 4 And we have grown our discretionary business. It's an area where we have been 6 able to add value because we can make more 7 timely decisions, implement them and not have to go through an onerous and time 8 9 consuming RFP process. 10 We have a strong client list both in the utility and authority area. SEPTA would 11 12 probably stand out as one of our clients in 13 that area. Massachusetts Port Authority is another one. And Port Authority in New York 14 and New Jersey. And on the pension side we 15 work with Independence Blue Cross here in 16 Philadelphia. A number of Taft-Hartley 17 funds, union funds. And another highlight 18 19 is the NFL hired us a little bit over a year 20 ago to manage their annuity assets. That's 21 about a billion dollars, billion three all 22 told three. 23 We have satisfied clients, high client 24 retention rate and a good mix of clients

Page 106 1 that allows us to bring our best ideas really to all of our clients. 2 MR. AMMATURO: On page 10 we get into 3 4 the resources at PFM. On the top row you 5 see myself and John listed. That's our 6 client service team. A majority of those 7 people in that top row handle clients just like PGW. Majority of them are based in our 8 9 Philadelphia office. Beneath that you see 10 the support structure. I'm not going to go through every vertical right now, just 11 12 highlight a couple of things. One, if you look at portfolio 13 14 management, you see a research team, ten staff. As I mentioned when we started off, 15 we made some additional investments in 16 research. Four of those ten are new hires 17 within the past several years. So, we have 18 19 added to our research depth without a doubt. I will highlight a couple people on the next 20 21 slide. 22 The last thing I will mention up top 23 I mention we have five client 24 service people. Two of those are new. So

Page 107 1 again, we continue to invest in the 2 business. PFM recognizes our practice as being a growth engine for the firm. 3 4 clients are very satisfied with our service. We are gaining traction nationwide, and they 6 want to support our efforts by adding to our 7 resources. And we've been doing that mostly on the research side. 8 9 You see that on page 11. Let's start on 10 the bottom. In terms of economic capital market research, I know Biagio to my far 11 12 right now has been with us now for four 13 years, a recent investment in our firm. Ryan O'Connell, Tara O'Malley just getting 14 started with PFM. Two additional new hires. 15 On the manager research side, Jared Leonard 16 and Ron Chea, also new hires. That's four 17 new hires in addition to Biagio who's now 18 19 been on board for four years. It shows we are dedicated to this business. 20 We are 21 dedicated to building out research at PFM. 22 Up top before I transition is our 23 investment committee. Our investment

committee's sole focus is to identify how to

24

Page 108 1 invest clients' portfolios. For our discretionary clients, we do it realtime. 2 For our consulting clients, we voice those 3 4 opinions next time we're at your quarterly meeting. So, that's the body of people. 6 And it's very distinguished in their experience. If you look at Biagio, 28 years you heard he was second in charge of Federal 8 9 Reserve. You see Marty Mongalis, he's our Been in the business for 35 years. 10 started his time at PFM on the short term 11 12 fixed income side, so we leveraged his expertise there in the short term fixed 13 income side of our business. 14 15 So again, this group of people gets 16 together monthly on a formal basis and sole task is how do we best invest client assets 17 18 where we take minutes. And again, we have 19 very robust conversations around certain items which Biagio will get into. 20 21 MR. SPAGNOLA: I would also add it's 27 22 years average experience in the industry. 23 We've been through six Bear markets.

are not new to investing and that means a

24

Page 109 1 lot, I think, to our clients and the kind of advise we bring and the kind of 2 opportunities we are able to unearth. 3 4 Bill, did you have a question? MR. RUBIN: I do. Do you have a 6 diversity program for bringing in new associates? 7 MR. SPAGNOLA: We do through our hiring 8 9 practices, yeah. We work through our recruiting officer. Diversity is at the 10 11 very top of the list in terms of how we go 12 about looking at new candidates. Yes. MR. AMMATURO: We had a formal 13 14 presentation just about a month ago. 15 going to have one again, I think, this 16 weekend at our retreat all about diversity inclusion and how we keep on moving the 17 18 needle in terms of diversity personnel at 19 PFM. 20 MR. SPAGNOLA: Very proud of our track 21 record there actually as a firm. 22 MR. AMMATURO: The next section gets 23 into the kind of the meat of the 24 presentation, in my opinion. Again, when

Page 110 1 Chris visited us, when Matt visited us, we learned a couple things. And that's what we 2 spell out on page 14. What did we learn 3 4 from the onsite due diligence visit when Matt and Chris were at our offices? 6 First, we learned that your fund is, roughly speaking, about 70 percent funded. 7 What's our investment approach? What we are 8 9 going to drive through in this next section is what does that mean? How does that 10 11 impact how you construct portfolios? 12 We are going to dive into that specifically on the following slides. 13 Biagio is going to lead that conversation. 14 15 We also learned in terms of reviewing portfolio and conversations, it doesn't seem 16 like you are getting a lot of proactive 17 18 investment ideas. We have some investment ideas within here in terms of what we want 19 to voice today based in terms of 20 21 conversations we had with Matt and Chris. 22 The last thing we noticed is client 23 service could be improved was our takeaway 24 from the meeting. We are going to get very

Page 111 specific in terms of how does PFM handle 1 client service in our world. 2 With that, I will hand over to Biagio to talk about our 3 4 diligent investment process. MR. MANIERI: Sure. On slide 16, it 6 presents a picture of how we think about is the best way to manage institution portfolios. We start with the idea that 8 9 asset allocation is the most important driver of returns. We have done some 10 analysis where we have looked at pension 11 12 plan returns, endowment funds, et cetera. The asset allocation explained more than a 13 hundred percent return of those portfolios. 14 We brought a white paper recently where we 15 16 reviewed the literature. Every study that's been done that looked at the importance of 17 the asset allocation versus manager 18 19 selection, security selection, we reviewed those studies. And all of them come to the 20 21 same conclusions. Asset allocation is by 22 far the most important driver of return. 23 Once we set the overall asset allocation, we then break down the asset 24

Page 112

class to finer refinement. Within U.S. 1 2 equity, we break it between large cap and small cap. There are times you want to be 3 4 in small caps. There are times you don't want to be. We were overweight small caps. 6 So the beginning of 2014 when they got to be 7 expensive, they were outperforming. We got out of them. Emerging markets, we got out 8 9 of them in early 2013. There are times you want to be in emerging markets and times you 10 don't want to be in emerging markets. 11 12 Unlike some others that say, well, I 13 always want small cap. I always want 14 emerging markets because they are growing 15 faster. That's not the way we approach it. There are times you want to be in it, there 16 are times you don't want to be in it. As 17 fundamental investor, our job is to figure 18 19 out what's going on with those assets, are the fundamentals getting better? Getting 20 21 worse? Are they getting more expensive or 22 are they cheap? And then add or subtract 23 accordingly. 24 We believe that your default option

Page 113 1 should be passive. Indexing. Doesn't mean 2 that you don't use active managers. use active managers. The default should be 3 4 passive. That means the manager has to convince me to move away from that. Most 6 investors use active funds. And what they 7 end up with is expensive funds that are underperforming. 8 9 If you look at U.S. equities, most 10 underperformed. Then you hear the argument, well, U.S. market is efficient. Emerging 11 12 market is not. That's a hypothesis that we 13 can test. Let's see how EM managers are 14 doing. 75 to 80 percent of them 15 underperforming. Emerging market equities, emerging market debt, three quarters to 85. 16 90 percent in some periods underperformed 17 the index. High yield, over 90 percent 18 19 underperformed the index. So we start off 20 with the idea that you want to be passive. 21 And a manager has to convince us to use 22 active management. 23 We tactically allocate 24 overweights/underweights, add/subtract based

Page 114

on what the fundamentals are. We believe in 1 2 strategic long term asset allocation. also believe in tactical asset allocation. 3 4 For us, tactical is not market timing. don't look at charts. The market is over 6 bought, over sold. That doesn't work. 7 did some analysis. That does not work. if you look at fundamentals, if you look at 8 9 valuation, you can say this asset is cheap, I want to buy it; this asset is expensive, I 10 11 want to sell it. 12 The next slide looks at your 2016 13 capital market assumptions. At the 14 beginning of every year we update our assumption about what different asset 15 classes will return. We do it over the next 16 five years and we do it over the very long 17 The methodologies for intermediate 18 19 versus long term are different. For intermediate, we start off with 20 21 current economic conditions, current 22 valuation, current interest rates, current 23 inflation and then project what all of those 24 variables are going to do over the next five

Page 115 1 Then we derive assumptions for different asset classes. 2 3 The long term assumption, we use a 4 building block approach. We start off with the basic labor force, productivity, 6 inflation expectation. And from there a real return for cash. And from there we build up what we think equities and fixed 8 9 income assets are going to return. If you look at equities, for example, 10 over the next five years, we think they will 11 12 return about 7 percent. Total return on equity including dividends, that's less than 13 over the past few years because valuations 14 are higher. Fixed income, you have to deal 15 16 with low interest rates that are likely to trend a little bit higher. High yield, we 17 18 think will return a little less than 19 6 percent. High yield market is sold off aggressively. The yield is about 9 percent 20 21 on the index. Price have been rising. 22 so, we think about 5.7 is reasonable. Takes into consideration rise in default levels. 23 24 Commodities. We get out of commodities

Page 116 1 in 2013. We don't think you are going to 2 see another commodities supercycle in our lifetime. Commodity prices we think are 3 4 going to stabilize around current low level. We are not going to see oil at a hundred 6 dollars or more in my lifetime. 7 Long term --MR. SPAGNOLA: How long are you planning 8 9 to live? 10 MR. MANIERI: As long as possible. MR. DIFUSCO: John is making light of 11 12 I see intermediate you are defining as 13 5 years. What are you defining as long 14 term? 15 MR. MANIERI: Over 30 years. When we set up these capital market assumptions, we 16 asked the clients what's important to you. 17 18 And they said the long term is important 19 because I'm a pension plan and college endowment, but I also care over the next 5 20 21 years. We said over the next five years and 22 then 30-years plus. We do link the 5 years 23 and 15 years because some clients will say, 24 well, I need to know like a 10-year

Page 117 1 estimate. So for them, we will work out 2 10-year estimates. 3 MR. SPAGNOLA: But it's disingenuous to 4 make a fixed income assumption in 5 and a half percent in this environment, that's why 6 we're at 1 and a half percent. We want to 7 manage our clients' expectations. We know, you know, what you're trying to achieve in 8 9 terms of an investment return. And frankly, 10 it's challenging in this environment. MR. BUTKOVITZ: You think oil is going 11 12 to be at less than \$30 for the next 30 13 years? 14 MR. MANIERI: I didn't say less than I think it will stabilize around these 15 levels. Could be 40, could be 45 dollars. 16 But I don't think we're going back to \$100 17 18 any time soon. 19 MR. BUTKOVITZ: But the expectation of this set of facts has only existed for about 20 21 a year, right? You're telling us that we 22 were going to run out of oil. 23 MR. MANIERI: I didn't believe it then. 24 MR. SPAGNOLA: I remember that.

Page 118

MR. MANIERI: I did not believe it when 1 2 they were saying it then. Like I said, we get out of it in 2013. I did not believe it 3 4 then and I do not believe it now we are 5 running out of oil. 6 MR. SPAGNOLA: One thing that has 7 changed, the assumptions on supply are much greater than they ever were and they're 8 9 valid. Because of current 10 MR. BUTKOVITZ: experience. But we always project from the 11 12 current --13 MR. MANIERI: No. If you had invested a dollar in commodities, not just oil, but 14 commodities in general, over a hundred years 15 ago you would have less inflation adjusted 16 today. Your return would have been less 17 than inflation over the past hundred years. 18 I don't think our views of commodities is 19 been what's been happening over the past one 20 21 or two years. It's what's been happening 22 over the past hundred years plus, which is 23 commodities over a long period of time will 24 not keep up with inflation.

Page 119 1 Why would I believe that commodities are 2 going to give me a great return when historically, that has not been the case? 3 4 MR. SPAGNOLA: I think the one point 5 that you are making, though, is true is that 6 demand is going to continue to rise if supply is so cheap. The whole notion of 7 switching to alternatives and everything 8 9 else, the incentive isn't there right now 10 economically the way it was before when you had gasoline at \$4 a gallon. Now that's cut 11 12 in half. Who knows? MR. BUTKOVITZ: The outlook has changed 13 14 so quickly as a result of fad and erred 15 thinking, so. MR. SPAGNOLA: Yeah. 16 MR. BUTKOVITZ: What happens when China 17 decides that they're just going to start 18 19 building over and over again to keep everybody working? It looks like that's why 20 21 we have the glut in the first place. People 22 thought that was going to happen, they are 23 disappointed. Now they are running for the

exits. Now they are going for the

24

Page 120 1 opposite -- hard for me to believe that we are living -- that this world on oil is the 2 permanent future of oil. 3 I like to know why you think that? 4 MR. MANIERI: Because I think we have 6 enough oil, we have enough natural gas where the price of oil being \$100 is not 7 8 sustainable based on the fundamentals. 9 would say the herd mentality is not what took the price of oil down. The herd 10 11 mentality is what took the price of oil up. 12 You had institutional investors flood into commodities. You look at it as a real 13 asset class. And it's going to give me, you 14 know, a high return that's uncorrelated with 15 16 the equity market. You had more and more institutional investors pumping money in. I 17 18 think that's what took the price of oil up rather than the fundamentals taking the 19 20 price of oil to \$150 a barrel. 21 So whether it's \$30 or \$40, we can 22 debate that. But I don't think oil being 23 \$100 is realistic based on fundamentals. 24 MR. BUTKOVITZ: How much was the global

Page 121 1 warming motionless and the opposition to fossil fuels, how much did that affect 2 what's happened to the price of oil? 3 4 MR. MANIERI: I think the price of oil came down based on the fundamentals. 6 not based on I'm worried about the 7 environment. There is more supply than demand. We are driving more. We are using 8 9 more gasoline, but yet the price of gasoline has come down. We are driving more, not 10 11 less because I am worried about global 12 warming. We are driving more. We are using more gasoline, but the price has come down 13 because there is ample supply. And that's 14 what's bringing the price of oil down. 15 16 So over long periods of time, commodities do not outperform inflation as I 17 said. You can look at the data, verify that 18 19 yourself. Now why is that? One, is the substitution affect is going 20 21 on over long periods of time. Price of 22 copper goes up. What do builders do? 23 use other materials other than copper. 24 price of a commodity goes up. What happens?

Page 122 1 The commodity producers go out and look for 2 supply, which is happened with oil. The price of oil get down to about \$10 3 4 in the late 1990s. Is Exxon going out to 5 look for more oil when the price of oil is 6 at \$10? No. What happens. China goes on this building boom. You mentioned China 7 8 built. China went through that phase. 9 built lots of building infrastructure and so 10 on. They went through that phase. So China was using up lots of commodities at a time 11 12 when the commodity producers were not 13 looking for more because commodity prices were cheap. So commodities prices went up. 14 What did the commodities producers do? 15 They went out and looked for more oil. 16 went out and dug up more copper mines. 17 The 18 supply goes up at a time when China decides, 19 well, we build enough buildings, enough 20 highways. 21 MR. MAZZA: Five-minute warning. 22 I'm sorry? MR. MANIERI: 23 Five-minute warning. MR. MAZZA: 24 Okay. And so, that's why MR. MANIERI:

Page 123 1 the price came down. I don't think we're going back to \$100. 2 MR. RUBIN: When you say hedge funds, is 3 4 that direct or fund of funds? MR. MANIERI: Direct hedge funds. Fund 6 of funds just invest directly and invest in 7 the underlying hedge funds. Now that you mention hedge funds, we think as a group, 8 9 not every single one. Some of them are well 10 outperformed. But as a group, we don't think they will outperform publicly traded 11 12 markets. 13 MR. DIFUSCO: What purpose are you using them for? 14 15 MR. MANIERI: That's a very good question. That's the way to think about how 16 to use hedge funds. It's not, I want to use 17 5 percent in hedge funds and let me allocate 18 19 to them. The right way to do it is to say what hedge fund strategies is going to 20 21 complement my portfolio given the current market environment. 22 23 We actually have an example of an idea 24 the Board may want to consider. Given where

Page 124 1 capital markets are, so equities are a 2 little on the expensive side. Interest rates are low. How about if you wed a 3 4 multi-strategy hedge fund that's run by talented managers that have long/short 6 positions in different markets. Long/short 7 equity, long/short credit, et cetera. are hedged. The long and the short hedge 8 9 each other. That type of strategy in the 10 hands of a talented manager can deliver 4, 5, 6 percent return with volatility at 4 to 11 12 5 percent. That's something that's worth 13 thinking seriously about as opposed to I 14 want 10 percent in hedge funds. 15 MR. DIFUSCO: And as a general matter, what do you -- again, I'm asking you to 16 generalize I realize. 17 MR. MANIERI: 18 Yeah. 19 MR. DIFUSCO: What do you consider in the current market environment to be a 20 21 reasonable or acceptable hedge fund fee? 22 What -- again, I get it's a generalization. 23 MR. MANIERI: Okay. So as you know, the 24 2 and 20 has been coming down because their

Page 125 1 under pressure. What do I think is fair? What I think is fair and what's going to 2 3 happen are two different things. Fair I 4 would say 50 basis points and management fee and then maybe 10 percent of the carry. 6 They are coming down, but we're not going to those levels. Then the question is, do I 7 think this particular manager is talented 8 9 enough where, you know, I hold my nose and I 10 pay him these high fees because net-of-fee, which is what's important, net-of-fees, they 11 12 are going to add to my portfolio. I think there are funds out there where 13 14 you can say the answer is yes. 15 MR. DIFUSCO: Okay. 16 MR. AMMATURO: I think it's a good seque and time to flip to page 20 real briefly. 17 What we did here is we got this data from 18 19 Chris and Matt and PGW column is your current allocation mix. So you have 65 20 21 equity, 35 fixed income. As you glance down 22 that row, you see fixed income is not 23 diversified. It's all core. If you look at 24 alternatives, it's 0.

Page 126

1 Your expected return over the next 5 2 years is 5.4 percent. That's using our capital market assumptions, 5.4 percent. 3 Your standard deviation or volatility in 4 that return set is 11.3 percent. You know, 6 your actual assumption of 7.65. There's only a 33 percent of chance of meeting that 7 over the next 5 years. That's in line with 8 9 kind of what John was saying earlier. 10 need to manage our clients' expectations. It's going to be a challenging time to get 11 12 to that number. I want to kind of look at one 13 alternative portfolio. Two columns over to 14 that kind of seques the conversation we were 15 just having. It's very similar in the 16 layout. The only two differentiators are we 17 diversified fixed income a little bit more. 18 You see 2 and a half percent to investment 19 grade corporate, 2 and a half EM debt, 2 and 20 21 a half high yield. If you glance down to 22 alternatives, we layered in 5 percent hedge 23 funds, 5 percent real estate. 24 And look what happened to the expected

Page 127 1 return. Expected return went up to 5.7 2 percent. And the standard deviation went down to 10.8 percent. That's what we're 3 4 trying to do with alternatives. We are 5 trying to manage that risk-reward 6 relationship. It's kind of a perfect seques from the conversation we just had. 7 The point here is we are not going to 8 9 come here and educate you on these asset 10 classes. We are not going to demand that you have these assets. We are going to have 11 12 a conversation, a good dialogue and see if it's a good fit for PGW to morph in this 13 14 direction. Again, these are just examples. We think there is opportunity to 15 diversify the portfolio. That's exhibited 16 on page 20. 17 MR. SPAGNOLA: Let me just jump in. 18 think I need to call an audible here. I am 19 going the just ask you to turn to page 29 in 20 21 the interest of time. Here is some ideas we 22 think should be brought to the table, and 23 this is in the order in which we think they 24 should be brought.

Page 128 1 First is private real estate. Uncorrelated asset class. All you have to 2 look at 2015 return. This is through 3 4 September of last year. You can get a 10 percent return again from private real 6 estate. The equity markets of that time are 7 minus 5.3 percent. That's an area where you can do it in your core, value added area 8 9 where the leverage maybe goes from 25 10 percent to 50 percent or so. In that scenario, we can capture a nice return. 11 12 It's not correlated. You lease a building, 13 rent it out, people pay their rent, it's all 14 you need. International small cap is another one. 15 It's non-correlated. We think that makes a 16 lot of sense. We think that dedicated high 17 yield makes a lot of sense. 18 We have 19 managers right now that can go up to a certain percentage in high yield. We look 20 21 at fixed income managers, core plus 22 managers. They always seem to have the same 23 allocation. They let the market come to

We think that we should tee up

24

them or not.

Page 129 1 and get a high yield manager through an RFP 2 process, have that manager all ready to go. And he or she can run the money when we see 3 4 the go signal for high yield. These are the kind of things that we would like to do in order. 6 Tips is another area where we added value and hedge funds 7 beyond that. Those are sort of our five 8 9 ideas that we would bring to the table. Because as we look at the portfolio, we 10 think it's a portfolio that, you know, can 11 12 use some help in terms of new ideas, non-correlated risk reduction ideas and 13 alpha generating ideas. 14 15 That's what we would do. MR. DIFUSCO: You would recommend 16 building almost a bench -- I don't want to 17 18 put words in your mouth, but you would 19 recommend floating RFPs? 20 MR. SPAGNOLA: Yes. 21 MR. DIFUSCO: Essentially having 22 0-dollar contracts and then being able to 23 allocate to them. 24 MR. SPAGNOLA: Absent any material

Page 130 1 change. I think the RFP process is onerous. It doesn't lend itself to being more 2 managing. We made seven tactical decisions 3 4 in our discussions. MR. MANIERI: We got out of high yield 6 last year. That's why we're saying not 7 today, but --8 MR. DIFUSCO: I get it. 9 MR. SPAGNOLA: Line it all up. Let's 10 get these managers in place. And then let's look for the go signals. We made 35 percent 11 12 in 2009 in high yield not because we call a falling knife, but we saw the knife hit the 13 ground and high yields start to rebound. 14 So those are the kinds of things I think 15 we can do. There are opportunities. It's a 16 lot of work, but we're up to the task 17 because we've already rolled up our sleeves. 18 19 And frankly, we've been looking at this account for over a decade, you know, in 20 21 terms of the kinds of things we think we can 22 do. I just want to make that comment. 23 Marc, I will leave it to you to close.

MR. RUBIN: John, what's your feel on

24

Page 131 1 timber? 2 MR. SPAGNOLA: I am going to defer to A lot of it is based -- timber is 3 4 based on construction. Construction is down 5 right now globally. The housing market has 6 not come back. You know, David -- I'm a Yale alumnus. David Swensen owns timber. 7 His view on timber is if you don't use it, 8 9 the trees grow and next year you have more timber. 10 So, that's a really non-correlated asset 11 12 It's a liquid investment. There are different ways of getting into it. I don't 13 think a \$500 million portfolios, that's not 14 the first place I would look or I think we 15 would like to diversify. I think there is 16 other areas, public markets more liquid of 17 doing it. I wouldn't dismiss it necessarily 18 19 out of hand. That's my kind of just quick knee-jerk reaction. 20 21 MR. MANIERI: What I would add to that 22 is I think as an investment, probably gets 23 to be expensive. An investment, everything 24 is what are you paying for that asset. And

Page 132 1 because money has flowed into timber because 2 of the herd mentality they were talking about with commodities, you know. Because 3 4 Yale attract a lot of attention. Everybody wants to be like Yale. A lot of money 6 flowed into timber. The asset got to be expensive. Now if prices come down, it 7 would be a better investment in my opinion. 8 9 MR. SPAGNOLA: That's typical with our fundamental. We track all the asset classes 10 when we see cheap. We don't want to buy it 11 12 again to try to pick a bottom. We are always looking for a rebound in the class 13 versus other asset class. We think that's 14 where we can add value. 15 MR. RUBIN: With your expertise, how did 16 the Doug Pederson pick? 17 MR. SPAGNOLA: (Laughs) I don't really 18 19 want to use up our time on that answer. But if you hire us, we can have that 20 21 conversation. 22 MR. DIFUSCO: Can work with Jaws as a 23 consultant. 24 (Laughter)

Page 133

1 MR. DIFUSCO: Maybe this is for Marc to I know there's been a little bit --2 answer. Marc can talk about this in closing. 3 4 Can you talk about the fee proposal. 5 think maybe Matt may have had the conversation kind of where it is vis-a-vis 6 7 some other proposals we have gotten and why you think it's appropriate or if there is 8 flexibility there. Just spend 30 seconds or 9 a minute talking about that. 10 MR. AMMATURO: Yeah, when we looked at 11 12 the initial fee, Chris, it is very 13 comparable. It's very reasonable compared to our current client base. When you look 14 at comparable consulting clients we have, 15 that is more than reasonable relative to 16 PFM's client base. When you look at it in 17 terms of basis points to 175,000 and 18 500 million, you're talking about a couple 19 basis points. 20 21 We think we can move the needle. We 22 just walked through some proactive 23 investment ideas that we think we can move 24 the needle let's say, roughly speaking, a

Page 134 1 percent on analyzed basis going forward. And 1 percent, that's a hundred basis 2 points. You know, we are of the mindset 3 4 that 175,000 is more than reasonable to a service a \$500 million plan that's going to 6 require obviously meeting every other month or RFP volume. We took that all into 7 consideration. And that's how we wind up 8 9 175,000 figure. If that's a deal breaker, obviously, we 10 11 want to have conversations. We don't want 12 that to be the be all, end all and that's 13 going to keep us out of the box. 14 MR. SPAGNOLA: I think you load 15 commodities, service fees lead to inertia which lead to not a lot of activities. So 3 16 and a half basis points, everybody else is 17 18 maybe 2 and a half because. We think that 1 19 basis point difference, we are going to 20 handle more than 1 basis points, a hundred 21 basis points or more of value. Just said that is 22 MR. RUBIN: 23 negotiable? 24 It is negotiable. MR. SPAGNOLA: We

Page 135 1 want to work with you. We just think that's sort of -- we have to hit the ground running 2 with this. I don't think this fund has been 3 4 well served under the arrangement based on 5 what we see in the portfolio. 6 MR. MAZZA: Thank you, gentlemen. (Brief break as PFM Group ends presentation.) 7 8 9 (NEPC enters room for presentation.) MR. MAZZA: Just to let you know, you 10 have 25 minutes. I will give you guys a 11 12 5-minute warning. Let me know when you're ready. 13 14 MR. LEONARD: We're ready. My name is 15 Kevin Leonard. I'm a partner with NEPC. I head up our public fund practice group at 16 NEPC. As we go through our presentation, I 17 will let you know where that fits. 18 19 are fortunate enough to gain your confidence today, this is the team. So, I will let 20 21 these two gentleman introduce themselves. The way we work with all of our clients 22 23 is we have two consultants that work on 24 every relationship. We have what we call

Page 136 1 CSA, Consultant Support Analyst. That will be Will's role. Then we also have a PA, a 2 Portfolio Analyst, supporting your account. 3 4 Obviously, behind that we have a big research team that would also work on your 6 relationship directly and indirectly. will get into that in a minute. 7 Before I get into who is NEPC, I will 8 9 let these two gentlemen introduce themselves. 10 11 MR. DRISCOLL: I am Scott Driscoll. T'm 12 the consultant here at NEPC. Primarily 13 working with plans like yourself. working at NEPC for over 12 years now. 14 know as I said, predominantly working with 15 public funds. Also, with part of our 16 research advisory group as the global asset 17 allocation managers. We do appreciate you 18 19 inviting us in. Have Will go over his. 20 MR. FORDE: William Forde. I'm senior 21 Analyst of our public fund team as we work with Scott and Kevin on a number of our 22 23 public fund clients. Been at the firm for 5 24 years. Actually, started out in our

Page 137 1 research department. We will get into that a little bit. Kind of moved over to the 2 consulting side about three years ago. 3 4 MR. LEONARD: Great. I apologize. 5 Obviously, I introduced myself as a partner. 6 I have 24 years of investment experience, 23 7 of those in the investing consultant working primarily. Today I work with all public 8 9 funds. Historically, primarily, I've always worked with public fund, defined benefit. 10 If I can ask you to turn to page 3, who 11 12 is NEPC? Some of you may know us. We used to at one point be called New England 13 Pension Consultants. As our firm grew and 14 we expanded beyond New England, we thought 15 it smart to maybe not kind of tag ourselves 16 as New England Pension Consultants. 17 after much thought, we just shortened it to 18 19 Today we are NEPC headquartered in Boston, Massachusetts. We were founded in 20 21 1986. We are now celebrating our 30th year

seven regional offices. Those are listed at

Today we have over 250 employees with

22

23

24

in business.

Page 138 1 the bottom. I will let you read that at 2 your leisure. I think the one important thing to get across to you today is we are 3 4 privately owned, employee owned firm. have 34 partners. I think that's extremely 6 important in today's environment in the 7 consulting industry. We have seen a lot of consolidation in the consulting industry as 8 9 the original founders of many consulting firms are looking to transition out of their 10 11 businesses. 12 Our founder Dick Charlton who is now 13 officially retired from the organization effective January 1 after 30 years about 14 seven, eight years ago he really started 15 16 selling back his ownership into the firm. Why is that important? It's extremely 17 18 important for us to be able to attract 19 outside talent. I'll use myself as an 20 example. 21 I came to NEPC from Senior Advisors. 22 The ability to go to an independently owned 23 firm where I can make a difference and be an 24 owner of the organization was extremely

Page 139 1 attractive to me. For these two gentlemen, 2 they are on the track to be partners some When we all come into the 3 day as well. office, we know that it's our firm that we 4 work for. At the end of the day, this is 6 all we do. All NEPC does is investment consulting services. We don't do any 7 financing. We don't do investment 8 9 management. We don't do brokerage services. 10 One hundred percent of our revenue is from 11 investment consulting services. 12 We have 347 institutional clients. can see on the right side of page 3, our 13 total clients are listed. You can see where 14 the public fund slice of the pie is we have 15 65 public funds. I will define that a 16 little bit more in the future here in the 17 next couple of pages. As far as assets 18 under management, we have 927 billion under 19 advisement. You can see in that bottom 20 21 right pie chart, public funds is over half of our business. 22 23 You may ask, well, if you're a smaller

slice from total clients, why do you

24

Page 140 dominate so much on the assets under 1 2 management? We have a number of state pension funds that obviously have billions 3 4 of dollars. For example, Ohio Public Employee, we 6 have seven state public funds which have large assets, so that skews it somewhat. 7 We don't want to be known as just a 8 9 one-trick kind of pony, if you will. don't want to be known as just a public fund 10 consultant or corporate consultant. 11 12 design, you will see that that diversity, if 13 you will, in client type is again by design. We are able to kind of cross-pollinate ideas 14 that we learn from each other across all of 15 our client types. We will get into that in 16 a little bit when Scott talks about our 17 18 philosophy. Hallmarks of NEPC. One thing also that 19 20 we like to say is we like to be your trusted 21 advisor. We want to partner with you. 22 are not firms that come in and say it's our 23 way or the highway. We really want to 24 partner with you, partner with your staff to

Page 141

1 come up with the best ideas for your plan. Experienced professionals. We like to 2 home grown our talent, right? As Scott 3 4 said, he came in as a portfolio analyst. 5 Has worked his way up to a consultant. Will came in on the research side of the 6 7 organization. He's now on the consulting side of the equation and on a fast track to 8 9 being a consultant. We think that's the 10 best way to really grow our talent. Can't go to a university and learn how to be an 11 12 investment consultant. You can learn about 13 investments, but how you work with clients and implement that, we think coming in from 14 the ground up is a great way to grow our 15 16 talent. Because of the growth of NEPC, we have 17 gone outside the firm. Again, using me as 18 19 an example where I did start out in the consulting business. Obviously, bringing 20 experienced people from the outside whether 21 it be from other consulting firms or whether 22 23 it come from the trustee side of the table 24 like yourselves. That's our commitment to

Page 142 1 you to bringing those experienced professionals. 2 You will see in that third bullet point 3 4 on page 4, proactive asset allocation advice. Going to get into some of the tools we use. We are not afraid to make 6 recommendations and not afraid to look into the future and see what's coming around the 8 9 corner, if you will, to implement to your portfolio. We think that's what we're 10 getting paid to do, right? We are getting 11 12 paid to provide you and your staff the 13 advice you need to make good important investment decisions. 14 Page 5 is just our public funds from our 15 public fund clients. I will skip that. 16 Pages 6 and 7 kind of show us from a client 17 satisfaction standpoint. Lot of numbers on 18 19 here. If I can just maybe ask you to look at page 6 at the bottom right. Greenwich 20 21 Associates, you may be familiar with them. 22 They are an organization which does polling 23 of the investment business world, whether it 24 be on the investment advisor side,

Page 143 1 investment consulting side. They poll 2 investment managers. They poll clients. am sure you two maybe have been asked in the 3 4 past to fill out these surveys. You can see here in the bottom right, it's where NEPC has ranked among the ten 6 largest consulting firms. You can see from 7 2004 to 2014 we've been ranked in the top 8 9 There is one year in 2010 where you 10 can see we dropped to number four. If you 11 look at the detail behind why we dropped to 12 number four in 2010, some of our clients were giving us lower rankings for our 13 14 performance measurement services. listened to our clients. We implemented a 15 whole new performance system. We obviously 16 increased our rankings, if you will. 17 In 2014, we were the number one ranked 18 19 Greenwich consulting in the survey. Page 7 gives you the detail of where those rankings 20 21 are. Page 9 is our organization, a flat 22 organization. Where do we fit? We are on 23 the left side. We have our consulting 24 practice group organized into kind of three

Page 144 1 categories. The Taft-Hartley public arena, 2 corporate clients, and then you can kind of see endowment and foundation and private 3 4 wealth. We obviously fall under that Taft-Hartley public. I'm the leader of the 6 public fund team. We have a dedicated 7 research team. We are going to spend a few minutes on that. Then you can see 8 9 consulting services, finance and then, obviously, the back office. 10 We are led by Mike Manning. He is the 11 12 managing partner of NEPC. His day job is to work with clients and run the organization. 13 He is obviously the leader of NEPC. 14 I will skip through pages 10 and 11 and get 15 into page 12, our dedicated consultant team 16 and research team. 17 As a consulting team, we work with our 18 19 clients on a day-to-day basis. Behind us is the research group. That's really the 20 21 engine that drives NEPC. We are showing you 22 in the top right is today we have 49 23 dedicated research professionals. 24 like to define myself, Scott and Will, we

Page 145 are frontline working with our clients. 1 go to client meetings. Client relations. 2 The research folks are the folks that work 3 4 back in the offices. Their job is if you are in the manager research, to find good 6 managers. If you are in the asset 7 allocation team, to come up with the best They're not being pulled in different 8 9 directions. They're not traveling to client 10 meetings. Do we bring them to client meetings when needed? Absolutely. Do they 11 12 talk to the staff over the phone? 13 Absolutely. Their job is to really stay back there and be that engine that drives 14 15 NEPC. Our commitment to that research growth, 16 as you can see in 1995, I think the numbers 17 were two or three people were dedicated 18 19 research. Today, 49. You can see that a 20 percentage of our overall workforce is just 21 over 40 percent of NEPC is research people. 22 That's our commitment to you. That's how we 23 grow our business, and that's how we grow, 24 obviously, our reputation within the

Page 146

1 industry. 2 As you see as the firm grows, there's always a concern as so what does that mean 3 4 for the client? As we continue to grow, does that mean Kevin is working on 15 6 accounts and Scott is on 12? Today, we are 7 going to tell you we will return every phone call. Once we sign the contract, we're 8 9 never going to hear from you. That's not the case. That is at the forefront of what 10 we are as an organization and as a leader of 11 12 the public fund practice, I would argue that 13 the public fund practice is probably the most demanding that we have within our 14 client basis. Returning client's phone 15 calls, being there for them is paramount to 16 a successful relationship. 17 How are we going to do that? 18 We can't 19 do that if we're flying around to 15, 20 clients. If you look here at our clients 20 21 per consultant, as the firm has grown, that 22 has actually gone down. You can see in 1997 23 when all you invested in was stocks and

bonds it was a little easier.

24

You can see

Page 147 1 that the average consultant was working with 2 16 relationships. Today depending on kind of sophistication of the client load, it's 3 4 anywhere from, call it, six to nine. average, I think we say we have about seven 6 clients per consultant. Again, that is our 7 commitment to you. It is extremely hard to win business. 8 9 It's very easy to lose it. And so once we 10 win a piece of business, we are going to do everything in our power to maintain that 11 12 relationship. Existing clients always come 13 first. Our commitment to you is that those 14 ratios won't increase. Actually, as the 15 industry continues to get more and more difficult and particularly in the public 16 fund space as the demands go beyond 17 obviously just the investments, per se, 18 19 that's our commitment to you as a public fund is that you will always have the 20 resources you need from the client services. 21 22 MR. RUBIN: Kevin, do you have a 23 diversity program for bringing in new 24 associates?

Page 148 1 MR. LEONARD: We do. We have some 2 slides in the back or I can just speak to it Absolutely, yes. I can get you the 3 4 statistics behind it. However, you'd like me to --5 6 MR. RUBIN: We can get them. 7 MR. LEONARD: Great. I am going to skip some of the overall performance you can see 8 9 on pages 13. We like to kind of brag about our overall client results. For time sake, 10 I think I am going to pause there and answer 11 12 any questions you might have about the 13 organization. Again, privately held organizations. And I will kind of end my 14 15 comments to say, again, with some of the consolidation you have seen in the industry, 16 we are well past that. 17 Our original founder is retired. 18 owners in the firm and that continues to 19 I am going to pass it off to Scott. 20 21 Scott will go through our high level 22 consulting though process. 23 MR. DRISCOLL: If you can please turn to 24 page 15. We can talk about our investment

Page 149

1 philosophy, how we help you as clients. 2 One of our primary goals is to work with you as trustees as well as the staff to 3 4 implement an investment program that is designed to meet your goals and objectives. The way we do that is we build diversified 6 portfolios looking at what your goals and 7 objectives are. We understand. 8 9 talked about how we have different types of 10 clients, whether or not it's public funds, unions, private wealth and whatnot. You all 11 12 have different goals and objective. Some of the top goals and objectives, 13 14 whether or not you can meet your cash needs. We understand that's very important. 15 Getting your expected return, that's one of 16 the biggest things facing public funds right 17 Can you actually meet your actual rate 18 of return. We work with our clients, the 19 staff. One of the real resources we pull 20 upon is our actual asset allocation team who 21 22 works very closely with clients and staff 23 trying to develop what we feel can be the 24 best possible asset allocation to meet your

Page 150 1 goals and objectives. 2 We look at it twofold. We look at long term strategic asset allocation. So whether 3 4 or not it's a 30-year return, but we also look at tactical asset allocation. 6 the 30 years as a building block. And then we look into tactical opportunities which 7 the market is constantly changing. 8 9 market changes, we need to make sure that our clients can take advantage of the 10 different investment opportunities. 11 That's 12 one of the fluid approaches that we built 13 into this. One of the things I can talk about --14 MR. LEONARD: As Scott is flipping that 15 as well as important as any, we don't just 16 have one solution. We are not an 17 off-the-shelf consultant. We don't come in 18 19 and say if your risk is this level, here is our high risk portfolio. Every program we 20 21 have is customized. I don't want to sound 22 like a used car salesman, but it's a 23 customized solution to meet your

liabilities. And again, as Scott pointed

24

Page 151 1 out, to meet your overall objectives. 2 MR. RUBIN: If you take our portfolio 3 the way it is already? 4 MR. DRISCOLL: Yeah. MR. RUBIN: Have you looked at it and 6 though where we are okay or not okay, what you would do differently. 7 8 MR. DRISCOLL: Yeah. We have that 9 further on in the presentation. It's a good 10 segue actually. If you go to page 16 to talk about the tools that we use when we 11 12 look at your portfolio to try to achieve 13 your goals. 14 On page 16, you can see we have our risk 15 management risk budget. Really it's a four-step process. We have investment 16 policy statement development which I am sure 17 18 you are well aware of working with our 19 clients to develop your guidelines. But 20 then there is the asset liability study. 21 Any time we start with a client, we run a 22 formal asset liability study. That's 23 looking at what you need to achieve based on 24 your goals and objective. And we take that

Page 152 information, and that's how we start to 1 build the asset allocation on that. 2 We want to be able to understand the risk that you 3 4 can take in your investment program. want to understand where you can't take 6 risks. And, you know, looking at those 7 things is a way that we can build a target asset allocation to help people achieve that 8 9 goal. Really these days, help you achieve that expected rate of return which, you 10 know, is at the forefront of the public fund 11 12 industry right now. One way we look at that is through risk 13 14 budgeting. Risk budgeting is the way you look at the plan and how is that risk 15 balanced across the plan. We will go into 16 that a little deeper, but we look at it, 17 let's say, for example portfolio that is 18 19 60 percent in equities and 40 percent in bonds, you are actually deriving 90 percent 20 21 of the risk in your portfolio from that 22 60 percent equity position. So that is 23 really -- you know, that shows you one of

the risk metrics. We can go into that in a

24

Page 153 1 little more detail. 2 MR. LEONARD: Flip right into page 33 to kind of go over -- maybe answer your 3 4 question a little bit more directly. we did here on page 33 is on an annual basis 6 we publish what we call are actuary 7 assumptions. Five to seven-year forecast on the underlying asset classes. We have that 8 9 detailed in here. And we can answer what you might have on that. We also look at a 10 30-year projection. We use that as a time 11 12 to say to our clients, where do we think the Where do we think the markets 13 markets are? are going and kind of come up with some of 14 general actions for what we call. 15 16 What we did here on page 13 is we took your investment policy, we applied it to our 17 18 2016 market forecast. The way you want to 19 read this is you would see what that policy is by applying it to our underlying forecast 20 21 over the next 5 to civic years, you would 22 expect a rate of return. Again, if your 23 were perfectly invested in those 24 percentages, you would expect a rate of

Page 154 1 return about 5.69 percent. Over the next 30 2 years, that same portfolio, again perfectly invested, a hundred percent indexed if you 3 4 will. Our assumptions are beta only. You 5 would expect a rate of return of 7 percent. 6 So, when you look at your --7 MR. RUBIN: 7 percent? MR. LEONARD: Yes. 30 years at 8 9 7 percent. The expected 5 to 7 is 5.69. 10 MR. DIFUSCO: What assumption down -- i know you excluded for this page. But what 11 12 assumption in general do you make in terms 13 of value you add on the --14 MR. LEONARD: So --15 MR. DIFUSCO: What do you use for that? 16 50 basis points? 40? 60? MR. LEONARD: Depends on the level of 17 active management. I would say the range is 18 19 25 to 50 basis points is what we say. 20 lawyers always hate when we say that. 21 say, how do you prove that? I could say if look at the universe is, what the median 22 23 active manager historically has been able to 24 do relative to the benchmark, on average you

Page 155 1 would say you hope after fees a portfolio like this given your kind of traditional 2 portfolio, it's probably more in the 50 3 4 basis points, but anywhere from 20 to 50 5 basis points. 6 Over the next 5 to 7 years our outlook 7 on the market, right, you can obviously do the math. Over the next 5 to 7 years we 8 9 don't think that you will have the ability even with strong active management. 10 gets into kind of what are the 11 12 recommendations that we would have for the overall portfolio? 13 14 Again, as Scott was talking about, we clearly would want to use some of the risk 15 tools that we have. We have a tool called 16 liquidity analysis which takes a look at the 17 overall liquidity of the portfolio. 18 19 some of the things we've really been working with our clients on we'd want to speak to 20 21 you about is the potential of alternative 22 investments something you would want to use. 23 MR. DIFUSCO: Can you define that? 24 Everybody obviously uses it -- everybody

Page 156 1 hear, meaning we talk. Alternative means different things to different people. 2 does it mean to NEPC in this portfolio? 3 4 MR. LEONARD: We would define alternative investments as private equity, 6 private debt. Some firms kind of consolidate that. What do we mean by private debt. We are big proponents today 8 9 of direct lending strategies. These are 10 private strategies where you are, in 11 essence, loaning money. So private equity, 12 private debt, real estate, hedge fund. 13 then a final category is something that we've been working very much with our 14 clients on post 2008 is what we're calling 15 16 opportunistic credit. Post 2008, as we have seen is a market 17 18 obviously had its issues, we were working with our clients. First started on the 19 liquid side. What opportunities existed 20 21 coming out of 2008 in the credit markets. 22 And that's morphed into some of the 23 alternative space even into quasi-hedge fund 24 space. Those are the thing that we would

Page 157

- 1 really define as alternative investments.
- 2 Really defining on the profile of the fund
- 3 structure itself, the liquidity profile of
- 4 the fund structures versus just a blanket
- 5 private equity is an alternative.
- 6 An example might be long/short hedge
- funds. We actually would argue that that is
- 8 actually more like a traditional investment,
- 9 right versus just a blank hedge fund. Some
- of our clients view that differently. We
- wrote a white paper about three years ago
- talking about how a simple long/short
- 13 strategy can fit into a traditional equity
- 14 bucket.
- MR. DIFUSCO: Where do you think you
- 16 would start? If you were hired and made a
- 17 recommendation --
- 18 MR. MAZZA: Five-minute warning.
- 19 MR. DIFUSCO: -- and staff, which one of
- 20 those alternatives you think? Asking you to
- 21 generalize. I get it.
- MR. LEONARD: Real estate.
- MR. DIFUSCO: But if you were here today
- 24 you would start with real estate?

Page 158

1 MR. LEONARD: Real estate, private debt. We think given our outlook over the next 5 2 years, I don't mean to say -- I think that 3 4 depending on how quickly the trustees and staff could work, we think we can do that in 5 6 tandem. We do what we call pacing plans. 7 How much money -- we have a target of 5 percent to private equity and private 8 9 debt. We would have to come to you and say 10 we want you to spend X amount of money over the next three years. Let's set the budget 11 12 on how much money you can put into those assets class and then work with you on 13 implementing that. We are not going to put 14 5 percent private equity to work in one 15 16 year. We want to diversify that. As far as we're concerned, obviously 17 18 given the governance that you need to live 19 under, we can handle working on all three of those at the same time. We understand 20 21 that's a lot of work. But we don't just say 22 start with just this and wait till next year 23 to do this. It's really at the speed you 24 can work.

Page 159 1 MR. RUBIN: Hedge funds direct or fund 2 of funds? So both. I think that MR. LEONARD: 3 4 would be a discussion we would want to have 5 with you given the size of your assets. And the definition of hedge fund is in our 6 opinion has kind of blurred the line. 7 8 Because some credit opportunities that exist 9 today, we would argue are kind of quasi-hedge traditional strategies. I think 10 11 it's going to really depend on how you want 12 to define what an alternative is. As I said earlier, you know, is it the 13 liquidity. Is it the fund structure itself? 14 Again, we work with our clients. 15 have a massive program with direct private 16 equity, direct real estate, direct hedge 17 18 funds, direct private debt. We have fund of funds as well. If you look at the size of 19 the firm and the size of the clients we work 20 21 with, we go in many, many different 22 directions. There is positives. 23 Fund of funds, obviously there's many 24 reasons why you may not want to do fund of

Page 160 1 Given your fund size, we think there 2 could be opportunities to utilize some fund of funds exposure and complement that with 3 4 some of the direct alternative space. Some of the other things that we would 6 want to talk about to you about is on the fixed income side. You're covering 7 5 percent exposure, right? We are kind of 8 9 in a rising rate environment. Although, given where the market is and the market 10 which is literally falling off a cliff 11 12 today. Maybe further interest rate increases is not going to happen as 13 originally expected. We are working with 14 our clients on the fixed income side. 15 Really talking about is core intermediate 16 bar at type fixed income the real exposure 17 you want to have. 18 19 Our outlook on core bonds is right around 2 percent. It went up a little bit 20 21 this year. It's 2-3, 2-4. Is that the type 22 of fixed income you want to have? Is that 23 type of fixed income giving you the 24 protection in your portfolio that you think

Page 161 1 So in tandem with some maybe alternatives, looking at your overall fixed 2 income portfolio is something we would want 3 4 to kind of come out of the gate with, as well. 5 6 I think we might have less than a minute left. I will --7 8 MR. MAZZA: You have some time. 9 MR. LEONARD: Okay. I'm nervous. 10 don't know what happens. 11 (Laughter) 12 Again, in any relationship when we 13 start, again, it's really talking about coming out of the gate, doing an asset 14 liability study. As Scott was mentioning, 15 using some of these tools, you know. Scott 16 talked about on page 34, really helping you 17 identify where the risks are in the 18 portfolio, doing risk budgeting tool. 19 kind of show you these mixes that we would 20 21 want you to consider, what is the overlying impact. 22 23 Our overall culture of the firm is 24 taking a look at kind of overall kind of

Page 162 1 what is risk in a portfolio, helping you understand what those risks are. 2 Maybe page 37. You know, Will was going 3 4 to just quickly talk about -- maybe just talk about our research team here on page Will, talk about how that is 6 37. structured. 7 MR. FORDE: Yeah. Absolutely. So, we 8 9 have a traditional research teams that focuses solely on long-only equities, 10 11 long-only fixed income and an alternative 12 research group that focuses on that asset classes that Kevin mentioned: 13 Private equities, private debts, hedge funds, real 14 15 estate. You can see the structure here. 16 Given the time, I'm not going to dig deep into each of the individuals on the 17 18 But I will -respective teams. 19 MR. DIFUSCO: One quick question. 20 much interaction is there between the folks 21 on different research teams? Obviously, 22 there's some overlap between long-only 23 equity and somebody maybe looking at hedge 24 fund or different.

Page 163 How much interaction is there between 1 2 the different research teams? The answer is a lot. Moving MR. FORDE: 3 4 into actually our new office a couple years ago, our research team all sits on one floor now. We have the traditional research 6 7 groups, alternative guys sitting in one floor really sharing ideas, sharing themes. 8 9 A lot of times they will sit in on meetings 10 on long-only equity meeting, will be attended by our short equity specialists, 11 12 for example. It's kind of 13 cross-pollination. 14 (Final alarm rings.) MR. LEONARD: Can I finish? 15 16 MR. MAZZA: Continue your point. MR. LEONARD: Felt like I was on a game 17 18 show. 19 On the credit opportunities team, right, and even now today we have what we call an 20 21 energy team, like, how do we -- although I 22 think energy is down to like 26 bucks a 23 barrel. We put together what was called an 24 energy team and credit opportunities team.

Page 164 1 It is comprised of folks on the traditional 2 side, on the private market side, on the hedge fund. You can -- you come at these 3 4 strategies from many different ways. what we want to do at NEPC is identify 6 opportunities first, right? Determine how we want our clients to implement those, and 7 then find the managers to do that on your 8 9 behalf. We don't go into it and say, let's find a really good manager and hope that he 10 or she can manage something, right? 11 12 Find the opportunity, figure out a way to implement it. We have done a tremendous 13 job of working with the investment 14 management community of coming up with kind 15 of vehicles for our clients. If a client 16 like yourselves more on the smaller side 17 relative to a plan that's \$50 billion, we 18 19 have been able to put fund structures together with these managers that allows all 20 21 of our clients to take advantage of this. 22 That is something that we think is highly 23 important for NEPC. 24 We are not just coming up with ideas for

Page 165 1 our big clients. We want to come up with an idea that all our clients can implement. 2 3 Out of respect for the clock. MR. MAZZA: The fee, just to confirm 4 5 155,000, that would include everything? 6 MR. LEONARD: Correct. 7 MR. MAZZA: Thank you, gentlemen. 8 MR. LEONARD: Thank you so much. 9 10 (Brief pause as NEPC ends the presentation.) 11 12 MR. GILBERT: Okay. Are there any clear losers in this one that we do not want to 13 talk about? 14 15 MR. BUTKOVITZ: I want to move on PFM. That's my suggestion as a starting point on 16 the discussion. 17 18 MR. GILBERT: PFM. Okay. Move that 19 they be eliminated? You want to eliminate 20 PFM? 21 MR. BUTKOVITZ: No. I think PFM should 22 get the contract. 23 MR. GILBERT: Okay. That's number one 24 in your book?

Page 166 1 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Yeah. 2 MR. GILBERT: Your vote? MS. JOHNSON: I like PFM, but they need 3 4 to work on that fee. The fee is high. NEPC who I MR. MAZZA: 6 thought gave the second most impressive 7 presentation is 155,000 bucks. So it's 8 like -- and NEPC is based in Boston. 9 ability to have our investment consultant 10 down the street, I think, is something you can't underestimate. Also, you know, they 11 12 do cover SEPTA and they have a presence here 13 in town. MR. RUBIN: He said he didn't want the 14 15 fee to be the difference, right? MR. MAZZA: My worst fear is we select 16 PFM and then they're firm on that fee. 17 MR. RUBIN: Well, you tell them it's got 18 19 to come down 20 grand. You want them to do 20 155. 21 MS. JOHNSON: I mean, I like PFM. 22 the fee, I think they should -- they need to 23 work on the fee. And \$20,000 is not going 24 to make or break the work that they do, in

Page 167 1 my opinion. 2 MR. BUTKOVITZ: I would be willing to amend the motion that we select PFM 3 4 contingent on a reduction in their fees to, what, 155? 5 6 MR. MAZZA: I think that if they can 7 match 155, I think we go with PFM. 8 MR. DIFUSCO: And just on the off 9 chance, because I know having done Sunshine Act stuff for ten years in the Law 10 Department, if they don't come down on the 11 12 fee or say only 170 or 165, are we going to 13 get back together and have a meeting? Or do we have a second choice in place? 14 15 MR. RUBIN: Can you do it by --16 MR. BUTKOVITZ: My second choice, if they don't come down to our number, we do 17 18 whatever they say. That's our fallback 19 position. 20 ATTENDEE: I thin they had the most 21 important things to say. 22 MR. DIFUSCO: That's fine. But I just 23 want to understand what the will of the 24 Commission is before we --

Page 168 1 MR. RUBIN: You can do that by email, 2 right, Chris? Send out an email that says 3 they have come back to us with these prices. 4 MR. DIFUSCO: That's fine. If they come 5 back down to the 155 or whatever, then your 6 vote is fine. What I'm saying is, let's go 7 with Matt's scenarios. They only 170 or 8 175. We get back together. MR. BUTKOVITZ: Are we able to inform 9 them that we award the contract to them 10 provided they come down to 155? Wouldn't 11 12 they have to be crazy to say 160? MR. DIFUSCO: I don't disagree with you. 13 14 But we all know having worked --15 MR. MAZZA: From the Controller's point, our leveraging standpoint, we say fill or 16 kill it at 155 or we go to the second 17 18 choice. 19 MR. DIFUSCO: Or we have to get everyone 20 together again. 21 MR. MAZZA: Fill it or kill it. 22 MS. JOHNSON: I like that. 23 Is that an industry MR. BUTKOVITZ: 24 term?

Page 169 1 MR. MAZZA: If someone tells you to fill 2 or kill it, you got to take the offer that is on the table. 3 4 MR. RUBIN: I think Matt and I were very 5 impressed when we were there. When we were 6 there, I think, Matt said having the 7 consultant right down the street especially 8 times of market turmoil like this you 9 can't --I mean, look. I think that 10 MR. MAZZA: NEPC was very good, but we already have had 11 12 the problems of having investment consultant in Pittsburgh and there has been stuff 13 falling through the cracks. Someone in 14 Boston, and you notice how NEPC did not tell 15 us where our portfolio falls in with their 16 clients which is probably in the bottom 1 17 18 percent. 19 MR. RUBIN: The driving point to me is 20 when you say if you looked at our portfolio 21 what would you do, PFM said I would do this, 22 that. I'm looking at it for ten years. 23 They are hungry for MR. MAZZA: 24 business. They want it.

Page 170 1 MR. RUBIN: When you say it to NEPC they 2 went back to, well, our process is and then we do. And so, I have --3 4 MR. MAZZA: Right. MR. RUBIN: One of the first things John 6 said to us when we met him, he's like, I 7 have told people who work in the City it's 8 one of my missions before I die is to work 9 for the Gas Works. He's wanted the business. 10 11 MR. MAZZA: Yeah. I think we make a 12 motion contingent on they accept an offer of \$155,000 all in for services. 13 MR. GILBERT: Motion is the PFM at 14 15 155,000. 16 That they accept the MR. BUTKOVITZ: \$155,000 fee. 17 18 MR. GILBERT: Second? MS. JOHNSON: 19 Second. 20 MR. GILBERT: Been properly moved and 21 seconded. Any questions on the motion? 22 (No response.) 23 MR. GILBERT: All in favor? 24 (Ayes.)

Page 171 MR. GILBERT: Motion carries. 1 We are -- any other business? 2 Yes. I wanted to raise MR. BUTKOVITZ: 3 4 a point that was raised to me with regard to the Sandy Hook principles in that we have 6 not customized the portfolio. We went through this on the Sudan [phonetic] 7 investment in the Philadelphia Pension Fund. 8 9 We actually -- we developed a template for 10 doing this. But the problem now is that our indexers 11 12 are disqualified from making tactical moves because of the fact that we don't have a 13 14 customized non-Sandy Hook portfolio. Anybody -- any index fund that we are going 15 to go into that's got investments there is 16 off the table for us. So, I think we ought 17 to consider how to continue with the intent 18 19 with the goal of the Sandy Hook principles but perhaps devise a tactical product as we 20 21 did in the Sudan investment situation. 22 gets us to the goal without depriving our 23 managers of the flexibility they need in 24 this market turmoil.

Page 172 1 MR. MAZZA: I think with the Sandy Hook 2 principles as well as you know, Mr. Controller, the way to make change is 3 4 not invest in certain shares but to make changes become -- make a proxy fight. I 6 think we can relay to our active managers and we do have customization for active managers to adhering to Sandy Hook 8 9 principles. In terms of index managers, 10 that's where a grey area will be created. MR. BUTKOVITZ: I don't want to take 11 12 action to repeal the Sandy Hook principles. 13 But I think we can find a space where we can design a procedure that will give the 14 indexers some greater tactical --15 I mean, the indexers are 16 MR. MAZZA: aware of our Sandy Hook principle. 17 MR. RUBIN: Chris, when we did with the 18 Sudan and other areas, didn't we exclude 19 certain investments from having to fall into 20 21 those criteria because of that kind of 22 situation? 23 I mean, the Sandy MR. DIFUSCO: Yes. 24 Hook principles already do have certain

Page 173 1 exceptions for, you know, I think it's over 50 percent, I think of your ammunition or 2 firearms are for military or for police. 3 4 have exceptions. And I want to understand 5 exactly what you're asking, Alan. 6 Do you want to get the appropriate folks or have almost like a working group to talk 7 about things that you think can potentially 8 9 be modified or to make it -- I don't want to use the wrong -- I don't know if relaxed is 10 11 the right word, but to tweak the criteria? 12 MR. BUTKOVITZ: If you remember, in the Sudan case, we had a one size fits all kind 13 of prohibition that would have prohibited 14 investments in Coca-Cola. 15 What we 16 determined to do was that the companies that were, in fact, the bad actors were the 17 infrastructure and oil companies. 18 19 So I would -- yes. I would request a 20 working group to determine if there's a way 21 to tie the culpability. 22 MR. DIFUSCO: Okay. 23 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Focus in on more on the 24 culpable behavior without disqualifying

Page 174 1 large areas of investment that are, in fact, 2 not bad actors. 3 MR. DIFUSCO: Okay. We'll be happy to 4 do that. We will keep everyone in the loop. And I think that's fair. 6 MR. MAZZA: Just in case to hedge 7 ourselves, let's say PFM comes back and says no, 175, take it or leave it. We come back 8 9 for another vote? MR. DIFUSCO: Yeah. We will let the 10 Commission know in accordance with the 11 12 Sunshine Act. Even if we do it by 13 telephone, as long as we advertize to 14 people, we can do that. I don't want to 15 step on your toes, but you agree with that, 16 correct? MS. BERKOWTIZ: 17 Yes. 18 Also, I would like to MR. BUTKOVITZ: 19 clarify our rate of return. I've heard 20 rumors that there's a Law Department opinion 21 out there overriding the vote of the Board 22 at the last meeting. I think we have just 23 been reaffirmed in the idea that over 30 24 years under this asset allocation we are

Page 175 1 going to make 7 percent. 2 I hope -- we keep getting that testimony 3 from our managers, so how can we 4 fictitiously reverse a vote of the Board to an untrue number when there are no 6 components that add up? At least in the Pension Board they 8 actuary told us we were going to make 9 7.85 percent. These people keep telling us we're going to make 7 percent. It just 10 makes it difficult for the administration to 11 12 meet budgetary targets. That's not a legitimate consideration on which to select 13 reate of return. 14 15 MR. MAZZA: I think we should bring it up with the Director of Finance Rob Dubow. 16 And based on the opinion of the legal 17 18 opinion, Director of Finance sets the 19 investment rate by the Board. 20 MR. RUBIN: We didn't get --MS. BERKOWTIZ: You should have gotten 21 22 it. 23 I think that the conclusion MR. MAZZA: 24 of that --

Page 176 1 MS. BERKOWTIZ: I have one here. 2 MR. MAZZA: Bring it up with Rob, see what his thoughts are since he is the one 3 4 that sets the discount rate. MR. BUTKOVITZ: Then we may need to go 6 to the next step with the Solicitor and get counsel and a declaratory judgment on this. 7 As I brought up at the last meeting, I have 8 9 a fiduciary responsibility here to do the right thing here on the rate of return. 10 Administratively, it's been decided even 11 12 though we have two-to-one vote here to 13 disregard that. That ought to be tested in 14 court. I don't think we were 15 MR. MAZZA: disregarding it. I think it just was based 16 on the bylaws in the charter that the 17 18 Director of Finance sets discount rate; is 19 that correct? 20 MS. BERKOWTIZ: So, the agreement 21 between the City and PFMC and the ordinance 22 setting out the PGW Pension Plan vests the 23 authority to set the rate in the Director of 24 Finance. And it's limited. He has to rely

Page 177 1 on an actuary. It has to be a responsible 2 calculation. MR. BUTKOVITZ: You are going to force 3 4 me to one of two positions, and I don't want to do. Either there is going to be 6 litigation on this point where there should be an amendment of that ordinance, or we're 7 going to have to take that fight to the full 8 9 Pension Board where the impact of a rate 10 change would be much more catastrophic. And the reason that we are more 11 12 accepting of a higher rate of return than the Pension Board is that we have all sorts 13 14 of investment types and options that give us the legitimate right to argue that we can 15 achieve a 7.85 percent return here. But I 16 know the real number is 7 percent. 17 I make the motion, we won the vote. It's being 18 19 turned into a joke. Why -- if we have to have a 20 21 confrontation over it, I want it litigated 22 in court. 23 MR. GILBERT: Have we had a conversation 24 with the Finance Director?

Page 178 1 MS. JOHNSON: Outside of -- again, 2 coming on hindsight, can we get an analysis? MR. BUTKOVITZ: We get an analysis at 3 4 every meeting. Every person that sits over there tells us that we're going to get 6 7 percent. If I got sued today, the cross-examine and Ben and you, the 7 cross-examination would be on what basis did 8 9 you choose 7.65 percent? You know what it 10 would do to my budget next year? We would 11 be personally liable for that. 12 You're saying because of the way the City Charter is written, we nevertheless 13 possess personal fiduciary liability and 14 that's just not right. We should not be 15 16 responsible for not implementing the correct rate of return because there's a reading of 17 the City Charter that says that we don't 18 19 have the power to do that which has not been 20 tested in court. 21 MS. BERKOWTIZ: It's not only the 22 charter, it's a number of different --23 MR. BUTKOVITZ: How do we get relieved 24 of our fiduciary responsibility? To tell

Page 179 1 you the truth, if I could resign from this 2 board, I would. But I can't. Charter says as Controller, I'm on this Board. 3 4 law -- the state law says that I'm liable 5 for not exercising the correct judgment as a 6 fiduciary. And I have done everything that 7 I can. And now you're giving me an opinion saying, well, that has no impact. 8 9 Okay. Let's get a court to say that. Because that will be much greater protection 10 11 to us than a court that we tried and a court 12 told us we couldn't do it. 13 I'm really not trying to obstreperous. I'm trying to be logical here. I know under 14 the last administration I had a problem 15 because if we said it was day, it was night. 16 I really like this administration. I like 17 this Mayor. I like the way things are 18 19 going. I do not want to be squeezed played into a situation where I have 20 21 responsibility, but I don't have power. 22 It's just not right. 23 MR. DIFUSCO: I don't want to step on

Ellen's toes. But my understanding is that

24

Page 180 1 your fiduciary duty or everyone's fiduciary duty here is controlled by kind of, for lack 2 of a better term, the box that you're put in 3 4 to begin with. You are given a set of laws or rules or regulations and they -- then it's said to you as a member of the Board 6 and to Ben and to Rob and Rasheia, here is 7 the rules. You have to do the best you can 8 9 to be fiduciarily sound and make good judgments within these -- within this box. 10 11 And so, if you are not able to make the 12 rate lower as you've asked, you have not violated your -- by all means jump in if I'm 13 14 incorrect. I think that's 15 MS. BERKOWTIZ: absolutely correct. 16 MR. DIFUSCO: You are not violating your 17 18 fiduciary duty because the rules and 19 regulations under which you are charged are 20 saying manage the money the best you can. 21 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Why don't I have the 22 responsibility to rigorously pursue my point 23 of view through litigation? 24 I'm not saying that. MR. DIFUSCO:

Page 181 1 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Who is to say that the fact that I don't do that will not be held 2 against me as a failure to exercise -- we 3 4 have for years avoided the idea of getting Officers and Directors Liability Insurance. 6 I mean, I guess that could be an alternative. If somebody wants to insure us 7 8 for \$500 million worth of liability on that 9 decision, that's a reasonable alternative. MR. DIFUSCO: I'm thinking the next 10 11 steps would be as Matt suggested --12 MR. MAZZA: A meeting with the Director 13 of Finance. 14 MR. DIFUSCO: A conversation as a small 15 group with Rob and --16 MR. MAZZA: Meeting with the Board, Rob, Law Department, the folks from PGW to 17 18 actually discuss this further. Rob is the ultimate decision maker. I think if we 19 20 presented this idea to Rob and had him in 21 the room --22 MR. DIFUSCO: Able to get his feedback. 23 MR. MAZZA: -- he would be able to tell 24 you what the exact.

Page 182 1 MR. DIFUSCO: Is that reasonable? 2 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. I do think that Chris' MS. BERKOWTIZ: 3 4 description of sort of the boundaries of your obligation is accurate. I understand 6 your frustration. MR. BUTKOVITZ: Do you want to quarantee your personal assets on a guarantee to me 8 9 that if I'm sued, you will pay the litigation cost and the judgment? Are you 10 that confident in that? 11 12 MS. BERKOWTIZ: My personal assets? 13 MR. BUTKOVITZ: Yes. It's my personal 14 assets that are on the line, right? not theoretical to me. 15 It's not a game. It's a crazy legal situation that we are in 16 because of the state of investment manager 17 So, I mean, if you want to take that 18 19 on, I make consider -- I will be happy to 20 assign my liability to you. 21 MR. MAZZA: I think -- listen, we 22 understand your frustrations. Let's just 23 bring it up with the Director of Finance in 24 a meeting to peacefully resolve this matter.

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | Page | 183 |
|------|---|------|-----|
| 1 | MR. GILBERT: That's what we should do. | | |
| 2 | MS. JOHNSON: Yes. | | |
| 3 | MR. GILBERT: You okay with that? | | |
| 4 | MR. BUTKOVITZ: Yes. | | |
| 5 | MS. JOHNSON: Yes. | | |
| 6 | MR. GILBERT: Is there any other | | |
| 7 b | pusiness? | | |
| 8 | MR. RUBIN: We can do that in the March | | |
| 9 m | meeting? | | |
| 10 | MR. MAZZA: We can do that behind | | |
| 11 c | losed doors. | | |
| 12 | MS. JOHNSON: I was going to say, before | | |
| 13 M | March meeting. | | |
| 14 | MR. BUTKOVITZ: We can bring the results | | |
| 15 t | o the March meeting. | | |
| 16 | MR. RUBIN: You both can't appear | | |
| 17 b | pefore | | |
| 18 | MR. DIFUSCO: It's a fair point. We can | | |
| 19 h | ave the overlay of getting legal advice and | | |
| 20 o | ther things. | | |
| 21 | MR. MAZZA: We would need Tom. | | |
| 22 | MR. RUBIN: We would be mindful of that. | | |
| 23 W | e can do it in executive session. | | |
| 24 | MS. BERKOWTIZ: Yes. | | |

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | Page 18 | 4 |
|----|--|---------|---|
| 1 | MR. DIFUSCO: If we are unable to do it | | |
| 2 | before March because of the concerns you | | |
| 3 | bring up, then we will have an executive | | |
| 4 | session in March. | | |
| 5 | MR. GILBERT: Any other business? | | |
| 6 | MR. BUTKOVITZ: That's it. | | |
| 7 | MR. GILBERT: We're done. | | |
| 8 | (Sinking Funds Commission meeting | | |
| 9 | adjourned at 2:31 p.m.) | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| | | | |

CERTIFICATION

I, hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence noted are contained fully and accurately in the stenographic notes taken by me in the foregoing matter, and that this is a correct transcript of the same.

ANGELA M. KING, RPR Court Reporter - Notary Public

(The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | | | | | | Page 1 |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| ı ———— İ | ا ہ۔ ا | 74.22.06.22 | 1 11 | 157 11 | 57 16 17 | 126221 | |
| A | accounting | 74:23 96:22 | admittedly | 157:11 | 57:16,17 | 136:3,21 | approach |
| a.m 1:17 | 10:23 53:19 | 103:24 | 65:2 | 163:5 | 59:14,21 | 141:4 | 110:8 |
| ability 8:7 | accounts 52:4 | 105:6 | advantage | agree 85:18 | 74:5 89:12 | analysts | 112:15 |
| 25:23 26:17 | 92:9 146:6 | 108:21 | 81:20 | 174:15 | 126:14 | 29:10 56:10 | 115:4 |
| 56:21 62:12 | accurate | 112:22 | 150:10 | agreement | 155:21 | 102:14 | approaches |
| 66:17 92:2 | 182:5 | 125:12 | 164:21 | 176:20 | 156:1,5,23 | analyzed | 150:12 |
| 138:22 | accurately | 131:21 | advertize | ahead 35:23 | 157:1,5 | 134:1 | appropriate |
| 155:9 166:9 | 185:5 | 132:15 | 174:13 | 37:21 59:10 | 159:12 | and/or | 61:22 133:8 |
| able 8:23 | achieve 56:21 | 154:13 | advice 142:5 | 68:12 70:3 | 160:4 | 185:18 | 173:6 |
| 13:14 21:8 | 68:18,21 | 175:6 | 142:13 | Alan 2:5 | 162:11 | anecdotal | approved |
| 29:3 34:4 | 69:10,14 | add/subtract | 183:19 | 10:15 173:5 | 163:7 181:7 | 13:22 | 64:21 |
| 58:4 63:15 | 96:3 117:8 | 113:24 | advise 109:2 | alarm 163:14 | 181:9 | Angela 1:13 | approximat |
| 63:17 82:18 | 151:12,23 | added 37:23 | advisement | Alger 32:2 | alternatives | 185:11 | 1:16 41:18 |
| 95:3 96:13 | 152:8,9 | 67:12 68:14 | 139:20 | allay 23:18 | 43:11,12,18 | annual 7:17 | area 54:7 |
| 98:9 105:6 | 177:16 | 69:22 | advisor 2:15 | Allentown | 43:24 44:21 | 13:21 64:1 | 66:4,11 |
| 109:3 | Ackman 63:1 | 106:19 | 2:16,17 | 46:8 | 60:24 61:6 | 153:5 | 74:19 91:3 |
| 129:22 | acquisition | 128:8 129:7 | 52:6,7 | allocate 66:12 | 68:8 72:6 | annuity | 105:5,11,13 |
| 138:18 | 33:7 | adding 60:6 | 140:21 | 113:23 | 90:5 119:8 | 105:20 | 128:7,8 |
| 140:14 | Act 90:5 | 64:15 69:12 | 142:24 | 123:18 | 125:24 | answer | 129:7 |
| 140:14 152:3 | 167:10 | 107:6 | Advisors 5:10 | 129:23 | 126:22 | 125:14 | 172:10 |
| | 174:12 | addition 60:3 | 47:6 51:12 | allocation | 127:4 | 132:19 | areas 13:9 |
| 154:23 | action 84:2 | 64:10 67:2 | 138:21 | 41:11 46:21 | 157:20 | 133:2 | 89:18,23 |
| 164:19 | 172:12 | 107:18 | advisory | 56:2 58:15 | 161:2 | 148:11 | 90:11 92:1 |
| 168:9 | actions | additional | 103:17 | 59:19 62:9 | alumnus | 153:3,9 | 131:17 |
| 180:11 | 153:15 | 8:24 50:24 | 104:5,18 | 71:22 74:3 | 131:7 | 163:3, | 172:19 |
| 181:22,23 | active 25:20 | 53:21 56:9 | 136:17 | 81:18 82:3 | amend 167:3 | anticipate | 174:1 |
| Absent | 37:10 97:1 | 64:4,16 | advocate 62:5 | 85:7 88:3,9 | amended | 78:12 79:11 | arena 144:1 |
| 129:24 | 113:2,3,6 | 65:16 67:12 | affect 121:2 | 92:12 95:24 | 18:10 | 86:10 | argue 18:24 |
| absolute 89:1 | 113:25,3,0 | 69:24 71:5 | 121:20 | 96:3 98:22 | amendment | anticipates | 146:12 |
| absolutely | 154:18,23 | 106:16 | afford 30:12 | 111:9,13,18 | 177:7 | 38:13 | 157:7 159:9 |
| 23:9 87:11 | 154.16,25 | 100.10 | afraid 91:4 | 111:21,24 | Ammaturo | anticipating | 177:15 |
| 94:21 96:19 | 172:6,7 | additions 3:7 | 142:6,7 | 111.21,24 | 100:14 | 6:7 79:4 | |
| 97:19 | · · | 101:2 | · · | 125:20 | | | argument |
| 145:11,13 | actively 34:18 | | ag 69:20 | | 101:15 | anticipation | 113:10 |
| 148:3 162:8 | Activist 63:4 | address 6:21 | agencies 74:9 | 128:23 | 102:20 | 6:9 | arrangement |
| 180:16 | activities | 31:20 49:14 | AGENCY | 136:18 | 106:3 | Anybody | 135:4 |
| accept 3:10 | 134:16 | adequate | 1:21 | 142:4 145:7 | 109:13,22 | 171:15 | Arthur 49:3 |
| 3:12 4:4,6 | activity 33:7 | 95:2 | agenda 73:18 | 149:21,24 | 125:16 | apologize | 49:8,21 |
| 4:21 45:3 | actors 173:17 | adhering | 97:21 98:1 | 150:3,5 | 133:11 | 137:4 | 51:11 |
| 170:12,16 | 174:2 | 172:8 | Aggregate | 152:2,8 | ammunition | appear 90:4 | artificially |
| acceptable | actual 9:7 | adjourned | 38:4 | 174:24 | 173:2 | 183:16 | 84:10 |
| 124:21 | 66:24 89:4 | 184:9 | aggressively | allocations | amount 39:11 | appetite | ashamed 83:3 |
| accepting | 126:6 | adjust 28:9 | 115:20 | 59:14 61:4 | 48:18 78:21 | 61:19 66:3 | asked 116:17 |
| 177:12 | 149:18,21 | 28:15 | ago 10:3 25:2 | 66:21 79:6 | 85:15 95:6 | 66:16 67:7 | 143:3 |
| access 14:4 | actuarial | adjusted | 34:14 44:9 | 88:8 91:15 | 96:5 158:10 | applied | 180:12 |
| accomplish | 71:4 | 118:16 | 78:22 79:5 | allows 56:15 | ample 121:14 | 153:17 | asking 62:4 |
| 102:21 | actuary | adjusting | 81:5 100:20 | 106:1 | analysis 97:6 | apply 185:16 | 124:16 |
| account 34:1 | 153:6 175:8 | 31:2 | 100:23 | 164:20 | 99:4,5,10 | applying | 157:20 |
| 34:12,14 | 177:1 | administrat | 101:7,9 | alluded 43:19 | 111:11 | 153:20 | 173:5 |
| 42:10 46:3 | Adam 2:14 | 175:11 | 105:20 | 69:6 | 114:7 | appreciate | aspects 53:18 |
| 55:7 74:11 | add 13:18 | 179:15,17 | 109:14 | alpha 129:14 | 155:17 | 77:13 102:6 | 76:12 |
| 94:24 | 17:2 33:22 | Administra | 118:16 | alternative | 178:2,3 | 136:18 | assessment |
| 130:20 | 34:9 47:15 | 176:11 | 137:3 | 43:21 44:16 | analyst 51:3 | appreciation | 92:5 |
| 136:3 | 48:22 63:10 | admit 88:10 | 138:15 | 56:3 57:12 | 53:15 136:1 | 82:10 90:19 | asset 46:21 |
| 100.0 | | | | | | | |
| | | | l | l | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 2 |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | | 1 | |
| 56:2,8,11 | 77:14 98:10 | 96:16 | 26:22 | 134:1,2,17 | 154:24 | 107:11,18 | 133:2 137:2 |
| 56:14,17 | 100:1 | 108:22 | balanced | 134:19,20 | benchmarks | 108:7,20 | 139:17 |
| 58:15 59:14 | associates | 147:1,5 | 152:16 | 134:21 | 41:7 | 110:14 | 140:17 |
| 59:19 60:2 | 1:20 97:10 | 154:24 | ballpark | 144:19 | Beneath | 111:3 | 153:4 |
| 60:3,7 | 109:7 | averages | 48:11 | 146:15 | 106:9 | bias 35:13 | 160:20 |
| 65:21 71:22 | 142:21 | 28:14 | bank 26:13 | 153:5 | benefit 29:5 | bid 39:15 | blank 157:9 |
| 82:3 85:7 | 147:24 | avoid 21:9 | 27:7 29:7 | 154:16,19 | 54:14 62:1 | 90:13 | blanket 157:4 |
| 88:3,9,15 | assumption | avoided | 29:17 51:4 | 155:4,5 | 137:10 | bidders 99:23 | Blasberg |
| 88:16,22 | 59:17 60:19 | 181:4 | banking 27:6 | 178:8 | benefits | bidding 86:1 | 73:13,19 |
| 89:2,13 | 67:23 71:4 | award 168:10 | banks 24:8 | basket 61:8 | 41:22 | Bieler 32:7,12 | 86:21 87:24 |
| 92:12 96:3 | 114:15 | aware 151:18 | 26:23 27:2 | 62:14 | Benjamin 2:4 | Bielers 40:4 | 89:7,16,24 |
| 98:22 | 115:3 117:4 | 172:17 | bar 160:17 | bath 8:22 | Berkowitz | bifurcation | 94:24 97:5 |
| 102:15 | 126:6 | Ayes 3:20 | Barclays 38:3 | 33:3 | 2:12 | 21:2 | 99:9,13,18 |
| 103:15,18 | 154:10,12 | 4:14 5:3 | Barksdale | Bear 108:23 | BERKOW | big 9:23 | block 115:4 |
| 104:3,10 | assumptions | 45:11 | 38:4,17 | beat 70:18 | 174:17 | 12:24 13:14 | 150:6 |
| 111:9,13,18 | 46:22,24 | 170:24 | barrel 120:20 | Bed 33:3 | 175:21 | 18:6 26:12 | blow 64:14 |
| 111:21,23 | 88:14 | | 163:23 | beginning | 176:1,20 | 34:9,11 | blue 14:19 |
| 111:24 | 114:13 | B | bars 14:18 | 112:6 | 178:21 | 62:23 82:1 | 70:21 |
| 114:2,3,9 | 115:1 | B 57:19 | base 133:14 | 114:14 | 180:15 | 82:13 136:4 | 105:16 |
| 114:10,15 | 116:16 | baby 8:21 | 133:17 | begins 21:10 | 182:3,12 | 156:8 165:1 | blurred 159:7 |
| 115:2 | 118:7 126:3 | back 8:1 9:4 | based 46:6,7 | behalf 164:9 | 183:24 | bigger 20:4 | board 45:15 |
| 120:14 | 153:7 154:4 | 12:19 13:8 | 46:21 47:6 | behavior | best 43:2 | 88:17 | 47:12 48:14 |
| 127:9 128:2 | attended | 13:20 16:12 | 52:11,12 | 31:13 | 47:18 48:20 | biggest 24:12 | 49:6 66:3 |
| 131:11,24 | 163:11 | 18:2,9 | 81:18 88:23 | 173:24 | 50:14 56:15 | 24:14 27:10 | 67:15 80:22 |
| 132:6,10,14 | ATTENDEE | 29:21 30:2 | 96:3 103:5 | Behavioral | 59:9 75:15 | 93:19 | 107:19 |
| 136:17 | 167:20 | 30:5,10 | 104:7 106:8 | 104:13 | 78:19,20 | 149:17 | 123:24 |
| 142:4 145:6 | attention | 34:15 35:17 | 110:20 | beliefs 90:1 | 82:9,12,21 | Bill 2:11 44:4 | 174:21 |
| 149:21,24 | 62:9 132:4 | 37:8 40:6 | 113:24 | believe 7:12 | 85:4 92:2 | 62:24 79:2 | 175:4,7,19 |
| 150:3,5 | attract 132:4 | 46:14 51:15 | 120:8,23 | 33:15 67:16 | 106:1 | 82:13 109:4 | 177:9,13 |
| 151:20,22 | 138:18 | 55:1 58:19 | 121:5,6 | 70:6 80:15 | 108:17 | billion 40:18 | 179:2,3 |
| 152:2,8 | attractive | 68:24 72:12 | 131:3,4 | 81:2,12 | 111:7 141:1 | 52:15 53:7 | 180:6 |
| 153:8 | 17:12 18:14 | 79:8,8 | 135:4 | 112:24 | 141:10 | 70:17 74:11 | 181:16 |
| 161:14 162:12 | 25:16 139:1 | 117:17 | 151:23 | 114:1,3 | 145:7 149:24 | 103:21 | boats 29:15 |
| 162:12 174:24 | audible 127:19 | 123:2 131:6 138:16 | 166:8 175:17 | 117:23 118:1,3,4 | | 104:24 105:1,21,21 | body 108:5 bold 52:20 |
| assets 42:10 | August 30:18 | 144:10 | 175:17 | 118:1,3,4 | 180:8,20 beta 154:4 | 139:19 | 60:14 |
| 52:16 59:22 | authority | 145:4,14 | basic 115:5 | bell 99:3 | better 44:6 | 164:18 | bond 6:17,17 |
| 66:10,15 | 105:11,13 | 148:2 | basically 72:7 | Belmondo | 61:21 62:3 | billions 140:3 | 7:3 17:16 |
| 84:11,17 | 105:14 | 167:13 | 84:24 92:6 | 102:7 | 62:6 63:17 | bios 76:8 | 25:3 27:22 |
| 86:9 103:22 | 176:23 | 168:3,5,8 | basis 26:4 | Ben 178:7 | 64:21 80:7 | bit 6:14 12:6 | 72:15,17 |
| 104:2,11 | automobiles | 170:2 174:7 | 35:7 39:13 | 180:7 | 80:19 81:6 | 12:7 25:1 | 84:5 |
| 105:20 | 13:19 14:5 | 174:8 | 40:5,19 | bench 86:24 | 81:11,12 | 35:13 38:7 | bonds 39:16 |
| 108:17 | autonomy | background | 44:11 60:6 | 87:9 129:17 | 84:18 90:23 | 38:12,22 | 59:24 60:16 |
| 112:19 | 85:16 | 5:18,24 | 65:7 67:3 | benchmark | 94:16 | 42:6 44:6 | 78:17 79:8 |
| 115:9 | available | 49:21 | 69:18 70:19 | 32:16 35:8 | 112:20 | 49:21 51:24 | 146:24 |
| 127:11 | 9:19 72:15 | 102:23 | 72:10 73:1 | 35:11,22 | 132:8 180:3 | 53:12 54:22 | 152:20 |
| 139:18 | 72:19,24 | backgrounds | 80:23 83:17 | 36:11,14 | beyond 5:23 | 61:14 64:24 | 160:19 |
| 140:1,7 | 82:12 85:20 | 53:13 | 90:17 91:17 | 37:12,15,16 | 33:3 129:8 | 75:11 79:9 | book 37:8 |
| 158:13 | 87:11 99:15 | bad 39:17 | 91:17 96:17 | 37:21 38:3 | 137:15 | 85:10 89:8 | 49:17 |
| 159:5 182:8 | Avenue 6:18 | 77:20 83:1 | 103:22 | 38:8,12,13 | 147:17 | 92:14 97:7 | 165:24 |
| 182:12,14 | average | 91:1 173:17 | 108:16 | 38:16 39:6 | Biagio 100:17 | 105:19 | books 28:1 |
| assign 182:20 | 48:12 53:1 | 174:2 | 125:4 | 40:1,2 | 101:1 | 115:17 | boom 122:7 |
| assignment | 53:5,9 96:8 | bag 7:14 47:1 | 133:18,20 | 92:11 96:2 | 102:12 | 126:18 | Boston 48:9 |
| Ţ. | | balance 25:17 | | | | | |
| | l . | | ı | | | l . | l |

| | | | | | | | Page 3 |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | I | I | I | I | I | | |
| 137:20 | 109:6 | 122:19 | 165:15,21 | 146:16 | 53:17 | 93:10 130:1 | 73:12,16 |
| 166:8 | 121:15 | built 6:13 | 166:1 167:2 | candidate | 156:13 | 172:3 | 75:22 77:7 |
| 169:15 | 141:20 | 122:8,9 | 167:16 | 47:9,17 | cause 1:13 | 177:10 | 93:12,19 |
| bottom 6:20 | 142:1 | 150:12 | 168:9,23 | candidates | causing 8:18 | changed | 94:22 95:15 |
| 39:24 50:23 | 147:23 | bulk 21:18 | 170:16 | 46:12,16,17 | 13:3 | 75:20 80:23 | 95:20 99:12 |
| 59:5 60:13 | Brokaw 2:16 | 22:6 | 171:3 | 46:19 47:14 | celebrating | 81:4 89:8 | CHESSIRE |
| 75:12 | 5:12 13:18 | bullet 142:3 | 172:11 | 55:19 65:11 | 137:21 | 118:7 | 81:15 |
| 107:10 | 22:8 49:7 | business 26:1 | 173:12,23 | 109:12 | center 1:15 | 119:13 | Chief 73:18 |
| 132:12 | 50:7 54:4 | 52:11 76:12 | 174:18 | cap 31:22 | 50:3,3 | changes | china 23:8 |
| 138:1 | 54:20 58:8 | 77:19 99:24 | 176:5 177:3 | 32:1,11,18 | 58:11 | 80:13 81:16 | 24:8,14 |
| 139:20 | broken 38:2 | 101:17,18 | 178:3,23 | 33:21 35:4 | central 24:7 | 87:18 93:21 | 27:16 28:5 |
| 142:20 | 52:16 | 102:3 | 180:21 | 35:5,13,14 | certain 12:12 | 100:24 | 30:23 79:18 |
| 143:5 | 103:15,16 | 103:17,20 | 181:1 182:7 | 35:14,15,21 | 20:10 43:5 | 150:9 172:5 | 80:8 119:17 |
| 169:17 | brokerage | 103:23 | 182:13 | 50:17,18 | 46:20 78:23 | changing | 122:6,7,8 |
| bottom-up | 139:9 | 104:4,18 | 183:4,14 | 86:13 93:7 | 85:15 | 58:21 59:1 | 122:10,18 |
| 56:23 | Brothers | 105:3,5 | 184:6 | 112:2,3,13 | 108:19 | 59:2 150:8 | choice 95:2 |
| bought 114:6 | 26:12 | 107:2,20 | buy 14:5 82:6 | 128:15 | 128:20 | charge 47:11 | 167:14,16 |
| bounce 30:10 | brought | 108:10,14 | 82:7,8,14 | capacity 13:4 | 172:4,20,24 | 48:10 61:18 | 168:18 |
| boundaries | 76:23 77:2 | 137:22 | 84:17,21,23 | 63:15 64:3 | certainly | 108:8 | choose 24:11 |
| 182:4 | 111:15 | 139:22 | 96:20 | capital 7:19 | 10:22,23 | charged 47:7 | 178:9 |
| box 134:13 | 127:22,24 | 141:20 | 102:18 | 46:22,24 | 12:17 13:7 | 180:19 | choosing |
| 180:3,10 | 176:8 | 142:23 | 114:10 | 88:14 90:18 | 13:9 18:8 | charges 47:3 | 44:22 |
| BPS 17:6 | bucket | 145:23 | 132:11 | 107:10 | 18:11,19 | charging | choppy 37:14 |
| Brad 2:13 | 157:14 | 147:8,10 | buying 25:20 | 114:13 | 19:5 21:22 | 94:15 | Chris 5:12 |
| brag 148:9 | bucks 163:22 | 169:24 | 82:5 | 116:16 | 23:19 27:1 | Charlton | 31:6 43:9 |
| break 24:20 | 166:7 | 170:10 | bylaws | 124:1 126:3 | 29:20 55:14 | 138:12 | 46:9 48:16 |
| 73:8 100:8 | budget 104:5 | 171:2 183:7 | 176:17 | caps 112:4,5 | 63:8 66:7 | chart 71:19 | 49:7 50:7 |
| 111:24 | 151:15 | 184:5 | 170.17 | capture | 72:9 75:1 | 72:10 | 53:14 54:4 |
| 112:2 135:7 | 158:11 | businesses | C | 128:11 | 76:1,14 | 139:21 | 54:19 86:7 |
| 166:24 | 178:10 | 74:22 | C 2:1 185:1,1 | car 13:23 | certification | charter 53:14 | 96:13 98:8 |
| breakdown | budgetary | 138:11 | calculate | 82:6 150:22 | 185:15 | 176:17 | 103:2 110:1 |
| 55:9 71:22 | 175:12 | Butkovitz 2:5 | 42:13 | cards 10:18 | certify 185:3 | 178:13,18 | 110:5,21 |
| breaker | budgeting | 3:12 4:6,22 | calculated | care 116:20 | certifying | 178:13,18 | 125:19 |
| 134:10 | 152:14,14 | 9:17 21:14 | 42:4,19 | carries 3:21 | 185:19 | 178.22 | 133:12 |
| breaking | 161:19 | 21:24 22:4 | calculation | 4:15 5:4 | cetera 12:14 | charts 56:5 | 168:2 |
| 41:10 | build 62:13 | 22:11,24 | 43:4 177:2 | 45:12 171:1 | 13:1 56:13 | 114:5 | 172:18 |
| breed 56:15 | | | call 82:11,15 | | | chase 78:13 | Chris' 182:3 |
| | 63:7,10 | 23:4,8,12 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | carry 125:5 | 75:9,10 | | |
| brief 73:8,17 | 66:18 95:2 | 23:20 25:2 25:6,10 | 83:17 98:11 127:19 | cars 12:24 | 97:15,16 | 79:12 Chea 107:17 | Christiaan 2:16 |
| 135:7 | 115:8 | · · | 130:12 | case 119:3 146:10 | 111:12 | | |
| 165:10 | 122:19 149:6 152:2 | 26:7,11,21 | 130:12 | | 124:7 | cheap 78:17 | Christmas |
| briefly | | 27:13,20 | | 173:13 | CFA 53:15 | 78:17 82:6 | 9:2,8 |
| 125:17 | 152:7 | 29:7,19 | 146:8 147:4 | 174:6 | chain 13:10 | 82:6,7 | Christopher |
| bring 50:6 | builders | 30:6 42:1,8 | 153:6,15 | cash 29:18 | chairman 2:4 | 84:17 96:21 | 2:10 CIO 2:10 |
| 55:3 57:14 | 121:22 | 42:15 45:5 | 158:6 | 41:21,23 | 101:3 | 112:22 | CIO 2:10 |
| 97:9 106:1 | building | 73:6 79:14 | 163:20 | 42:12 63:18 | challenging | 114:9 119:7 | 74:12 75:23 |
| 109:2 129:9 | 107:21 | 80:10 83:4 | called 102:2 | 104:11 | 20:13 | 122:14 | 108:10 |
| 145:10 | 115:4 | 83:11,24 | 137:13 | 115:7 | 117:10 | 132:11 | CIOs 9:23 |
| 175:15 | 119:19 | 92:5 93:10 | 155:16 | 149:14 | 126:11 | cheaper | Circle 38:5 |
| 176:2 | 122:7,9 | 93:14 | 163:23 | catastrophic | chance 126:7 | 13:16 81:11 | 38:17 39:8 |
| 182:23 | 128:12 | 117:11,19 | calling 19:11 | 177:10 | 167:9 | 94:16 | 39:12 |
| 183:14 | 129:17 | 118:10 | 19:13 83:8 | categories | chances | chemicals | circular |
| 184:3 | 150:6 | 119:13,17 | 156:15 | 41:11 144:1 | 18:17 | 13:1 | 58:11 |
| bringing 51:5 | buildings | 120:24 | calls 75:9 | category | change 92:13 | Chesshire | circulated 3:5 |
| | | | 98:11,13 | | | | |
| | - | - | - | - | • | - | • |

| | | | | | | | Page 4 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | 1 | l | I | 1 | 1 | 1 . | 1 |
| 3:23 4:17 | 140:13,16 | clients' 108:1 | 121:10,13 | 145:16,22 | 93:14 99:14 | connection | 147:1,6 |
| City 1:1 | 142:17 | 117:7 | 127:9 | 147:7,13,19 | 133:13 | 27:16 | 150:18 |
| 104:6,8 | 145:2,2,9 | 126:10 | 128:23 | committee | comparing | consider | 166:9 169:7 |
| 170:7 | 145:10 | cliff 160:11 | 131:6 132:7 | 19:7,9 | 56:18 | 123:24 | 169:12 |
| 176:21 | 146:4,15 | clock 165:3 | 139:3 | 20:19 54:23 | comparison | 124:19 | consultants |
| 178:13,18 | 147:3,21 | close 14:14 | 140:22 | 55:20,23 | 65:5 91:2 | 161:21 | 45:23 54:19 |
| civic 153:21 | 148:10 | 31:9 103:9 | 141:1,23 | 56:4 57:11 | compensate | 171:18 | 74:18 76:3 |
| clarify 174:19 | 151:21 | 130:23 | 145:7 | 57:21 59:5 | 22:16 | 182:19 | 77:20 |
| clarity 60:21 | 164:16 | closed 6:18 | 147:12 | 59:6 73:22 | complement | considerable | 135:23 |
| 80:7 | client's | 183:11 | 150:18 | 74:16 76:6 | 123:21 | 69:3 | 137:14,17 |
| class 56:14,17 | 146:15 | closely | 153:14 | 83:16,18,20 | 160:3 | consideration | consulting |
| 59:22 65:21 | client-basing | 149:22 | 158:9 161:4 | 83:22 86:12 | complete | 103:8 | 47:5 52:9 |
| 102:16 | 102:11 | closer 44:13 | 164:3 165:1 | 86:17 101:3 | 83:14 | 115:23 | 54:15 74:13 |
| 104:13,24 | clients 52:17 | 59:15 60:19 | 166:19 | 107:23 | complicated | 134:8 | 102:1,3 |
| 112:1 | 52:19,21 | 67:22 | 167:11,17 | committee's | 57:18 | 175:13 | 104:1,5 |
| 120:14 | 56:7 57:1 | closing 97:20 | 168:3,4,11 | 107:24 | components | considerati | 108:3 |
| 128:2 | 72:16 74:8 | 133:3 | 174:8 | committees | 32:10 175:6 | 89:11 | 133:15 |
| 131:12 | 75:5,7,10 | clothes 13:15 | comes 19:20 | 59:4 74:21 | composites | considering | 137:3 138:7 |
| 132:13,14 | 76:1,7 | co-manage | 28:17 174:7 | commodities | 61:7 | 43:10 | 138:8,9 |
| 158:13 | 85:12 | 101:17 | coming 12:16 | 115:24,24 | comprised | consistent | 139:7,11 |
| classes 56:8 | 101:20 | Coal 11:19 | 54:10 88:13 | 116:2 | 164:1 | 33:16 36:14 | 141:7,20,22 |
| 56:11 60:2 | 104:19 | Coca-Cola | 124:24 | 118:14,15 | concern 7:9 | 62:15 83:5 | 143:1,7,19 |
| 60:4,7 | 105:12,23 | 173:15 | 125:6 | 118:19,23 | 26:23 34:19 | consolidate | 143:23 |
| 88:15,16,22 | 105:24 | Coleman | 141:14 | 119:1 | 146:3 | 156:7 | 144:9,18 |
| 89:3,13 | 106:2,7 | 2:14 | 142:8 | 120:13 | concerned | consolidation | 148:22 |
| 114:16 | 107:4 108:2 | collapsed | 156:21 | 121:17 | 27:20 89:1 | 66:7 138:8 | consume |
| 115:2 | 108:3 109:1 | 11:21 | 161:14 | 122:11,14 | 158:17 | 148:16 | 12:13 |
| 127:10 | 116:17,23 | collective | 164:15,24 | 122:11,11 | concerns | constantly | consumer |
| 132:10 | 133:15 | 54:8 | 178:2 | 132:3 | 23:18 33:1 | 64:7 150:8 | 7:11,20 |
| 153:8 | 135:22 | college | commencing | 134:15 | 184:2 | constraints | 8:13 9:17 |
| 162:13 | 136:23 | 104:15,16 | 1:16 | commodity | conclude | 70:4 90:2 | 10:21 11:5 |
| clear 165:12 | 139:12,14 | 116:19 | comment | 17:5 18:22 | 10:11 | 97:4 | 12:23 13:13 |
| Clearbrook | 139:24 | column | 12:3 13:19 | 116:3 | conclusion | construct | consumers |
| 46:15 47:6 | 141:13 | 125:19 | 16:21 17:14 | 121:24 | 46:13 | 63:12 | 8:7 10:24 |
| 47:17,24 | 141.13 | columns | 39:7 130:22 | 121.24 | 175:23 | 110:11 | 14:4 |
| 48:13 73:10 | 143:2,12,15 | 126:14 | | | conclusions | construction | |
| | | combination | commentary 72:18 | commodity 7:23 | 111:21 | 64:17 131:4 | consuming 105:9 |
| 73:12,21 | 144:2,13,19 | | | communicate | | | |
| clearly 155:15 | 145:1 146:20,20 | 32:12 56:23 | comments | 76:7 | conditions 72:22 | 131:4 | contained 185:5 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | combine 50:11 | 16:17 148:15 | | 72:22 114:21 | consult | |
| client 52:16 | 147:6,12 | | | community | | 103:20 | container |
| 53:1,4 56:1 | 149:1,10,19 149:22 | combined | Commission | 76:11 | Conference | 105:1 | 13:15 |
| 56:1 58:11 | | 32:11,18 | 1:2,11 2:3 | 104:15 | 1:15 | consultant | contaminat |
| 74:24 75:1 | 150:10 | 33:21 35:21 | 49:15 61:3 | 164:15 | confidence | 5:6 43:19 | 26:8 27:22 |
| 75:13 76:13 | 151:19 | come 11:19 | 66:24 | companies | 11:6 135:19 | 45:17 47:8 | contingent |
| 76:18 | 153:12 | 11:20 12:5 | 167:24 | 25:23 26:18 | confident | 48:19 49:15 | 167:4 |
| 101:19 | 155:20 | 13:20 16:12 | 174:11 | 173:16,18 | 70:6 182:11 | 50:5 52:3 | 170:12 |
| 102:8 | 156:15,19 | 18:1 19:10 | 184:8 | company | confirm | 77:2 132:23 | continue |
| 105:10,23 | 157:10 | 19:14 26:11 | Commissio | 25:16 102:1 | 75:18,19 | 136:1,12 | 10:16 14:1 |
| 106:6,23 | 159:15,20 | 28:9 31:8 | 52:24 85:17 | comparable | 165:4 | 137:7 | 17:19 18:1 |
| 108:17 | 160:15 | 31:24 46:14 | commissions | 101:20 | confirmed | 140:11,11 | 18:8 21:22 |
| 110:22 | 164:7,16,21 | 48:1 56:19 | 52:14 | 133:13,15 | 75:16 | 141:5,9,12 | 24:23 29:5 |
| 111:2 | 165:1,2 | 98:18 | commitment | compared | confrontation | 144:16 | 31:17 49:14 |
| 133:14,17 | 169:17 | 111:20 | 141:24 | 44:10 71:18 | 177:21 | 146:21 | 50:4,4 66:6 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 5 |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | 1 | Ī | 1 | 1 | Ī | Ī | Ī |
| 70:24 71:1 | 110:16,21 | counsel 176:7 | 28:20,21 | customized | 156:6,8,12 | 156:4 157:1 | 34:12 |
| 107:1 119:6 | 134:11 | counting | 38:8,9,18 | 150:21,23 | 158:1,9 | 159:12 | describing |
| 146:4 | conversely | 10:19 75:6 | 39:1,5 | 171:6,14 | 159:18 | defined 54:14 | 87:6 |
| 163:16 | 82:22 | countries | 63:11 124:7 | cut 20:16 | debts 25:19 | 137:10 | description |
| 171:18 | conviction | 91:1 | 156:16,21 | 22:1,2 | 162:14 | defining | 182:4 |
| continued | 56:6 | County 54:12 | 159:8 | 44:17 | decade 46:4 | 60:22 61:1 | design 140:12 |
| 66:15 81:9 | convince | couple 59:10 | 163:19,24 | 119:11 | 130:20 | 61:4 116:12 | 140:13 |
| continues | 113:5,21 | 59:11,17 | criteria | cutting 20:20 | December | 116:13 | 172:14 |
| 19:17 21:7 | Cooke 32:7 | 64:19 | 172:21 | 68:22 | 3:23 4:17 | 157:2 | designation |
| 50:22 | 32:12 40:4 | 106:12,20 | 173:11 | cycle 13:10 | 5:7 40:16 | definitely | 53:20 |
| 147:15 | cooked 28:2 | 110:2 | Cross 105:16 | 40:8 82:15 | 70:14 | 10:20 15:5 | designations |
| 148:19 | copper | 133:19 | cross-exami | 82:19,21 | decide 29:21 | 47:12 | 53:15 |
| continuing | 121:22,23 | 139:18 | 178:8 | 83:1 98:12 | decided 39:21 | definition | designed |
| 12:10 37:3 | 122:17 | 163:4 | cross-exami | | 176:11 | 159:6 | 149:5 |
| contract | copy 100:15 | course 20:21 | 178:7 | | decides | deliver | despite 11:5 |
| 146:8 | core 38:3,4,5 | 58:13 81:8 | cross-pollin | dampen | 119:18 | 124:10 | detail 143:11 |
| 165:22 | 38:21 69:20 | 102:5 | 140:14 | 18:16 | 122:18 | demand | 143:20 |
| 168:10 | 90:14,14 | court 1:13,18 | cross-pollin | Dan 33:23 | decision | 12:23 13:2 | 153:1 |
| contracting | 125:23 | 1:21 176:14 | 163:13 | dark 68:24 | 83:21 | 17:21,23 | detailed |
| 85:13 | 128:8,21 | 177:22 | CSA 136:1 | data 84:13 | 100:19,22 | 18:10 22:1 | 153:9 |
| contraction | 160:16,19 | 177.22 | culpability | 89:9 121:18 | 181:9,19 | 22:4,6 | determine |
| 21:11 22:14 | core-plus | 178.20 | 173:21 | 125:18 | decisions | 26:19 119:6 | 164:6 |
| contracts | 38:23 | 185:12 | culpable | databases | 105:7 130:3 | 121:8 | 173:20 |
| 21:15 87:1 | corner 142:9 | cover 31:15 | 173:24 | 58:3 | 142:14 | 121.8 | determined |
| 129:22 | Cornerstone | 31:18 | culture | date 1:16 6:1 | declaratory | demanding | 46:20 |
| | 46:10 | 166:12 | 161:23 | 15:7,17 | 176:7 | 146:14 | 173:16 |
| contractual 86:1 | | | | 16:18 | decline 42:14 | | |
| | corporate | coverage | currency | | | demands | develop 15:22 |
| contractually | 13:12 74:9 | 25:18 | 24:15 | dated 88:11 | declining | 147:17 | 149:23 |
| 52:5 | 126:20 | covering | current 28:24 | David 131:6 | 33:2 | demise 94:13 | 151:19 |
| control 65:15 | 140:11 | 160:7 | 38:15 59:12 | 131:7 | dedicated | department | developed |
| 185:18 | 144:2 | cracks 169:14 | 59:18,19 | Davies 54:6 | 37:23 | 104:12,12 | 15:12,22,24 |
| controlled | correct 23:11 | Craig 50:21 | 60:15 62:18 | day 31:8 | 102:15 | 137:1 | 16:3 36:15 |
| 180:2 | 25:5 27:19 | crazies 91:8 | 114:21,21 | 83:15 139:3 | 105:3 | 167:11 | 37:2,11,12 |
| Controller | 42:9 44:4 | craziness | 114:22,22 | 139:5 | 107:20,21 | 174:20 | 37:17 171:9 |
| 2:5 172:3 | 94:20 165:6 | 80:14 | 116:4 | 144:12 | 128:17 | 181:17 | development |
| 179:3 | 174:16 | crazy 23:13 | 118:10,12 | 179:16 | 144:6,16,23 | depend | 151:17 |
| Controller's | 176:19 | 91:19 | 123:21 | day-to-day | 145:18 | 159:11 | developmen |
| 39:10 43:20 | 178:16 | 168:12 | 124:20 | 144:19 | Deegan 73:22 | depending | 90:15 |
| 85:9 168:15 | 179:5 | 182:16 | 125:20 | days 152:9 | deep 162:17 | 43:17 44:15 | Devers 2:17 |
| conventional | 180:16 | create 95:12 | 133:14 | DC 51:19 | deeper | 61:2 83:13 | 5:11 8:5 9:6 |
| 29:12 | 185:8 | created | currently | DE 1:24 | 152:17 | 147:2 158:4 | 10:22 12:15 |
| conversation | corrections | 172:10 | 17:5 50:16 | de-leveraging | default | Depends | 16:20 17:18 |
| 39:9 45:15 | 3:6 | creates 31:13 | curve 16:14 | 11:1,8,13 | 112:24 | 95:15 | 18:19 19:5 |
| 110:14 | correctly 87:2 | creating 27:4 | 16:14 17:20 | de-risk 64:13 | 113:3 | 154:17 | 20:17,24 |
| 126:15 | correlated | creation | 18:2,5,16 | 83:2 | 115:23 | depreciate | 21:16 22:2 |
| 127:7,12 | 128:12 | 94:12 | custodian | deal 76:19 | defaults 25:7 | 24:21 | 22:6,18 |
| 132:21 | correlation | creative | 51:4 | 78:6 85:8 | 25:12 26:8 | depriving | 23:3,6,11 |
| 133:6 | 9:5 | 85:22 | custody 41:21 | 115:15 | defensive | 171:22 | 23:19 24:2 |
| 177:23 | Cosack 102:2 | credentials | 41:23 42:10 | 134:10 | 7:22 36:6 | depth 106:19 | 25:5,9,12 |
| 181:14 | cost 48:2 | 53:12,21 | customizati | debate | defer 131:2 | derive 115:1 | 26:9,14 |
| conversations | 96:14,16,17 | credit 8:20 | 98:3 172:7 | 120:22 | define 139:16 | deriving | 27:1,19 |
| 25:24 67:17 | 182:10 | 10:18 14:5 | customize | debt 9:18,20 | 144:24 | 152:20 | 28:8 29:17 |
| 69:7 108:19 | costs 13:5 | 16:21 25:24 | 95:13 97:22 | 113:16 | 155:23 | describe | 29:20 30:14 |
| | | | | 126:20 | - | | |
| | l | | l | l | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 6 |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | l | l | l | 1 | l | 1 | l <u>-</u> |
| 31:16 42:20 | DiFusco 2:10 | 156:9 159:1 | dismiss | 91:21 96:1 | 68:23 | earning 80:17 | elements |
| 42:24 43:7 | 9:21 18:20 | 159:16,17 | 131:18 | 96:6 107:7 | drawn 69:1 | earnings | 24:22 57:10 |
| 49:7 50:8 | 33:22 42:3 | 159:17,18 | dispersion | 113:14 | drill 89:14 | 33:18,19 | 103:4 |
| 54:20 55:14 | 42:9,18,22 | 160:4 | 62:19 | 131:18 | Driscoll | 41:17 84:22 | eliminate |
| 55:17 57:10 | 43:3,8,17 | 185:18 | disqualified | 161:14,19 | 136:11,11 | 84:23 92:20 | 165:19 |
| 61:5 62:11 | 44:3,8 46:1 | direction | 171:12 | 171:10 | 148:23 | 93:3,4 | eliminated |
| 65:4,19 | 47:15,21 | 127:14 | disqualifying | dollar 18:13 | 151:4,8 | easier 146:24 | 47:24 |
| 66:5 67:8 | 48:6,23 | directions | 173:24 | 20:22,24 | drive 24:10 | easy 85:14 | 165:19 |
| 67:11,16 | 52:23 53:10 | 56:2 145:9 | disregard | 24:17 92:22 | 55:22 110:9 | 86:2 147:9 | Ellen 2:12 |
| 68:12 71:14 | 60:21 61:11 | 159:22 | 176:13 | 96:20 | driven 12:23 | economic | Ellen's |
| 71:21 | 64:18 66:1 | directly 123:6 | disregarding | 118:14 | 21:21 59:4 | 24:3 107:10 | 179:24 |
| deviation | 66:22 67:10 | 136:6 153:4 | 176:16 | dollars 13:15 | driver 111:10 | 114:21 | EM 113:13 |
| 28:14 60:15 | 75:16 85:8 | director 2:9 | dissect 72:3 | 40:18 41:10 | 111:22 | economically | 126:20 |
| 126:4 127:2 | 86:23 87:12 | 101:3,15,23 | distinguished | 70:17 | drivers 43:15 | 119:10 | email 3:5,24 |
| devil's 62:4 | 96:8 116:11 | 102:13 | 108:6 | 103:22 | drives 56:24 | economists | 4:18 168:1 |
| devise 171:20 | 123:13 | 175:16,18 | distress 17:9 | 105:21 | 79:17 | 8:8,12 9:1 | 168:2 |
| DFA 37:18 | 124:15,19 | 176:18,23 | distressed | 116:6 | 144:21 | 10:11 | emergency |
| dialogue | 125:15 | 177:24 | 17:8 | 117:16 | 145:14 | economy 7:15 | 10:19 |
| 127:12 | 129:16,21 | 181:12 | disturbance | 140:4 | driving 13:5 | 12:12 13:6 | emerging |
| Dick 138:12 | 130:8 | 182:23 | 8:17 | Domeisen | 24:24 80:15 | 16:19 20:11 | 15:13 16:3 |
| die 170:8 | 132:22 | Directors | dive 103:4 | 2:15 5:5,8,9 | 121:8,10,12 | 21:3,18 | 36:20,21 |
| difference | 133:1 | 181:5 | 104:23 | 14:6 31:18 | 169:19 | 22:5,7,13 | 37:18,23 |
| 43:13 93:19 | 154:10,15 | directs 94:9 | 110:12 | 35:3 37:7 | dropped | 26:10 31:12 | 112:8,10,11 |
| 134:19 | 155:23 | 95:8 | diversified | 39:24 49:6 | 143:10,11 | edge 27:24 | 112:14 |
| 138:23 | 157:15,19 | dis-allocating | 61:8 62:14 | 49:9,12,12 | dropping | educate 127:9 | 113:11,15 |
| 166:15 | 157:13,19 | 79:2 83:9 | 125:23 | 53:5,11 | 53:7 | educational | 113:11,13 |
| different 19:8 | 162:19 | disagree | 126:18 | 55:5,11 | drove 11:24 | 98:15,16,19 | emotion |
| 19:11 37:1 | 167:8,22 | 168:13 | 149:6 | 57:2 60:11 | drying 26:19 | effect 9:13 | 80:14 |
| 55:8 61:1 | 167.8,22 | disappointed | diversifier | 67:18 68:7 | Dubow | 11:13 12:16 | emotional |
| 103:5 104:9 | 172:23 | 100:22 | 94:5 | 70:5 71:15 | 175:16 | 24:3 | 27:17 |
| 114:15,19 | 172.23 | 119:23 | diversify | 70.3 71.13 | due 39:12,15 | effective 68:1 | emotions |
| | | | 127:16 | | | 138:14 | 79:16 |
| 115:2 124:6 125:3 | 174:3,10 179:23 | disappoint 8:11 | 131:16 | domestic 23:15 | 45:21,23 | | |
| | | | | | 47:1,13 | effectively | emphasis 75:1 97:13 |
| 131:13 | 180:17,24 | discount | 158:16 | dominate 140:1 | 57:22 58:6 64:16 76:4 | 82:2 90:6 | |
| 145:8 149:9 | 181:10,14 | 46:23 82:7 | diversifying | | | 96:6 | employee |
| 149:12 | 181:22 | 176:4,18 | 60:7 | doors 183:11 | 91:11 110:4 | effects 12:11 | 138:4 140:5 |
| 150:11 | 182:1 | discretion | diversity 55:2 | double 94:15 | dug 122:17 | effectually | employees |
| 156:2,2 | 183:18 | 52:8 83:14 | 97:9 109:6 | doubt 106:19 | durable 22:3 | 97:18 | 51:17,23 |
| 159:21 | 184:1 | discretionary | 109:10,16 | Doug 132:17 | durables | efficient | 104:7 |
| 162:21,24 | dig 162:16 | 8:13 12:13 | 109:18 | downs 81:3 | 12:24 | 113:11 | 137:23 |
| 163:2 164:4 | digging 9:19 | 57:15 | 140:12 | downside | duration 38:9 | effort 58:6 | ended 14:9 |
| 178:22 | diligence | 103:21,23 | 147:23 | 84:20 91:13 | 38:10 39:5 | efforts 63:14 | 16:6 |
| differentiate | 45:22,23 | 105:2,4 | divide 56:10 | 91:23 92:2 | 69:12,19,22 | 107:6 | endowment |
| 49:19 | 57:22 58:6 | 108:2 | dividends | downward | 69:23 | eight 5:20 | 111:12 |
| differentiat | 64:16 76:5 | discuss 79:24 | 115:13 | 24:1,2 | duty 180:1,2 | 54:3 75:8 | 116:20 |
| 126:17 | 110:4 | 181:18 | division | draft 35:9 | 180:18 | 138:15 | 144:3 |
| differently | diligenced | discussion | 56:13 104:3 | drag 22:11 | | either 19:3 | ends 75:3 |
| 151:7 | 91:11 | 88:7 159:4 | doing 10:24 | 32:10 44:24 | <u>E</u> | 91:16 177:5 | 135:7 |
| 157:10 | diligent 111:4 | 165:17 | 28:4 31:10 | dramatically | E 2:1,1 185:1 | elected 67:6 | 165:10 |
| difficult 66:9 | direct 7:2 | discussions | 50:16 56:19 | 92:22 | Eagle 35:5 | election 19:3 | energy 11:20 |
| 85:11 | 27:15 90:9 | 130:4 | 57:3,8 74:2 | drawbacks | earlier 76:16 | 20:1 | 12:15 14:18 |
| 147:16 | 94:7,17 | disingenuous | 76:19 77:6 | 99:9 | 126:9 | elections 80:6 | 14:20 17:1 |
| 175:11 | 123:4,5 | 117:3 | 78:7 81:14 | drawdowns | 159:13 | element 56:9 | 26:16 27:12 |
| 1 | | | | | early 112:9 | | |
| L | ı | | ı | ı | ı | ı | 1 |

| | | | | | | | Page 7 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | I | | 1 | 1 | I | | |
| 163:21,22 | 124:7 | 93:3,8 | 127:16 | 108:13 | 42:17 69:1 | 64:23 65:17 | 103:24 |
| 163:24 | 125:21 | eventually | exist 159:8 | 132:16 | 83:7 94:16 | 66:24 94:15 | 145:5 164:8 |
| engine 107:3 | 128:6 | 66:11 | existed | explained | 101:1 | 99:22 | 164:10,12 |
| 144:21 | 152:22 | everybody | 117:20 | 111:13 | 107:11 | 125:10 | 172:13 |
| 145:14 | 156:5,11 | 23:12,13 | 156:20 | exposure 7:3 | 111:22 | 134:15 | fine 27:6 |
| England | 157:5,13 | 29:14 74:18 | existing 61:23 | 35:14 36:20 | 139:18 | 155:1 167:4 | 167:22 |
| 137:13,15 | 158:8,15 | 119:20 | 74:4 77:1 | 36:21 38:9 | 158:17 | felt 46:16 | 168:4,6 |
| 137:17 | 159:17 | 132:4 | 88:8 93:15 | 38:9,18 | fast 14:11 | 78:23 | finer 112:1 |
| enterprise | 162:23 | 134:17 | 95:11 | 39:5 41:4 | 15:11 141:8 | 163:17 | finish 70:3 |
| 54:8 | 163:10,11 | 155:24,24 | 147:12 | 69:23 160:3 | faster 86:8 | fictitiously | 163:15 |
| enters 73:10 | Eric 73:12,19 | everyone's | exits 119:24 | 160:8,17 | 112:15 | 175:4 | firearms |
| 100:10 | 75:10 76:3 | 46:21,24 | expanded | extension | favor 3:19 | fiduciarily | 173:3 |
| 135:9 | 76:5 87:23 | 180:1 | 137:15 | 98:5 | 4:13 5:2 | 180:9 | firm 46:7 |
| entities 74:10 | ERISA 51:15 | evidence 28:1 | expect 65:21 | extremely | 15:24 45:10 | fiduciary | 51:7,12 |
| 77:5 | 52:5 | 185:4 | 153:22,24 | 138:5,17,24 | 100:21 | 5:10 49:23 | 54:15 55:4 |
| environment | erred 119:14 | exact 181:24 | 154:5 | 147:8 | 170:23 | 51:10,12 | 73:17 74:17 |
| 18:23 58:22 | especially | exactly 99:14 | expectation | Exxon 122:4 | favorably | 52:6 53:24 | 76:2 79:1 |
| 59:12 84:1 | 12:19 28:17 | 173:5 | 115:6 | eyes 62:1 | 36:7 | 176:9 | 97:8,12 |
| 117:5,10 | 58:21 65:22 | example | 117:19 | | favoring 16:2 | 178:14,24 | 107:3,13 |
| 121:7 | 66:16 169:7 | 65:10 72:17 | expectations | F | fear 11:4 | 179:6 180:1 | 109:21 |
| 123:22 | essence 77:23 | 78:22 80:18 | 8:12 9:9 | F 185:1 | 166:16 | 180:1,18 | 136:23 |
| 124:20 | 156:11 | 115:10 | 23:10 28:18 | facing 149:17 | February | fight 172:5 | 137:14 |
| 138:6 160:9 | essentially | 123:23 | 117:7 | fact 58:10 | 30:5 | 177:8 | 138:4,16,23 |
| environments | 29:11 85:1 | 138:20 | 126:10 | 78:14,15 | Fed 6:4 17:20 | figure 80:8 | 139:4 |
| 50:15 | 90:5 96:22 | 140:5 | expected 8:8 | 82:5 171:13 | 18:3,6,21 | 112:18 | 141:18 |
| equation 14:3 | 129:21 | 141:19 | 9:2,6 60:8 | 173:17 | 18:24 19:6 | 134:9 | 146:2,21 |
| 22:9 141:8 | establish 29:4 | 152:18 | 126:1,24 | 174:1 181:2 | 19:12 20:6 | 164:12 | 148:19 |
| equities 14:8 | estate 44:1,2 | 157:6 | 127:1 | factor 18:13 | 102:17 | fill 143:4 | 159:20 |
| 36:3 41:2 | 89:19,22 | 163:12 | 149:16 | factors 34:16 | Federal 108:8 | 168:16,21 | 161:23 |
| 59:24 60:16 | 90:12,14,15 | examples | 152:10 | facts 117:20 | fee 47:12,19 | 169:1 | 166:17 |
| 60:23 68:19 | 90:17,22 | 62:17 | 154:9 | fad 119:14 | 52:11,13 | final 47:18,22 | firms 78:23 |
| 80:16 89:18 | 126:23 | 127:14 | 160:14 | failed 82:20 | 65:8,9 96:9 | 156:13 | 138:10 |
| 92:15,16 | 128:1,6 | exceptions | expecting | failure 181:3 | 124:21 | 163:14 | 140:22 |
| 93:8 113:9 | 156:12 | 173:1,4 | 18:4 | fair 43:7 | 125:4 133:4 | finally 6:4 | 141:22 |
| 113:15 | 157:22,24 | excess 13:6 | expenses 67:4 | 125:1,2,3 | 133:12 | 41:14 | 143:7 156:6 |
| 115:13 | 157.22,24 | excessive | 67:12 | 174:5 | 165:4 166:4 | finals 47:20 | first 5:19,20 |
| 124:1 | 159:17 | 26:19 | expensive | 183:18 | 166:5,15,17 | finance 144:9 | 31:20 34:13 |
| 152:19 | 162:15 | excited | 112:7,21 | Falkowski | 166:22,23 | 175:16,18 | 38:2 55:13 |
| 162:10,14 | estimate | 100:18 | 112.7,21 | 33:23 | 167:12 | 175:10,18 | 65:2 77:8 |
| equity 24:6 | 117:1 | exclude | 113.7 | fall 10:7 | 170:17 | 170.18,24 | 100:16 |
| 24:23 31:20 | estimates | 172:19 | 124:2 | 144:4 | feedback | 181:13 | 110:6 |
| 32:19 36:2 | 117:2 | excluded | 131:23 | 172:20 | 100:23 | 182:23 | 110.6 |
| 41:4,11 | et 12:13 13:1 | 154:11 | 131:23 | fallback | 100:23 | financial 27:5 | 128:1 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | 167:18 | 181:22 | | |
| 44:2 56:12 | 56:13 75:9 | excuse 60:23 | experience | | | 33:12 53:15 | 131:15 |
| 56:12 61:6 | 75:9 97:15 | executive 2:9 | 34:10 57:11 | falling 6:11 | feel 56:13 | 103:16 | 147:13 |
| 63:3,11 | 97:15 | 183:23 | 108:7,22 | 130:13 | 70:6 130:24 | 104:5,18 | 156:19 |
| 68:15,17,19 | 111:12 | 184:3 | 118:11 | 160:11 | 149:23 | financials | 164:6 170:5 |
| 71:5 89:19 | 124:7 | exercise | 137:6 | 169:14 | feeling 90:10 | 33:9 | fit 127:13 |
| 89:22 | euro 92:23,24 | 181:3 | experienced | falls 169:16 | 94:10 99:3 | financing | 143:22 |
| 102:19 | Europe 80:21 | exercising | 141:2,21 | familiar | feels 5:21 | 14:4 139:8 | 157:13 |
| 112:2 | 81:13 92:22 | 179:5 | 142:1 | 64:22 88:1 | fees 47:3 | find 8:23 | fits 95:14 |
| 115:13 | European | exhibit 57:18 | expertise | 103:14 | 48:18 62:2 | 78:19 82:11 | 135:18 |
| 120:16 | 18:12 92:24 | exhibited | 66:18 | 142:21 | 62:8 64:18 | 82:21 | 173:13 |
| | | | | far 34:7 42:7 | | | |
| L | • | | • | • | • | | · |

| | | | | | | | Page 8 |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | l | l | l | l | l | | |
| five 36:9 | flood 120:12 | 109:13 | 5:5,9 8:1,16 | 87:3 90:6,7 | 97:24 102:5 | game 163:17 | 110:17 |
| 45:22 51:17 | floor 1:15 | 151:22 | 31:17 33:23 | 90:7 91:7 | 103:20 | 182:15 | 112:20,20 |
| 69:16 86:16 | 163:5,8 | formation | 34:7 49:6 | 91:14 94:7 | 105:18,18 | gamut 90:3 | 112:21 |
| 87:9 100:20 | flow 63:18 | 51:14 | 49:11,12 | 94:10,13,19 | 111:12 | gap 14:12 | 131:13 |
| 100:22 | flowed 132:1 | formed 51:16 | 55:1,17 | 95:4,7,9,19 | 113:6,7 | Garcia 38:20 | 142:11,11 |
| 101:7,8 | 132:6 | forming | 61:13 70:2 | 96:15,24 | 123:3,4,5,6 | 38:24 | 149:16 |
| 106:23 | flows 42:12 | 75:13 | 71:11 | 110:6 123:4 | 123:7,8,17 | gas 8:7 10:2,4 | 175:2 181:4 |
| 114:17,24 | fluctuate 44:8 | fortunate | frankly 76:2 | 123:5,20 | 123:18 | 10:7,20 | 183:19 |
| 115:11 | fluid 150:12 | 102:9 | 117:9 | 124:4,21 | 124:14 | 11:15,19 | GFA 51:13 |
| 116:21 | flying 146:19 | 135:19 | 130:19 | 135:3,16 | 125:13 | 12:5 49:16 | 54:8 |
| 129:8 153:7 | focus 56:6,14 | forward 6:12 | fraud 79:10 | 136:21,23 | 126:23 | 120:6 170:9 | Gilbert 2:4 |
| Five-minute | 59:10 65:5 | 8:14 14:11 | Fred 32:1 | 137:10 | 129:7 | gasoline | 3:3,9,14,16 |
| 93:17 | 65:12 77:9 | 14:15 15:19 | free 60:12 | 139:15 | 136:16 | 13:22 | 3:19,21 4:3 |
| 122:21,23 | 88:13 | 18:5 50:4 | frequently | 140:10 | 137:9 | 119:11 | 4:8,10,13 |
| 157:18 | 107:24 | 50:14 53:11 | 88:20 | 142:16 | 139:16,21 | 121:9,9,13 | 4:15,20,24 |
| five-year | 173:23 | 87:19 88:14 | Friday 14:11 | 144:6 | 140:3,6 | gate 161:4,14 | 5:4 45:1,7 |
| 70:20 | focused 75:12 | 88:23 134:1 | Friday's | 146:12,13 | 142:15 | gather 58:3 | 45:10,12 |
| fixed 6:6,6 | 88:11 | forwarding | 14:14 | 147:17,20 | 149:10,17 | GDP 7:16 | 165:12,18 |
| 16:5,17 | focuses | 15:11 | FRIENDS | 152:11 | 157:7 159:1 | 22:19 23:17 | 165:23 |
| 18:14 38:1 | 162:10,12 | fossil 121:2 | 1:21 | 156:12,23 | 159:2,18,19 | 28:6 | 166:2 |
| 41:5,12 | folks 45:14 | foundation | fringes 81:20 | 157:2,4,9 | 159:23 | general 1:18 | 170:14,18 |
| 50:18 56:12 | 46:5 49:1 | 144:3 | front 17:20 | 159:1,6,14 | 160:1,3 | 118:15 | 170:20,23 |
| 69:7,20 | 50:20,24 | foundations | 18:3 | 159:18,23 | 162:14 | 124:15 | 171:1 |
| 81:10,11 | 54:3 64:22 | 74:9 | frontline | 159:24 | 184:8 | 153:15 | 177:23 |
| 89:17 104:2 | 67:6 75:17 | founded 137:20 | 145:1 | 160:1,2 | funny 97:12 | 154:12 | 183:1,3,6 |
| 104:11 | 85:23 87:3 | | froth 84:4 | 162:24 | further 28:21 | generalizati | 184:5,7 |
| 108:12,13 | 91:3 145:3 145:3 | founder 138:12 | frustration 182:6 | 164:3,19 171:8,15 | 28:22 151:9 160:12 | 124:22 | give 29:22 |
| 115:8,15 117:4 | 162:20 | 148:18 | frustrations | fund-to-fun | 181:18 | generalize 65:3 124:17 | 45:15 47:16 49:20 65:10 |
| 125:21,22 | 162.20 | founders | 182:22 | 61:7,14 | future 12:10 | 157:21 | 78:20 85:4 |
| 125.21,22 | 181:17 | 138:9 | fuels 121:2 | fundamental | 82:10 120:3 | generally | 96:4 100:12 |
| 128:21 | follow 55:14 | four 7:3 10:4 | full 1:21 32:9 | 112:18 | 139:17 | 34:17 | 119:2 |
| 160:7,15,17 | 72:1 | 18:20 19:1 | 54:7 56:19 | 132:10 | 142:8 | generate 58:4 | 120:14 |
| 160:22,23 | following | 19:11 20:13 | 57:20,22 | fundament | 142.0 | generated generated | 135:11 |
| 161:2 | 23:7 110:13 | 38:1 75:2,5 | 177:8 | 112:20 | $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ | 67:19 | 172:14 |
| 162:11 | footnote 32:8 | 75:18,20 | fully 185:5 | 114:1,8 | gain 13:6 | generating | 177:14 |
| flat 42:24 | 32:14 | 98:7 100:3 | fund 1:2,11 | 120:8,19,23 | 135:19 | 129:14 | given 14:11 |
| 47:7 143:21 | force 115:5 | 101:4 | 3:22 4:4 | 120:0,17,23 | gained 76:19 | geniuses | 16:2 17:4 |
| flattening | 177:3 | 102:13 | 6:17,17 | funded 110:7 | 76:22 | 84:15 | 18:7,10 |
| 17:15 18:4 | Forde 136:20 | 106:17 | 9:23 10:19 | funds 59:23 | gaining 66:10 | gentleman | 20:9,10 |
| flexibility | 136:20 | 107:12,17 | 13:23 34:1 | 61:20 62:7 | 66:15 107:5 | 50:10 100:6 | 50:14 59:12 |
| 133:9 | 162:8 163:3 | 107:19 | 34:9,11 | 62:12,14,21 | gains 38:3 | 135:21 | 59:13 62:20 |
| 171:23 | forecast | 143:10,12 | 40:16,23 | 63:3,4,22 | Gallagher | gentlemen | 64:1 65:22 |
| flip 37:9 | 88:22 153:7 | four-step | 43:13 44:11 | 64:2,19 | 2:15,16,17 | 54:3 73:11 | 69:9 70:3 |
| 101:13 | 153:18,20 | 151:16 | 44:13 49:15 | 66:3,8,13 | 5:9 45:14 | 135:6 136:9 | 83:24 89:9 |
| 125:17 | forefront | fourth 5:22 | 61:8,16,20 | 70:16 71:7 | 45:19 46:3 | 139:1 165:7 | 123:21,24 |
| 153:2 | 146:10 | 5:23 6:5 | 61:24,24 | 89:19 90:8 | 46:13 47:3 | getting 44:20 | 155:2 158:2 |
| flipping | 152:11 | 7:15 9:7 | 62:7,11 | 91:10 93:20 | 49:1,3,8,21 | 46:13 48:20 | 158:18 |
| 35:24 | foregoing | 14:9 16:5 | 63:1,24 | 94:1,3,8,11 | 49:22 51:11 | 64:13 66:14 | 159:5 160:1 |
| 150:15 | 185:7,15 | 16:14 32:23 | 64:2,9 65:9 | 94:14,19 | 51:12 54:11 | 68:1 85:14 | 160:10 |
| floated 68:5 | foreign 18:15 | 36:19 | 66:3,4,8,13 | 95:7,16,17 | 56:24 57:14 | 86:2,3,13 | 162:16 |
| floating 37:4 | formal | frame 97:3 | 66:19 71:7 | 95:22 96:9 | Gallagher's | 90:12 | 180:4 |
| 129:19 | 108:16 | Frank 2:15 | 85:12,24 | 96:18,19 | 64:20 | 107:14 | gives 86:11 |
| | | | | | gallon 119:11 | | |
| | I | | 1 | | | | |

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | | | | | | Page 9 |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | l | l | l | l | l | l | l |
| 91:21 | 176:5 | 90:16,21 | 105:24 | 72:10 99:3 | guidelines | 119:17 | 157:6,9 |
| 143:20 | goal 48:17 | 95:22 | 123:15 | 99:5,7,10 | 151:19 | 121:24 | 159:1,6,17 |
| giving 15:5 | 152:9 | 101:11 | 125:16 | 100:10 | guys 10:10 | 122:6 | 162:14,23 |
| 143:13 | 171:19,22 | 102:20,22 | 127:12,13 | 103:13 | 12:2 31:15 | 161:10 | 164:3 174:6 |
| 160:23 | goals 58:20 | 102:23,24 | 142:13 | 104:14 | 45:16 73:13 | happy 43:5 | hedged 124:8 |
| 179:7 | 58:21 59:13 | 102:24 | 145:5 151:9 | 108:15 | 93:17 | 72:1 174:3 | held 1:12,14 |
| glance 125:21 | 60:10 149:2 | 103:7 | 164:10 | 123:8,10 | 100:11 | 182:19 | 41:24 |
| 126:21 | 149:5,7,12 | 106:10 | 169:11 | 135:7,16 | 135:11 | hard 120:1 | 148:13 |
| global 7:24 | 149:13 | 109:15 | 180:9 | 136:17 | 163:7 | 147:8 | 181:2 |
| 26:14 47:6 | 150:1 | 110:9,12,14 | goods 7:19 | 143:24 | Н | Harding | help 58:6 |
| 60:22 73:10 | 151:13,24 | 110:24 | 13:8 | 144:20 | | 36:12 | 76:24 98:23 |
| 80:23 90:17 | goes 51:15 | 112:19 | gosh 78:9 | 162:12 | hair 42:11 | hate 154:20 | 129:12 |
| 120:24 | 53:17 72:23 | 114:24 | gotten 133:7 | 173:7,20 | half 12:19 | head 55:12 | 149:1 152:8 |
| 121:11 | 86:8,9 | 115:9 116:1 | 175:21 | 181:15 | 22:21,23 | 68:9 135:16 | 152:9 |
| 136:17 | 95:20 | 116:4,5 | governance | groups 71:18 | 23:16 28:6 | headquarte | helped 35:8 |
| globally | 121:22,24 | 117:11,17 | 158:18 | 163:7 | 40:17 41:3 | 137:19 | helping 58:16 |
| 131:5 | 122:6,18 | 117:22 | government | grow 77:19 | 43:2 65:13 | heads 11:4 | 75:14 |
| globe 90:13 | 128:9 | 119:2,6,18 | 84:5 | 93:1 131:9 | 71:3 79:3 | Health | 161:17 |
| glut 119:21 | going 8:19,22 | 119:22,24 | governmen | 141:10,15 | 90:24 93:3 | 104:13 | 162:1 |
| go 8:1 9:11 | 9:14,21 | 120:14 | 74:9 | 145:23,23 | 117:5,6 | healthcare | helps 74:23 |
| 11:16 20:6 | 10:4,13,14 | 121:20 | grab 48:24 | 146:4 | 119:12 | 7:20 21:20 | 76:6 |
| 28:21 34:4 | 11:2,4,7 | 122:4 123:2 | grade 126:20 | 148:20 | 126:19,20 | healthy 27:7 | herd 120:9,10 |
| 40:20 42:22 | 12:21 13:11 | 123:20 | grain 79:7 | growing | 126:21 | hear 5:5 | 132:2 |
| 48:24 55:1 | 13:12,16 | 125:2,6,12 | grand 166:19 | 112:14 | 134:17,18 | 113:10 | hey 10:11 |
| 55:12 57:20 | 17:19 18:1 | 126:11 | graph 68:23 | grown 103:23 | 139:21 | 146:9 156:1 | 12:9 |
| 58:18,23,23 | 18:9,15 | 127:8,10,11 | 70:13 71:12 | 105:4 141:3 | Hallmarks 140:19 | heard 87:2 | HFRI 69:1 |
| 61:16 68:6 | 19:1,13,14 | 127:20 | great 78:6 | 146:21 | | 103:6 108:8 | hidden 52:13 |
| 72:22 73:23 | 19:19,22 | 131:2 134:1 | 119:2 137:4 | grows 17:1 146:2 | Hamilton 38:21 | 174:19 | high 6:15,16 |
| 84:11 86:19 89:5,22 | 20:6,12 21:8,22 | 134:5,13,19 142:5 144:7 | 141:15 148:7 | | hand 77:10 | hearing 3:9 | 6:16,17,22 |
| · · | 22:1,2,5,16 | | | growth 7:24 14:24 15:3 | 103:10 | 4:3,20 10:1 heart 100:24 | 6:24 7:2,5 8:16 16:23 |
| 94:17,18,20 101:11 | | 146:7,9,18 147:10 | greater 17:2 118:8 | | 111:3 | | 16:24 17:10 |
| 101.11 | 22:19,20,20 | | 172:15 | 22:15,19 23:17 24:3 | 131:19 | heating 11:17 11:22 | |
| 105:7 105:8 | 23:12,17,21 | 148:7,11,20 | 172:13 | | handle 46:18 | | 17:13 25:14 25:15,21 |
| | 23:23 24:14 | 153:14 158:14 | greatly 21:13 | 24:5,10 32:1 33:18 | 106:7 111:1 | hedge 61:8,16 61:24 62:14 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 109:11 122:1 | 24:19 25:3 26:2 27:7 | 158:14 | | | 134:20 | | 26:3 27:5,8 |
| 122:1 | 28:15 29:5 | 160:13 | green 14:18 Greenwich | 33:19 35:5 36:15,17 | 154.20 | 62:21 63:24 64:9 66:4 | 29:2 33:18 |
| 128.19 | | | 142:20 | | hands 124:10 | | 39:12,16,17 |
| 130:11 | 30:24 31:7 44:22 48:10 | 162:3,16 166:23 | 142:20 | 50:17 80:24 81:3 92:19 | happen 38:14 | 66:19 71:7 89:18 90:7 | 43:21 48:13 65:1 69:8 |
| 135:17 | 50:1,13 | 160:23 | grew 7:16 | 93:6 94:13 | 78:13 79:15 | 91:6,10,12 | 69:12,13,14 |
| 136:19 | 53:7 60:4 | 171:15 | 77:19 | 107:3 | 80:3 98:14 | 91:0,10,12 | 69:19,22,24 |
| 138:22 | 60:20 61:15 | 171.13 | 137:14 | 141:17 | 119:22 | 93:20 94:1 | 72:15,17 |
| 138.22 | 61:17 62:6 | 173.1,8,10 | grey 172:10 | 141.17 | 125:3 | 93.20 94.1 94:3 95:17 | 72.13,17 78:24 |
| 141.11 | 62:7 63:20 | 177.5,5,8 | Gross 79:2 | guarantee | 160:13 | 96:9,18,24 | 105:23 |
| 147:17 | 63:21,23 | 178.3 | 82:13 | 182:7,8 | happened 6:4 | 97:24 123:3 | 113:18 |
| 147:17 | 68:17,24 | 183:12 | ground | guaranteed | 17:5 19:3 | 123:5,7,8 | 115:18 |
| 151:10 | 73:6 77:10 | good 3:3 22:3 | 130:14 | 100:3 | 32:22 42:2 | 123.5,7,8 | 120:15 |
| 151.10 | 78:13 79:15 | 29:13 76:19 | 135:2 | guess 12:6 | 92:21 121:3 | 123:17,18 | 120.13 |
| 152.10,24 | 79:20,24 | 86:6 88:1 | 141:15 | 43:2,3,6 | 122:2 | 123.20 | 126:21 |
| 159:21 | 80:2,8 81:2 | 92:6,13 | group 36:17 | 61:13 181:6 | 126:24 | 124.4,8,14 | 128:17,20 |
| 164:9 167:7 | 81:17 82:16 | 92.0,13 95:6,10 | 40:11,13,18 | guesstimate | happening | 124.21 | 128.17,20 |
| 164:9 167:7 | 83:20 87:19 | 97:17 99:10 | 40:11,13,18 | 55:13 | 18:7 118:20 | 120:22 | 130:5,12,14 |
| 171:16 | 88:23 90:8 | 104:21 | 71:3,16 | guff[ph 38:24 | 118:21 | 156:12 | 148:21 |
| 1/1.10 | 00.23 70.0 | 104.21 | 71.5,10 | guntpn 30.24 | happens | 150.12 | 170.21 |
| | l | l | | | паррепа | | l |
| L | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 10 |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | 1 | I | 1 | I | | | 1 |
| 150:20 | 21:14 24:16 | 68:16 69:12 | 116:17,18 | 160:22,23 | individual | 34:13 | 128:15 |
| 166:5 | 29:4,18 | 71:6 95:10 | 125:11 | 161:3 | 64:6 72:11 | input 12:4,22 | internation |
| higher 6:23 | 34:7,8 81:2 | 111:8 | 138:2,6,17 | 162:11 | 97:15 | 13:5 57:4 | 20:12 |
| 9:2,14 | 98:16 125:9 | 113:20 | 138:18 | incorrect | individual's | inputs 13:1 | interrelated |
| 24:10 25:17 | holding 21:6 | 123:23 | 142:13 | 180:14 | 11:3 | inquire 47:12 | 26:24 |
| 28:15,16 | 40:19 89:17 | 145:8 165:2 | 149:15 | increase | individually | inside 20:18 | interrupt |
| 35:8 47:13 | holdings | 174:23 | 150:16 | 147:14 | 77:22 | instance 63:1 | 47:16 |
| 51:8 68:1 | 32:24,24 | 181:4,20 | 164:23 | increased | individuals | 63:19 64:15 | interviewing |
| 69:10,14 | 33:8,16 | ideas 59:11 | 167:21 | 16:12 | 9:10 162:17 | institution | 78:4 |
| 93:6 115:15 | holds 22:18 | 59:17 71:5 | importantly | 143:17 | industrial | 111:7 | intro 101:14 |
| 115:17 | holiday 19:22 | 74:3 106:1 | 84:19 91:23 | increases | 7:19 12:18 | institutional | introduce |
| 177:12 | home 11:9,18 | 110:18,19 | impressed | 21:13 | 12:20,22 | 49:23 51:10 | 60:1 135:21 |
| highest 47:10 | 11:22 141:3 | 127:21 | 169:5 | 160:13 | 13:3 21:1,3 | 53:23 54:9 | 136:9 |
| 56:6 96:4 | honored | 129:9,12,13 | impressive | increasing | 27:11 | 67:5 74:8 | introduced |
| highlight | 77:14 100:1 | 129:14 | 166:6 | 60:7 | industrials | 120:12,17 | 137:5 |
| 49:18 58:9 | Hook 70:9 | 133:23 | improve | incumbent | 14:21 | 139:12 | invest 107:1 |
| 105:18 | 171:5,14,19 | 140:14 | 101:9,11 | 46:2 49:8 | industry | institutions | 108:1,17 |
| 106:12,20 | 172:1,8,12 | 141:1 163:8 | improved | 92:7 | 21:17 65:6 | 90:16 | 123:6,6 |
| highlights | 172:17,24 | 164:24 | 110:23 | indecision | 91:7 108:22 | Insurance | 172:4 |
| 57:24 | hope 70:24 | identify 56:15 | incentive | 20:5 | 138:7,8 | 181:5 | invested |
| highly 88:3 | 155:1 | 64:8 107:24 | 52:12 119:9 | indecisiven | 146:1 | insure 181:7 | 118:13 |
| 164:22 | 164:10 | 161:18 | inception | 19:6 | 147:15 | intent 171:18 | 146:23 |
| highs 11:6 | 175:2 | 164:5 | 32:3,14 | Independen | 148:16 | interact 75:4 | 153:23 |
| highway | horizon 38:19 | impact 24:14 | 35:10,20 | 105:16 | 152:12 | 76:1 | 154:3 |
| 140:23 | house 10:16 | 31:11 40:5 | 36:9,10 | independent | 168:23 | interaction | investing |
| highways | housing | 110:11 | 37:22 | 52:2 | inertia | 162:20 | 61:9 71:7 |
| 122:20 | 131:5 | 161:22 | include 48:13 | independen | 134:15 | 163:1 | 71:18 91:5 |
| hikes 18:18 | huge 44:23 | 177:9 179:8 | 57:3 165:5 | 138:22 | inflation | interest 6:10 | 108:24 |
| 19:2 | hundred 83:9 | impacting | included | index 6:9 | 114:23 | 6:10 13:24 | 137:7 |
| hindsight | 111:14 | 33:20 | 52:20 67:12 | 15:13 32:1 | 115:6 | 14:3 16:8 | investment |
| 178:2 | 116:5 | implement | 72:12 99:19 | 36:15 37:5 | 118:16,18 | 18:22 20:5 | 5:6 33:24 |
| hire 103:10 | 118:15,18 | 105:7 | including | 40:9 69:1 | 118:24 | 25:18 60:19 | 45:17,22 |
| 132:20 | 118:22 | 141:14 | 54:4 65:16 | 92:24 96:19 | 121:17 | 67:22 79:18 | 47:4,8 |
| hired 77:4 | 134:2,20 | 142:9 149:4 | 96:18 | 113:18,19 | inflationary | 114:22 | 48:19 49:22 |
| 105:19 | 139:10 | 164:7,13 | 115:13 | 115:21 | 18:23 | 115:16 | 49:23 51:10 |
| 157:16 | 154:3 | 165:2 | inclusion | 171:15 | inform 168:9 | 124:2 | 52:2,6,7,9 |
| hires 106:17 | hungry | implemented | 109:17 | 172:9 | information | 127:21 | 53:18,19,24 |
| 107:15,17 | 169:23 | 143:15 | income 6:6 | indexed | 6:16 28:9 | 160:12 | 54:9,13 |
| 107:18 | hurdle 96:2 | implementi | 16:5,17 | 31:23 154:3 | 28:16,17 | interesting | 57:16 58:13 |
| hiring 63:13 | hurt 33:1,4,8 | 158:14 | 18:14 38:1 | indexers | 30:23 37:7 | 74:17 | 59:6 60:10 |
| 78:1 109:8 | hurting 38:10 | 178:16 | 41:5,12 | 171:11 | 55:15 58:3 | intermediate | 73:19,21 |
| historical | hypothesis | importance | 50:18 56:12 | 172:15,16 | 58:4 99:16 | 38:19,21 | 74:1,16 |
| 84:7,13 | 113:12 | 111:17 | 69:7,21 | indexing | 152:1 | 114:18,20 | 75:23 76:4 |
| historically | | important | 81:10,11 | 37:17 113:1 | infrastruct | 116:12 | 76:6,10 |
| 119:3 137:9 | I | 5:17 43:12 | 89:17 104:2 | indicates 10:6 | 122:9 | 160:16 | 77:11 78:1 |
| 154:23 | idea 9:10 | 76:17 77:16 | 104:11 | indication | 173:18 | internalize | 78:4,18 |
| history 5:21 | 18:24 21:16 | 78:8 79:19 | 108:12,14 | 30:20 | inhouse | 12:9 | 79:1 101:2 |
| 30:7 | 24:7,15 | 80:5 82:23 | 115:9,15 | indicator | 100:24 | international | 102:1 |
| hit 20:7 46:23 | 25:20 28:12 | 87:22 94:1 | 117:4 | 43:11 | initial 87:7 | 15:8,9,24 | 107:13,23 |
| 130:13 | 30:18,22 | 94:6 97:2 | 125:21,22 | indicators | 133:12 | 16:1 30:2 | 107:23 |
| 135:2 | 57:13 61:15 | 97:21 99:6 | 126:18 | 30:11 | initiatives | 36:1,4,15 | 110:8,18,18 |
| hold 18:9 | 61:22 62:3 | 99:7 111:9 | 128:21 | indirectly | 56:1 57:1 | 37:2,5 41:3 | 111:4 117:9 |
| 19:16 21:8 | 62:6,11,19 | 111:22 | 160:7,15,17 | 136:6 | inopportune | 56:12 92:16 | 126:19 |
| I | 63:7 68:5 | | 1 | Ī | | 1 | |
| | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | |

| | | | | | | | Page II |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | I | 1 | | | | | |
| 131:12,22 | 74:19 76:10 | judgment | 140:9,14 | 100:23 | 32:1,11,18 | leave 130:23 | 148:21 |
| 131:23 | 77:18,23 | 176:7 179:5 | 142:17 | 107:11 | 33:21 39:11 | 174:8 | 150:19 |
| 132:8 | 91:5,6 | 182:10 | 143:24 | 116:24 | 50:17 62:21 | led 33:12 | 154:17 |
| 133:23 | IPS 81:19 | judgments | 144:2 147:2 | 117:7,8 | 79:1 93:7 | 144:11 | levels 104:9 |
| 137:6 139:6 | irrational | 180:10 | 148:9,14 | 120:4,15 | 95:9 112:2 | left 5:12,20 | 115:23 |
| 139:8,11 | 27:18,21 | July 42:20 | 153:3,14 | 124:23 | 140:7 174:1 | 15:22 41:1 | 117:16 |
| 141:12 | 31:12 | jump 127:18 | 155:2,11 | 125:9 126:5 | larger 32:10 | 50:7 54:18 | 125:7 |
| 142:14,23 | issue 6:12 | 180:13 | 156:6 159:7 | 129:11 | 35:14,14 | 60:20 73:18 | leverage 24:9 |
| 142:24 | 24:15 27:9 | jumping | 159:9 160:8 | 130:20 | 66:13,14 | 79:2 143:23 | 51:24 54:24 |
| 143:1,2 | issues 36:18 | 68:12 70:3 | 161:4,20,24 | 131:6 132:3 | 95:4,19 | 161:7 | 61:22 128:9 |
| 148:24 | 77:1 79:22 | 100:14 | 161:24 | 133:2 134:3 | largest 91:14 | legal 175:17 | leveraged |
| 149:4 | 83:8 156:18 | June 42:16 | 163:12 | 135:10,12 | 143:7 | 182:16 | 108:12 |
| 150:11 | items 108:20 | 42:20 80:4 | 164:15 | 135:18 | late 32:5 | 183:19 | leveraging |
| 151:16 | | 80:8 | 172:21 | 136:15 | 122:4 | legitimate | 61:15 62:18 |
| 152:4 | J | junk 25:3 | 173:13 | 137:12 | Lately 88:19 | 175:13 | 168:16 |
| 153:17 | J 49:22 51:11 | | 180:2 | 139:4 152:6 | Laughs)I | 177:15 | levers 24:11 |
| 157:8 | January 1:8 | K | kinds 130:15 | 152:11,23 | 132:18 | Lehman | liabilities |
| 164:14 | 15:6,12 | keep 14:6 | 130:21 | 154:11 | Laughter | 26:12 | 150:24 |
| 166:9 | 30:7,15,19 | 71:2 109:17 | King 1:13 | 159:13 | 132:24 | leisure 138:2 | liability |
| 169:12 | 42:2 47:1 | 118:24 | 185:11 | 161:10,16 | 161:11 | lend 130:2 | 151:20,22 |
| 171:8,21 | 73:2 138:14 | 119:19 | knee-jerk | 162:3 | law 167:10 | lending 156:9 | 161:15 |
| 174:1 | Jared 107:16 | 134:13 | 131:20 | 166:11 | 174:20 | Leonard | 178:14 |
| 175:19 | Jaws 132:22 | 174:4 175:2 | knife 130:13 | 167:9 | 179:4,4 | 107:16 | 181:5,8 |
| 177:14 | Jersey 105:15 | 175:9 | 130:13 | 168:14 | 181:17 | 135:14,15 | 182:20 |
| 182:17 | Jets 100:4 | keeping | know 7:17 | 172:2 173:1 | 182:18 | 137:4 148:1 | liable 178:11 |
| investments | jettison 92:7 | 84:10 | 10:2,17,19 | 173:10 | laws 180:4 | 148:7 | 179:4 |
| 31:21 56:3 | job 7:9 78:5 | kept 40:16 | 12:9 13:14 | 174:11 | lawyers | 150:15 | life 29:14 |
| 57:12 58:14 | 78:21 | Kevin 135:15 | 18:6 19:10 | 177:17 | 154:20 | 153:2 154:8 | lifetime 116:3 |
| 61:8 63:17 | 112:18 | 136:22 | 20:19 29:15 | 178:9 | layer 64:16 | 154:14,17 | 116:6 |
| 106:16 | 144:12 | 146:5 | 30:6 31:16 | 179:14 | 65:16 | 156:4 | lifetime-to |
| 141:13 | 145:4,13 | 147:22 | 34:16 36:9 | knowledge | layered | 157:22 | 34:15 |
| 147:18 | 164:14 | 149:8 | 36:24 39:9 | 78:6 | 126:22 | 158:1 159:3 | light 80:13 |
| 155:22 | John 77:17 | 162:13 | 42:1 44:18 | known 50:8 | layers 62:8 | 161:9 | 91:19 |
| 156:5 157:1 | 100:17,18 | key 79:13 | 46:11 48:16 | 51:11 64:9 | layout 126:17 | 163:15,17 | 116:11 |
| 171:16 | 101:18,22 | 92:12 | 49:20 55:11 | 140:8,10 | Lazard 38:22 | 165:6,8 | likelihood |
| 172:20 | 102:22 | kill 168:17,21 | 57:12 61:11 | knows 49:6 | 38:22 | lessen 24:17 | 19:18 |
| 173:15 | 103:7,11 | 169:2 | 61:17 62:22 | 79:20,22 | lead 50:5,5 | let's 9:11 | limited 17:21 |
| investor | 106:5 | kind 10:12,15 | 63:10 64:19 | 119:12 | 86:11 | 13:21 14:7 | 27:3 176:24 |
| 112:18 | 116:11 | 11:8 12:8 | 64:23 67:6 | Kuhns 46:6 | 110:14 | 16:16 31:18 | Linda 51:1 |
| investors | 126:9 | 14:13 17:7 | 71:17 72:2 | 47:23 | 134:15,16 | 86:14,18 | line 31:24 |
| 8:21 17:21 | 130:24 | 27:21 37:16 | 72:23 73:14 | | leader 144:5 | 107:9 | 35:22 37:20 |
| 17:24 18:15 | 170:5 | 44:19 47:18 | 74:7,15 | | 144:14 | 113:13 | 38:16 39:24 |
| 19:21 28:8 | Johnson 2:6 | 62:9 66:24 | 75:2,14,20 | labor 115:5 | 146:11 | 130:9,10 | 40:9 41:23 |
| 31:13 63:23 | 3:15 4:9,23 | 84:2 85:22 | 77:7 78:5 | lack 26:17 | leadership | 133:24 | 60:13 65:5 |
| 66:16,17 | 45:6 93:16 | 87:4 88:6,7 | 81:10 84:8 | 64:21 180:2 | 54:1 | 152:18 | 68:24 70:23 |
| 67:5,6 | 166:3,21 | 88:8,17 | 84:8 85:11 | lag 10:9 12:8 | leaflet 72:13 | 158:11 | 75:12 92:6 |
| 90:13 113:6 | 168:22 | 89:2,10 | 85:15,24 | 12:16 36:5 | leaning 17:7 | 164:9 168:6 | 105:3 126:8 |
| 120:12,17 | 170:19 | 96:8 97:11 | 86:2,4,13 | 36:22 40:2 | learn 110:3 | 174:7 179:9 | 130:9 159:7 |
| inviting 77:13 | 178:1 182:2 | 109:1,2,23 | 88:4,12,24 | lagging 38:7 | 140:15 | 182:22 | 182:14 |
| 136:19 | 183:2,5,12 | 126:9,13,15 | 89:7,10,18 | 38:8 | 141:11,12 | level 13:12 | lines 52:10,11 |
| involved | join 102:8 | 127:6 129:5 | 90:6,16 | laid 102:22 | learned 103:2 | 51:8 58:1 | lineup 88:1 |
| 50:24 54:10 | joining 54:16 | 131:19 | 91:9 97:13 | LANE 1:21 | 110:2,6,15 | 60:17 61:10 | 92:9,13 |
| 54:11 71:23 | joke 177:19 Jon 73:12 | 133:6 137:2 137:16 | 98:4 99:13 | large 14:12 27:16 31:22 | lease 128:12 | 99:20 116:4 | link 116:22 |
| | JUH / 3.12 | 157.10 | - | 27.10 31.22 | - | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Sinking Fund Commission Quarterly Meeting January 20, 2016

| | | | | | | | Page 12 |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | 12540 | 1 | 1 | l | | 1 | 1 |
| liquid 90:4 | 126:18 | 64:2 68:15 | 169:10 | 90:16 98:15 | 85:5 116:11 | 124:10 | 118:1,13 |
| 131:12,17 | 133:2 137:2 | 68:17 71:6 | looked 33:17 | 101:19 | 119:5 | 125:8 129:1 | 120:5 121:4 |
| 156:20 | 139:17 | 124:5,6,7 | 36:23 59:13 | 102:4 103:2 | 171:12 | 129:2 145:5 | 122:22,24 |
| liquidity | 140:17 | 157:6,12 | 65:4 68:8 | 103:24 | male/female | 154:23 | 123:5,15 |
| 19:20 26:17 | 146:24 | longer 18:16 | 70:20 | 109:1 | 55:10,11 | 164:10 | 124:18,23 |
| 27:3,9 | 152:17 | 35:23 36:8 | 111:11,17 | 110:17 | Malvern | 182:17 | 130:5 |
| 57:20 63:23 | 153:1,4 | 37:20 39:2 | 122:16 | 128:17,18 | 75:10 | managerial | 131:21 |
| 64:1,4 90:1 | 160:20 | 94:14 | 133:11 | 130:17 | manage | 55:10 | Manning |
| 155:17,18 | live 116:9 | look 6:19 | 151:5 | 131:3 132:4 | 25:21 63:18 | managers 6:7 | 144:11 |
| 157:3 | 158:18 | 7:18 10:1 | 169:20 | 132:5 | 68:2 104:10 | 7:4 10:1 | manufactur |
| 159:14 | living 120:2 | 10:11 14:16 | looking 6:2 | 134:16 | 105:20 | 38:1 39:4 | 21:4,11,15 |
| list 52:19 | load 134:14 | 19:12 30:1 | 6:12,19,23 | 138:7 | 111:7 117:7 | 41:15 48:19 | 21:21 |
| 54:3 56:6 | 147:3 | 30:20 35:17 | 9:24 15:9 | 142:18 | 126:10 | 56:7,17 | Marc 101:14 |
| 64:21 65:12 | loaning | 35:21 36:5 | 15:21 27:24 | 158:21 | 127:5 | 57:17 58:7 | 103:12 |
| 76:14,18 | 156:11 | 36:24 40:6 | 47:10 50:13 | 163:3,9 | 164:11 | 58:16 63:11 | 130:23 |
| 105:10 | loans 10:18 | 40:7 41:9 | 53:18 57:14 | lots 122:9,11 | 180:20 | 63:16 64:9 | 133:1,3 |
| 109:11 | 26:18 | 50:1 54:18 | 57:24 58:17 | low 14:3 48:2 | managed | 64:9 66:19 | March 19:19 |
| listed 53:22 | local 47:9 | 55:3,5 58:2 | 62:22 64:7 | 84:7 99:23 | 104:2 | 77:21,21 | 183:8,13,15 |
| 54:6 106:5 | 101:20 | 58:8,14,19 | 67:19 69:11 | 115:16 | management | 78:2,18 | 184:2,4 |
| 137:24 | locally 20:11 | 58:20,22 | 69:16 87:16 | 116:4 124:3 | 25:20 26:1 | 83:8 86:16 | Margaret |
| 139:14 | 98:4 | 63:2 64:18 | 88:14 89:12 | lower 5:19 | 53:19 65:8 | 86:18 87:8 | 102:7 |
| listen 86:12 | locations | 65:3 66:11 | 90:18 94:3 | 9:4,16 | 65:24 77:18 | 87:10 88:2 | market 5:18 |
| 182:21 | 51:17 | 67:8,21 | 95:21 | 12:21 13:17 | 102:16 | 88:17 113:2 | 5:24 7:24 |
| listened | Loevner | 72:9 78:15 | 109:12 | 14:17 15:9 | 103:16,18 | 113:3,13 | 8:13,17 |
| 143:15 | 36:12 | 79:17 80:17 | 122:13 | 15:22 22:19 | 104:3,4,10 | 124:5 | 14:16 15:14 |
| literally | Logan 38:5 | 84:12 97:12 | 130:19 | 22:22 31:2 | 106:14 | 128:19,21 | 16:23 17:1 |
| 160:11 | 38:16 39:8 | 99:10,18 | 132:13 | 48:17 50:3 | 113:22 | 128:22 | 17:23 20:13 |
| literature | 39:11 104:7 | 106:13 | 138:10 | 50:21 51:1 | 125:4 139:9 | 130:10 | 20:18 23:21 |
| 111:16 | logical 179:14 | 108:7 113:9 | 149:7 | 62:2 93:20 | 139:19 | 136:18 | 24:6 25:14 |
| litigated | long 10:3 | 114:5,8,8 | 151:23 | 143:13 | 140:2 | 143:2 145:6 | 25:15 26:4 |
| 177:21 | 13:24 28:14 | 115:10 | 152:6 161:2 | 180:12 | 151:15 | 164:8,20 | 27:9,14,22 |
| litigation | 34:21 35:2 | 120:13 | 162:23 | lowered 79:5 | 154:18 | 171:23 | 29:2 30:8 |
| 177:6 | 44:9 56:21 | 121:18 | 169:22 | lunch 60:12 | 155:10 | 172:6,8,9 | 31:5,7 |
| 180:23 | 57:19 59:16 | 122:1,5 | looks 65:5 | | 164:15 | 175:3 | 33:11 35:9 |
| 182:10 | 59:16 60:8 | 125:23 | 114:12 | M | manager | manages | 36:21 37:2 |
| little 6:14 | 68:16 79:24 | 126:13,24 | 119:20 | M 1:13 | 25:21 36:6 | 103:21 | 37:18,24 |
| 12:7 19:14 | 83:11 89:17 | 128:3,20 | loop 174:4 | 185:11 | 37:5,19,24 | managing | 39:18 46:22 |
| 19:18,22 | 89:17 92:4 | 129:10 | lose 72:13 | MacBride | 38:5,21 | 80:11 | 46:24 50:15 |
| 22:22 25:1 | 99:6 104:21 | 130:11 | 147:9 | 70:8 | 50:15 52:13 | 101:15,23 | 50:19 58:22 |
| 27:15 35:13 | 114:2,17,19 | 131:15 | losers 165:13 | macroecon | 56:16 57:17 | 102:19 | 59:12 69:15 |
| 38:7,12,22 | 115:3 116:7 | 133:14,17 | losing 85:6 | 102:15 | 58:5,16 | 130:3 | 72:15,18,22 |
| 42:6 43:1 | 116:8,10,13 | 142:7,19 | losses 7:9 | Macy's 13:14 | 59:2 64:6 | 144:12 | 84:4,9 85:3 |
| 44:5 48:6 | 116:18 | 143:11 | 78:14 | 33:3 | 69:8,13 | mandate | 85:3 88:14 |
| 49:21 51:24 | 118:23 | 146:20 | lot 6:5,6,15 | main 43:13 | 72:9,11 | 33:17 35:6 | 90:21 91:20 |
| 53:12 54:22 | 121:16,21 | 150:2,2,5,7 | 19:5,7,8 | 51:20,23 | 76:4 78:4 | 36:2,17 | 96:23 98:12 |
| 61:14 64:24 | 124:8 150:2 | 151:12 | 20:5 24:9 | 61:23 | 82:12,16,21 | 38:23 | 98:21 |
| 79:9 85:10 | 174:13 | 152:13,15 | 31:15 32:17 | maintain | 85:1 86:15 | mandates | 107:11 |
| 86:11,13 | long-only | 152:17 | 34:6 67:5 | 147:11 | 86:22 96:3 | 37:10 | 113:11,12 |
| 88:11,20 | 162:10,11 | 153:10 | 75:5 76:22 | majority | 96:21 98:17 | Manieri | 113:15,16 |
| 89:8 92:14 | 162:22 | 154:6,22 | 77:4 79:7 | 91:15 96:11 | 99:19 | 102:12,12 | 114:4,5,13 |
| 105:19 | 163:10 | 155:17 | 80:14,19 | 106:6,8 | 107:16 | 111:5 | 115:19 |
| 115:17,18 | long/short | 159:19 | 86:8 88:4 | maker 181:19 | 111:18 | 116:10,15 | 116:16 |
| 124:2 | 63:3,11,20 | 161:24 | 88:23 89:24 | making 81:16 | 113:4,21 | 117:14,23 | 120:16 |
| | | | | 81:22 84:18 | | | |
| | l | I | l | | | l | |

| | | | | | | | Page 13 |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 1 . | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | l |
| 123:22 | massive | 161:8 | 87:20 | memos 57:22 | minimal 62:8 | 86:4,14 | 5:23 7:5 |
| 124:20 | 159:16 | 163:16 | 109:23 | mentality | minimize | 95:6 120:17 | 14:7 16:4 |
| 126:3 | match 96:19 | 165:4,7 | media 32:24 | 120:9,11 | 94:5 96:15 | 129:3 132:1 | 16:16 18:5 |
| 128:23 | 167:7 | 166:5,16 | 64:14 | 132:2 | minorities | 132:5 | 19:23 35:3 |
| 131:5 150:8 | matched 44:5 | 167:6 | median 53:1 | mention | 97:15 | 156:11 | 59:21 81:9 |
| 150:9 | material | 168:15,21 | 53:4 70:17 | 97:23 | minus 43:2 | 158:7,10,12 | 81:10 82:1 |
| 153:18 | 129:24 | 169:1,10,23 | 70:18,22 | 106:22,23 | 128:7 | 180:20 | 85:19 86:4 |
| 155:7 | materialize | 170:4,11 | 154:22 | 123:8 | minute 34:4 | Mongalis | 113:5 |
| 156:17 | 8:10,15 | 172:1,16 | Medicine | mentioned | 90:22 | 108:9 | 133:21,23 |
| 160:10,10 | materialized | 174:6 | 104:15 | 1:12 8:16 | 133:10 | monitor | 165:15,18 |
| 164:2 169:8 | 9:13 | 175:15,23 | meet 75:14 | 51:9 55:17 | 136:7 161:6 | 63:18 | moved 4:10 |
| 171:24 | materially | 176:2,15 | 83:16 97:18 | 74:15 76:5 | minutes 3:3,7 | monitoring | 4:22,24 |
| market's | 31:11 | 181:12,16 | 149:5,14,18 | 76:9,16 | 3:11,13 | 34:18 58:15 | 45:5,7 69:8 |
| 43:14 | materials | 181:23 | 149:24 | 77:17 95:21 | 49:5 73:7 | 64:7 | 137:2 |
| marketable | 14:21 17:3 | 182:21 | 150:23 | 98:8 106:15 | 73:14,15 | Montgomery | 170:20 |
| 60:24 61:6 | 25:13 27:12 | 183:10,21 | 151:1 | 122:7 | 74:14 | 54:12 | moves 81:22 |
| 68:18 | 121:23 | mean 9:18 | 175:12 | 162:13 | 100:12 | month 15:6 | 171:12 |
| marketplace | math 155:8 | 10:22 13:7 | meeting 1:4 | mentioning | 101:12 | 19:17 30:15 | moving 8:14 |
| 6:13 7:12 | Matt 9:22 | 21:21,24 | 1:11 3:4,10 | 161:15 | 102:21 | 30:20 32:5 | 14:6,15 |
| 72:24 90:4 | 39:20 43:19 | 22:12,19 | 19:16 24:13 | mentions | 108:18 | 37:6 39:9 | 15:19 53:11 |
| markets 15:9 | 69:6 103:3 | 24:2 27:1 | 39:8,21 | 75:18 | 135:11 | 42:18,23 | 53:23 54:21 |
| 15:12,14,23 | 110:1,5,21 | 28:11 29:7 | 46:11 69:6 | Mercer 54:15 | 144:8 | 44:12,13 | 59:23 93:8 |
| 15:24 16:3 | 125:19 | 29:23 30:4 | 71:3 80:4 | merger 33:6 | missions | 98:17 | 109:17 |
| 17:10 18:14 | 133:5 169:4 | 30:14,16 | 83:18,21 | met 48:9 50:9 | 170:8 | 109:14 | 163:3 |
| 19:23 24:24 | 169:6 | 42:19,22 | 85:16 103:6 | 64:19 73:22 | mitigate | 134:6 | multi-asset |
| 28:13,20,21 | 181:11 | 43:18 44:15 | 108:5 | 170:6 | 78:14 | monthly | 104:13,23 |
| 29:22,24 | Matt's 168:7 | 59:1,2 61:1 | 110:24 | methodolog | mix 59:20,20 | 108:16 | multi-strate |
| 30:2,22 | matter | 97:11 | 126:7 134:6 | 114:18 | 59:23,24 | months 30:17 | 124:4 |
| 36:20 37:11 | 124:15 | 110:10 | 163:10 | metrics 29:12 | 60:1,22 | 30:18 31:4 | multinational |
| 37:12 60:23 | 182:24 | 113:1 146:3 | 167:13 | 152:24 | 71:12 | 34:24 79:5 | 54:15 |
| 61:5 78:10 | 185:7 | 146:5 156:3 | 174:22 | Mick 54:6 | 105:24 | 79:15 80:1 | muni 33:24 |
| 79:10,17 | Matthew 2:9 | 156:7 158:3 | 174.22 | mid 42:18,22 | 125:20 | 81:5 85:20 | 44:11 |
| 80:15 86:7 | maturities | 166:21 | | middle 70:23 | mixed 7:14 | 85:21 | municipal |
| | | | 181:12,16 182:24 | | | | |
| 90:20 93:9 | 7:1 16:13 | 169:10 | | Mike 144:11 | mixes 161:20 | morning 3:3 | 44:13 95:9 |
| 108:23 | maturity 6:8 | 172:16,23 | 183:9,13,15 | mild 11:23 | model 26:2 | 31:7 42:9 | mutual 90:5 |
| 112:8,10,11 | 29:4 38:11 | 181:6 | 184:8 | military | 62:16 104:1 | morph | N |
| 112:14 | Mayor | 182:18 | meetings 75:3 | 173:3 | modeling | 127:13 | N 2:1 185:1 |
| 123:12 | 179:18 | meaning | 75:7,19 | million 13:20 | 88:9 | morphed | |
| 124:1,6 | Mazza 2:9 | 82:15 84:4 | 98:7,9,10 | 41:19,20 | Moderate 7:8 | 156:22 | name 46:9 |
| 128:6 | 18:17,21 | 91:12 156:1 | 98:13,24 | 42:8,11 | modified | Morton 50:21 | 62:24 |
| 131:17 | 31:15,17 | means 58:12 | 145:2,10,11 | 53:8,9 66:9 | 173:9 | motion 3:10 | 101:14 |
| 153:13,13 | 37:4 39:7 | 89:15 | 163:9 | 74:10 | moment 25:2 | 3:17,21 4:4 | 135:14 |
| 156:21 | 39:22 45:13 | 108:24 | meets 97:23 | 131:14 | 51:8 | 4:11,15,21 | names 55:13 |
| Marty 108:9 | 45:21 46:2 | 113:4 156:1 | 98:1 | 133:19 | moments | 5:4 45:3,8 | 57:5 62:23 |
| Marvin 2:17 | 47:20 48:4 | 180:13 | member | 134:5 181:8 | 44:23 | 45:12 167:3 | 78:24 82:13 |
| 5:11 6:1 | 48:8,24 | 185:17 | 33:24 180:6 | million-dollar | momentum | 170:12,14 | nationwide |
| 49:7 50:8 | 49:5,11 | measure | members 2:3 | 53:2 | 23:5,5,23 | 170:21 | 107:5 |
| 53:14 54:20 | 73:5,11 | 38:11 60:14 | 5:13 19:9 | mindful | Mondrian | 171:1 | natural 11:19 |
| 54:21 59:6 | 93:17 100:6 | measurement | 20:20 53:16 | 183:22 | 36:2 | 177:18 | 120:6 |
| 61:12 | 100:11 | 51:3 143:14 | 55:24 56:14 | mindset 11:1 | money 10:13 | motionless | near 11:6 |
| Massachus | 122:21,23 | measuring | 56:19 73:20 | 134:3 | 74:22 79:8 | 121:1 | necessarily |
| 105:13 | 135:6,10 | 40:8 | 83:22 98:18 | mine 9:22 | 84:18 85:5 | mouth 129:18 | 24:4,6 |
| 137:20 | 157:18 | meat 77:9 | 98:20 | mines 122:17 | 85:6,19 | move 3:12 4:6 | 61:17 71:15 |
| | | | | | | | 98:1 131:18 |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |

| 148:14 148:14 149:15 1 | | | | | | | | Page 14 |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 24-11 | | Ì | I | Ì | Ì | | | |
| 682 73:6 414 65:20 78:11 78:11 78:11 78:11 78:11 79:12 79:12 79:12 79:13 79:12 79:13 79:12 79:13 79: | | | | | | | | |
| 98.6 H16.24 net-of-fee 129:13 131:11 NY 1224 128:14 128:14 128:14 non-traditi O | 24:16 60:18 | net 22:11 | non-correla | 50:2 89:1 | off-the-shelf | 122:24 | opportunities | organized |
| 126:10 | 68:2 73:6 | 41:4 65:20 | 128:16 | 142:18 | 150:18 | 124:23 | 6:20,22 8:3 | 143:24 |
| 128:14 128:14 never 18:21 never 18:21 142:13 never 18:21 147:21 192:81:16 non-traditi | 98:6 116:24 | net-of-fee | 129:13 | 145:17 | offer 25:3 | 125:15 | 8:4,6,20,23 | original 9:9 |
| 142:13 never 182:14 150:9 83:3 84:21 150:9 83:3 84:21 150:13 178:13 26:22 07mailey 107:14 160:42 173:22 150:16 150:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:14 170:14 | 126:10 | 125:10 | 131:11 | NY 1:24 | 47:19 169:2 | 151:6,6 | 15:20 25:4 | 138:9 |
| 142:13 never 182:14 150:9 83:3 84:21 150:9 83:3 84:21 150:13 178:13 26:22 07mailey 107:14 160:42 173:22 150:16 150:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:23 150:16 110:14 173:22 150:16 173:14 170:14 | 127:19 | net-of-fees | non-Sandy | | 170:12 | 161:9 | 26:3 58:23 | 148:18 |
| 144213 | 128:14 | 125:11 | 171:14 | 0 | office 106:9 | 165:12,18 | 72:20 80:22 | originally |
| 147.21 | | never 18:21 | non-traditi | O 185:1 | 139:4 | · · | | |
| 150:99 | | | | O'Connell | | | | |
| 151:23 nevertheless normal 30:12 107:14 73:19 neve theless 178:13 new loi!2 178:13 new loi!2 178:13 new loi!2 178:13 new loi!2 132:32 82:16 183:21 467 47.7 183:22 82:16 183:22 467 47.7 183:23 82:16 100:15 32:83 82:16 183:21 467 47.7 183:23 82:16 100:15 105:14.15 105:14 105:15 | | | | | | | | |
| 158.18 | | | | O'Mallev | | | | |
| 1663.32 | | | | | | | | _ |
| 171:23 | | | | | | | | |
| 176:15 13:23 28:16 Northern 32:22 34:2 181:5 16:8 147:9 opportunity 176:13 out-perfor | * | | | | | | | |
| 183:21 46:747.7 31:23 34:5 40:3 offices 51:20 one-time 11:11 27:4 58:19 28:24 29:6 ont-time 11:12 7:4 58:19 35:19 39:3 ottline 73:17 outlook 13:14 39:14 outlook 13:19 37:24 one-to-one 31:14 39:14 one-trick 49:13,14 one-trick one-trick 49:13,14 one-trick 49:13,14 one-trick 49:13,14 one-trick 49:13,14 one-trick 49:13,14 one-trick o | | | | | | | | |
| needed 63:17 64:8 nose 125:9 objective 51:23 55:8 one-time 11:11 27:4 oditine 73:17 outline 73:17 needle 109:18 105:14,15 185:12 151:24 137:24 note-to-one 31:14 39:14 outline 73:17 outlook 33:19 93:39:39:14 39:19 46:14 119:13 outlook 39:19 46:14 119:13 one-trick 49:13,14 155:6 158:2 150:11 51:1 one-trick 49:13,14 155:6 158:2 160:19 outler-form 160:19 outler-form 121:17 outler-form 121:17 outler-form 121:17 outler-form 15:13:22.4 opposed 61:14 122:17 outler-form 15:33:23.4 outler-form 15:13:32:2,4 outler-form 15:33:36:16 122:17 outler-form 15:33:36:16 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 145:11 105:14,15 105:14,15 185:12 151:24 137:24 137:24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:17,24 106:18,23 107:15,17 107:15,17 107:18 185:6 149:8,13 138:13 138 | | | | | | | | |
| needle 109:18 105:14,15 185:12 151:24 137:24 one-to-one 31:14 39:14 outlook 133:21,24 106:17;24 noted 185:4 oned 185:4 one-to-one 31:14 39:14 119:13 81:19 97:23 107:18 185:6 149:8,13 138:13 one-to-one 31:14 39:14 49:13,14 155:6 188:2 197:24 108:24 notice 1:12 150:11 51:1 obligation noe-year 140:9 71:10 73:4 160:19 197:24 109:6;12 169:15 noticed 182:5 obligation 151:6 35:17 100:17 121:17 149:14 109:6;12 163:1 110:22 observations 38:4 103:8 38:6 38:23 101:6 102:6 122:17 134:23,24 147:23 3:11 24:18 50streperous 12:1,3.21 105:8 130:1 0pposed 35:20 182-2 48:8 NFL 105:19 14:19 38:6 17:4 21:11 27:11 31:2 ones 67:8 61:14 123:10 NEL C46:15 NFL 105:19 14:19 38:6 85: | | | | | | | | |
| 133:21,24 106:17,24 noted 185:4 noted 32:21 44:20 48:19 97:23 107:18 185:6 149:81.3 97:24 109:61,2 169:15 notice 1:12 169:15 noticed 182:5 notion 119:7 noticed 183:4 163:4 | | | | | | | | |
| needs 75:15 107:15,17 notes 32:21 44:20 149:5 officially 149:13,14 one-trick 149:13,14 49:13,14 155:6 158:2 160:19 97:24 108:24 notice 1:12 169:15 ohl0:22 78:90 ohl0:24 ohl0:22 78:90 ohl0:22 78:90 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| 881:19 97:23 107:18 185:6 149:8,13 138:13 140:9 71:10 73:4 160:19 97:24 108:24 notice 1:12 150:1 151: ohligation obligation obligation obligation obligation 19:25 ohli 10:27 78:9 one-year oil 7:68:18 81:21 outperform 12:11:7 149:14 109:6,12 169:15 noticed obligation obligation obligation obligation 19:25 0hi 10:25 36:8,23 101:6 102:6 123:11 21:17 79:32:2,34:1 137:17 notion 119:7 observations obstreperous 13:2,321 31:1 24:18 94:11:18 38:6 127:15 outperform 15:1 32:2,4 negotiated 64:2 163:4 39:21 44:10 obviously obviously 2 25:12,13 72:5,6 95:12 opposed of:14 123:10 outperform 15:3 36:16 122:1 opposite 15:3 36:16 122:1 122:1 outperform <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | , | | | • | | | | |
| 97:24 149:14 108:24 109:6,12 notice 1:12 169:15 150:1 151:1 obligation obligation ohl 10:22 78:9 openius one-year 81:21 to 10:16 102:6 to 2:11 10:17 outperform 121:17 regative 7:8 7:9 23:2,3,5 23:22 43:1 137:13,15 to 10:10:22 observations notion 119:7 notion 119:7 notion 119:7 solutions 134:23,24 to 147:23 observations 134:23,24 to 147:23 observations 163:4 observations 163:4 observations 163:4 observations 17:4:18 to 10:5:13 2:2.4 9:4 11:18 to onerous observations 10:5:8 130:1 observations 13:5 25:8 observations 12:1,3,21 to 0. 0.0 to the reform 12:1:17 to 0. outperform 12:1:17 to 0. 15:16 35:17 to 0. 10:10:16 10:2:6 to 12:3:1 to 0. outperform 15:13 2:2:4 to 0. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| 149:14 109:6,12 169:15 noticed 182:5 129:12 noticed 182:5 137:13,15 137:17 notion 119:7 notion 119:7 89:4 103:8 9:4 11:18 163:4 163:4 163:4 163:4 163:4 163:4 164:12 167:13 179:13 135:25:8 138:24 147:23 139:14 141:10 163:4 164:12 179:13 135:25:8 138:6 123:10 | | | | | | | | |
| negative 7:8 129:12 noticed 182:5 Ohio 140:5 oil 7:6 8:18 36:8,23 101:6 102:6 123:11 7:9 23:2,3,5 137:13,15 110:22 observations 89:4 103:8 9:4 11:18 38:6 127:15 outperform negotiable 134:23,24 147:23 3:11 24:18 179:13 135:5 25:8 one 67:8 61:14 123:10 negotiated 64:2 NEWTOWN 14:12 17:4 21:11 13:5 25:8 72:5,6 124:13 outperform Nelson 35:12 NFL 105:19 14:19 38:6 34:19 42:12 31:10,10 onsite 34:3,5 popposite 15:3 36:16 47:22 48:8 Ng 73:18 40:15 46:11 43:20 48:2 79:18 80:3 57:21 103:6 121:1 45:19 58:1 135:9.15,17 76:17 77:12 76:23,24 85:10 134:6 116:5 110:4 option 37:1 14:18.21 137:12,19 81:23 83:6 91:4,14 136:4 137:5 118:5,14 16:5 110:4 option 37:1 14:18.21 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:12,36.7 0pened | | | | | | | | |
| 7:9 23:2,3,5 23:2 43:1 137:17 notion 119:7 observations obstreperous obstreperous obstreperous 01 7:6 8:18 9:4 11:18 onerous 38:6 10:7:15 lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2,4 signerous 15:1 32:2,4 signerous lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2,4 signerous 15:1 32:2,4 signerous lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2,4 signerous 15:1 32:2,4 signerous lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2,4 signerous lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2,4 signerous lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2,4 signerous lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2.4 lof5:13:2:3 lof5:10 lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2.4 lof5:13:2:3 lof5:10 lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2.4 lof5:13:2:3 lof5:10 lof4:12 lof5:13:2:2.4 lof5:13:2:3 lof5:10 lof5:19 lof5:10 lof5:19 lof5:10 lof5:19 lof5:10 lof5:19 lof5:10 lof5:19 lof5:17 lof5:19 lof5:10 lof | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 23:22 43:1 137:17 notion 119:7 November 143:16 147:23 3:11 24:18 179:13 135:25:8 105:8 130:1 0pposed 35:20 123:10 164:12 15:1 32:2,4 35:20 123:10 163:4 39:21 44:10 0bviously 25:12,13 26:8,16 72:5,6 124:13 0utperform 122 number 17:4 21:11 27:11 31:2 0nine 72:21 0pposite 15:3 36:16 122:10 0pposite 15:3 36:16 124:13 0utperform 122 0utperform 123:10 0nsite 34:3,5 0pposite 120:1 0nsite 34:3,5 0pposito 121:1 45:19 58:1 135:9,15,17 76:17 77:12 76:23,24 85:10 134:6 116:5 110:4 0ption 112:24 0ption 112:24 0ption 12:24 | | | | | | | | |
| negotiable 143:16 November negotiated obstreperous 12:1,3,21 nose 67:8 doi:1.14 35:20 negotiated 163:4 39:21 44:10 obviously 25:12,13 72:5,6 124:13 outperform 64:2 NEWTOWN 44:12 6:23 12:3 26:31 16 95:12 opposite 15:3 36:16 Nelson 35:12 NFL 105:19 14:19 38:6 34:19 42:12 31:10,10 online 72:21 120:1 opposite 15:3 36:16 NEPC 46:15 NFL 105:19 14:19 38:6 34:19 42:12 31:10,10 onsite 34:3,5 opposition outside 27:9 47:22 48:8 Ng 73:18 40:15 46:11 43:20 48:2 79:18 80:3 57:21 103:6 121:1 45:19 58:1 136:8,12,14 79:16 80:12 80:2 87:8 134:10 117:11,22 OPEC 80:3,4 option 112:24 98:7 138:19 137:19 83:12 84:3 97:14,17 140:3 120:10,36,7 120:10,36,7 open 63:16 177:14 07:14 178:1 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 | | | | | | | | |
| 134:23,24 147:23 163:4 39:21 44:10 obviously 25:12,13 72:5,6 124:13 outperform | | | | | | | | |
| negotiated 64:2 163:4 NEWTOWN 1:22 39:21 44:10 44:12 obviously 6:23 12:3 17:4 21:11 27:11 31:2 34:19 42:12 25:12,13 26:8,16 27:11 31:2 31:10,10 72:5,6 optime 72:21 online 72:21 124:13 opposite outperform 15:3 36:16 NEPC 46:15 NEPC 46:15 NFL 105:19 NFL 105:19 14:19 38:6 40:15 46:11 34:19 42:12 34:10 48:2 79:18 80:3 79:18 80:3 57:21 103:6 57:21 103:6 opposition 121:1 outside 27:9 outside 27:9 44:22 48:8 135:9,15,17 136:8,12,14 79:16 80:12 80:2 87:8 85:10 134:6 134:10 116:5 110:5 110:4 0pen 63:16 option 112:24 0pen 63:16 98:7 138:19 0pen 63:16 137:19 139:6 87:15,20 87:15,20 105:17 105:17 143:16 144:4,10,14 141:17 120:18,20 120:18,20 open 43:4:11 0pened 34:11 0pened 34:11 order 127:23 0peration outsource 0peration 144:12,14 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 99:17,21 143:16 143:18 122:16 145:18 173:18 120:22,5,5 146:18 open 63:16 120:18,20 order 127:23 0peration outsource 0peration over-perfor over-perfor 144:12,14 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 99:17,21 143:16 143:18 156:18 156:18 173:18 173:18 109:24 176:21 ordinance 0peration ordinance 0peration ordinance 0peration ordinance 0p | | | | _ | | | | |
| 64:2 Nelson 35:12 NEWTOWN 1:22 44:12 number 6:23 12:3 17:4 21:11 26:8,16 27:11 31:2 2:11 95:12 online 72:21 online 72:21 opposite 12:0:1 15:3 36:16 112:7 NEPC 46:15 VEPC 46:15 47:22 48:8 PA: 40:15 46:11 135:9,15,17 76:17 77:12 76:23,24 136:8,12,14 79:16 80:12 80:2 87:8 134:10 136:8,12,14 136:8,12,14 137:12,19 81:23 83:6 91:4,14 136:4 137:5 137:19 83:12 84:3 97:14,17 140:3 120:2,3,6,7 138:21 86:6 87:7 104:9 141:20 120:10,11 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:18,20 144:4,10,14 120:2 67:3 129:6 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Nelson 35:12 NEPC 46:15 1:22 NFL 105:19 number 14:19 38:6 17:4 21:11 34:19 42:12 27:11 31:2 31:10,10 online 72:21 onsite 34:3,5 120:1 opposition 12:14 opposition 57:21 lo3:6 12:11 opposition 12:14 opposit | | | | | | | | • |
| NEPC 46:15 47:22 48:8 135:9,15,17 NFL 105:19 76:17 77:12 76:23,24 14:19 38:6 40:15 46:11 76:23,24 34:19 42:12 43:20 48:2 85:10 134:6 116:5 31:10,10 79:18 80:3 116:5 onsite 34:3,5 57:21 103:6 110:4 opposition 121:1 option 112:24 option 37:1 98:7 138:19 outside 27:9 45:19 58:1 98:7 138:19 136:8,12,14 137:12,19 79:16 80:12 80:2 87:8 137:12,19 80:2 87:8 80:2 87:8 134:10 134:10 117:11,22 118:5,14 120:2,3,6,7 140:19 0PEC 80:3,4 97:14,17 options 37:1 141:18,21 141:18,21 177:14 0pen 63:16 0pened 34:11 34:13 103:19 103:19 0utsource 74:12 0utthink 85:2 0peration 0pe | | | | | | | | |
| 47:22 48:8 Ng 73:18 40:15 46:11 43:20 48:2 79:18 80:3 57:21 103:6 121:1 45:19 58:1 135:9,15,17 76:17 77:12 76:23,24 85:10 134:6 116:5 110:4 option 112:24 98:7 138:19 137:12,19 81:23 83:6 91:4,14 136:4 137:5 118:5,14 open 63:16 177:14 options 37:1 141:18,21 137:19 83:12 84:3 97:14,17 140:9 141:20 120:10,11 34:13 open 63:16 open 63:16 177:14 options 37:1 141:18,21 178:1 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:18,20 operation order 127:23 outthink 85:2 140:19 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 120:22 67:3 129:6 over-perfor 144:17 92:8 93:18 136:22 147:18 122:23,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 ozic 14:1 | | | | | | | | |
| 135:9,15,17 136:8,12,14 79:16 80:12 80:2 87:8 134:10 136:4 137:5 136:8,12,19 81:23 83:6 91:4,14 136:4 137:5 136:4 137:5 137:19 83:12 84:3 97:14,17 140:3 120:2,3,6,7 138:19 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:10,11 139:6 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 140:2 145:24 144:4,10,14 140:3 120:22 144:17 120:22 144:17 143:16 120:23 144:17 143:16 120:23 144:17 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 166:5,8 night 179:16 nice 90:13 178:22 numbers 5:17 160:20 numbers 5:17 170:1 nervous NJ 1:24 Normal 1:2:4 NJ 1:24 NJ | | | | | | | | |
| 136:8,12,14 79:16 80:12 80:2 87:8 134:10 117:11,22 OPEC 80:3,4 options 37:1 options 37:1 141:18,21 137:12,19 81:23 83:6 91:4,14 136:4 137:5 118:5,14 open 63:16 options 37:1 178:1 137:19 83:12 84:3 97:14,17 140:3 120:2,3,6,7 opened 34:11 orange outsource 138:21 86:6 87:7 104:9 141:20 120:10,11 34:13 103:19 74:12 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:18,20 operation order 127:23 outthink 85:2 140:19 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 120:22 67:3 129:6 over-perfor 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:2,3,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:21 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 146:15,521 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 133:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 | | | | | | | | |
| 137:12,19 81:23 83:6 91:4,14 136:4 137:5 118:5,14 open 63:16 177:14 178:1 137:19 83:12 84:3 97:14,17 140:3 120:2,3,6,7 34:13 103:19 otsource 138:21 86:6 87:7 104:9 141:20 120:10,11 34:13 103:19 74:12 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:18,20 operation order 127:23 outthink 85:2 140:19 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 120:22 67:3 129:6 over-perfor 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:2,3,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 166:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| 137:19 83:12 84:3 97:14,17 140:3 120:2,3,6,7 opened 34:11 orange outsource 138:21 86:6 87:7 104:9 141:20 120:10,11 34:13 103:19 74:12 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:18,20 operation order 127:23 outthink 85:2 140:19 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 120:22 67:3 129:6 over-perfor 141:17 92:8 93:18 136:22 145:24 121:3,4,15 operations ordinance 96:24 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:2,3,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td></td<> | | | | | | | | , |
| 138:21 86:6 87:7 104:9 141:20 120:10,11 34:13 103:19 74:12 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:18,20 operation order 127:23 outthink 85:2 140:19 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 120:22 67:3 129:6 over-perfor 141:17 92:8 93:18 136:22 145:24 121:3,4,15 operations ordinance 96:24 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:23,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 166:3 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 | , | | | | | • | | |
| 139:6 87:15,20 105:17 143:16 120:18,20 operation order 127:23 outthink 85:2 140:19 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 120:22 67:3 129:6 over-perfor 141:17 92:8 93:18 136:22 145:24 121:3,4,15 operations ordinance 96:24 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:2,3,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 166:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 166:23 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 | | | | | | | | |
| 140:19 89:4 90:11 126:12 144:4,10,14 120:22 67:3 129:6 over-perfor 141:17 92:8 93:18 136:22 145:24 121:3,4,15 operations ordinance 96:24 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:2,3,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | | , | | | |
| 141:17 92:8 93:18 136:22 145:24 121:3,4,15 operations ordinance 96:24 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:2,3,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<> | | | | | | | | |
| 143:6 94:9,12,21 140:2 147:18 122:2,3,5,5 46:18 176:21 overall 12:4 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 | | | | | | | | |
| 144:12,14 95:5,13,17 143:10,12 155:7,24 122:16 opinion 64:24 177:7 16:20 18:5 144:21 95:5,13,17 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | | | | | | | |
| 144:21 95:24 96:11 143:18 156:18 173:18 109:24 organization 22:1 24:1 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | | | | | | | |
| 145:15,21 97:11 99:5 165:23 158:17 oil/energy 132:8 159:7 138:13,24 31:5 32:11 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | | · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 156:3 164:5 99:17,21 167:17 159:23 31:3 167:1 141:7 40:5 41:11 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | | | | | | | |
| 164:23 nice 90:13 175:5 162:21 okay 39:23 174:20 142:22 60:6 62:13 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | | | | | | · · | |
| 165:10 128:11 177:17 occur 11:11 44:7 49:12 175:17,18 143:21,22 64:11 79:6 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | · · | | | | | | |
| 166:5,8 night 179:16 178:22 11:14,15 55:16 66:1 179:7 144:13 80:22 84:4 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | | | | okay 39:23 | 174:20 | 142:22 | 60:6 62:13 |
| 169:11,15 nine 85:20 numbers 5:17 62:20 79:3 82:1 opinions 146:11 87:24 92:10 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | 165:10 | 128:11 | | | 44:7 49:12 | | 143:21,22 | 64:11 79:6 |
| 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | 166:5,8 | night 179:16 | 178:22 | 11:14,15 | 55:16 66:1 | 179:7 | 144:13 | 80:22 84:4 |
| 170:1 147:4 5:19 34:15 occurred 47:2 87:12,18 108:4 148:13 95:23 96:14 nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | 169:11,15 | nine 85:20 | numbers 5:17 | 62:20 | 79:3 82:1 | opinions | 146:11 | 87:24 92:10 |
| nervous NJ 1:24 35:6 36:8 October 30:3 92:20 opportunistic organizations 97:4,12,20 | | 147:4 | 5:19 34:15 | occurred 47:2 | 87:12,18 | | 148:13 | 95:23 96:14 |
| | nervous | | 35:6 36:8 | October 30:3 | | opportunistic | organizations | |
| | | | | 30:17 32:5 | | = = | = | |
| | | I | I | I | I | I | I | I |

| | | | | | | | Page 15 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | İ | İ | İ | İ | 1 | İ | 1 |
| 99:1 102:4 | p.m 184:9 | panic 23:1,20 | 96:23 | 152:8 156:2 | 139:10 | permanent | 105:17 |
| 105:3 | PA 1:24 | 25:7 26:21 | 131:24 | 170:7 | 145:21 | 120:3 | 106:9 171:8 |
| 111:23 | 136:2 | 29:13 | payment | 174:14 | 152:19,19 | person 178:4 | philosophic |
| 145:20 | pace 7:17 | panicking | 41:22 | 175:9 | 152:20,22 | personal | 79:11 |
| 148:8,10 | pacing 158:6 | 23:13 | peacefully | percent 7:16 | 154:1,3,5,7 | 178:14 | philosophy |
| 151:1 | page 5:19 6:3 | paper 72:18 | 182:24 | 14:10,12 | 154:9 158:8 | 182:8,12,13 | 74:2 77:17 |
| 155:13,18 | 6:14 7:6,14 | 111:15 | Pederson | 15:11,13,18 | 158:15 | personally | 78:8,12 |
| 161:2,23,24 | 8:2 14:7,15 | 157:11 | 132:17 | 16:7,8,11 | 160:8,20 | 178:11 | 140:18 |
| overbuy | 15:8,20 | papers 10:5 | peer 36:17 | 16:24 17:2 | 169:18 | personnel | 149:1 |
| 28:11 | 16:4 31:19 | 72:14 | 40:11,13,17 | 17:7 20:8 | 173:2 175:1 | 109:18 | phone 75:9 |
| overconcen | 35:24 36:1 | paradigm | 40:18 70:16 | 22:9,9,10 | 175:9,10 | perspective | 145:12 |
| 92:15 | 40:21 41:8 | 10:12 | 71:3,16 | 22:13,21 | 177:16,17 | 78:12 85:7 | 146:7,15 |
| overlap | 41:14 50:2 | paramount | 72:9 99:3,5 | 23:17 24:21 | 178:6,9 | 91:4 93:5 | phonetic |
| 162:22 | 50:2,3 | 146:16 | 99:6,10 | 25:14,15 | percentage | PFM 46:15 | 171:7 |
| overlay | 52:18 53:11 | part 11:12 | peers 88:5 | 26:6 28:6 | 128:20 | 47:9,17 | pick 8:23 |
| 183:19 | 53:12,23 | 27:16 33:14 | peg 24:16,20 | 30:1,3,5 | 145:20 | 48:2,4,13 | 132:12,17 |
| overlying | 54:21 55:2 | 36:19 45:21 | Penn 1:15 | 32:16,17 | percentages | 100:10 | picture 88:18 |
| 161:21 | 57:8,24 | 50:1743:21 | Pennsylvania | 33:6 36:16 | 93:11 | 101:15,16 | 111:6 |
| overreacting | 58:8 59:8,9 | 82:14 85:14 | 1:17,22 | 36:21 40:1 | 153:24 | 101:13,10 | pie 139:15,21 |
| 29:16 | 59:18 68:12 | 86:6 87:22 | 46:8 51:18 | 40:2,8,10 | percentile | 102:13,17 | piece 147:10 |
| overriding | 69:11 73:17 | 102:7 | Pennsylvan | 41:2,4,5,6 | 70:23 | 102:13,17 | piggyback |
| 174:21 | 75:4 76:8 | 136:16 | 52:21 | 41:12,12,17 | perfect 84:16 | 102:23 | 85:9 95:4 |
| overseas 13:8 | 76:13 82:1 | participants | pension 4:16 | 42:5,6,14 | 127:6 | 103:1,5,10 | 95:11 |
| 17:21 | 87:21 89:5 | 20:18 40:12 | 5:5 48:21 | 44:14 63:2 | perfectly | 105:13 | Pimco 79:1,3 |
| oversee | 93:12 | particular | 49:16 85:12 | 63:5 65:7,8 | 95:18 | 100:4 107:2 | pinpoint |
| 102:14 | 101:13,13 | 51:1 125:8 | 85:24 | 65:13,14,15 | 153:23 | 107:13,21 | 86:18 |
| overseeing | 101:13,13 | particularly | 102:17 | 70:19 78:10 | 154:2 | 108.11 | Pittsburgh |
| 54:7 57:15 | 102.22 | 80:3 90:19 | 102.17 | 81:3,24,24 | perform 76:4 | 111:1 135:7 | 51:18,21 |
| 102:17 | 105.14 | 147:16 | 111:11 | 83:10 84:6 | performance | 165:15,18 | 169:13 |
| oversell 28:11 | 110:3 | partner 98:5 | 116:19 | 90:22 91:22 | 5:7 31:19 | 165:20,21 | place 17:17 |
| oversight | 125:17 | 135:15 | 137:14,17 | 92:10,12,20 | 32:9 35:10 | 166:3,17,21 | 24:22 55:3 |
| 50:22 54:2 | 127:17,20 | 137:5 | 140:3 171:8 | 93:1,21 | 37:14 50:12 | 167:3,7 | 55:6 56:4 |
| overtrade | 137:11 | 140:21,24 | 175:7 | 96:1,12,12 | 51:3,5,6 | 169:21 | 119:21 |
| 28:10 | 137.11 | 140.21,24 | 175.7 | 96:16,18 | 65:9 71:2 | 170:14 | 130:10 |
| overvalued | 142:4,15,20 | 140:24 | 176:22 | 110:7 | 92:10 | 170:14 174:7 | 130:10 |
| 81:10 83:7 | 142.4,13,20 | | pensioners | 110.7 | | PFM's | 167:14 |
| | 143:19,21 | partners 39:8 138:5 139:2 | 48:21 | | 143:14,16 148:8 | 133:17 | |
| overview 51:7 | | | | 113:14,17 | | | plan 4:16 5:6 |
| 103:13 | 148:24 | partnership | people 9:15 | 113:18 | performed | PFMC | 5:7,13 40:3 |
| overweight 41:2 112:5 | 151:10,14 153:2,5,16 | 75:13 | 10:12 12:8 13:23 19:1 | 115:12,19 | 69:19 | 176:21 PCW 2:10 | 40:17 46:18 |
| overweights | | pass 65:2 148:20 | | 115:20 | period 15:16 19:23 35:18 | PGW 2:10 | 47:4 48:17 |
| O | 154:11 | | 19:2,11 | 117:5,6 | | 4:16 101:21 | 48:21 49:17 |
| 113:24 owe 33:10 | 161:17 | passed 5:14 | 23:13,18 | 123:18 | 35:23 36:8 | 104:11 | 52:23 53:3 |
| | 162:3,5 | passive 37:10 | 27:23 28:5 | 124:11,12 | 40:6 43:22 | 106:8 | 54:12 57:4 |
| owned 138:4 | pages 5:20 | 113:1,4,20 | 55:3 61:18 | 124:14 | 45:24 51:16 | 125:19 | 58:21 59:13 |
| 138:4,22 | 49:18 77:8 | pause 148:11 | 74:18 75:23 | 125:5 126:2 | 68:5,9 | 127:13 | 61:21 |
| owner 138:24 | 139:18 | 165:10 | 82:8 87:1 | 126:3,5,7 | 69:20,21 | 176:22 | 102:18 |
| owners | 142:17 | pay 10:16,17 | 97:17 105:2 | 126:19,22 | 70:21 82:17 | 181:17 | 111:12 |
| 148:19 | 144:15 | 10:18 25:19 | 106:7,20,24 | 126:23 | 82:24 | phase 122:8 | 116:19 |
| ownership | 148:9 | 25:23 96:22 | 108:5,15 | 127:2,3 | 118:23 | 122:10 | 134:5 141:1 |
| 138:16 | paid 142:11 | 125:10 | 119:21 | 128:5,7,10 | periods 37:20 | Philadelphia | 152:15,16 |
| owns 131:7 | 142:12 | 128:13 | 128:13 | 128:10 | 69:16 | 1:1 104:8 | 164:18 |
| | panel 40:22 | 182:9 | 141:21 | 130:11 | 113:17 | 104:14,16 | 176:22 |
| P | 41:1 | paying 48:18 | 145:18,21 | 134:1,2 | 121:16,21 | 104:20,20 | planning |
| P 2:1,1 | | | | | | | |
| L | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 16 |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 00.01.11.0 | | l | 1 | l | | | l |
| 99:21 116:8 | 69:18 76:17 | 151:2,12 | potential 6:20 | 68:11 | 171:5,19 | 55:20 56:20 | projecting |
| plans 53:6 | 79:18,23 | 152:18,21 | 6:21 7:10 | 181:20 | 172:2,9,12 | 57:20 58:10 | 92:24 |
| 54:14 71:12 | 91:17,18 | 154:2 155:1 | 7:13 8:2,4,5 | presents | 172:24 | 58:18,19,24 | projection |
| 71:23 76:20 | 96:17 125:4 | 155:3,13,18 | 8:19 11:10 | 111:6 | prior 54:10 | 74:2 77:11 | 153:11 |
| 76:21,22,24 | 133:18,20 | 156:3 | 11:14 25:7 | president | 54:15 67:15 | 82:3 83:19 | proper 97:24 |
| 103:8 | 134:3,17,20 | 160:24 | 63:15 | 54:7 | 101:24 | 86:19 105:9 | properly 4:10 |
| 136:13 | 134:21 | 161:3,19 | 155:21 | press 6:16 | 102:16,18 | 111:4 129:2 | 4:24 45:7 |
| 158:6 | 154:16,19 | 162:1 | potentially | pressure | private 44:2 | 130:1 | 91:10,11 |
| played 65:23 | 155:4,5 | 169:16,20 | 59:15 89:12 | 125:1 | 60:23 61:5 | 148:22 | 96:12 |
| 179:19 | police 173:3 | 171:6,14 | 173:8 | pretty 12:4 | 61:5 88:21 | 151:16 | 170:20 |
| playing 20:11 | policy 58:13 | portfolio's | power 147:11 | 44:17 | 89:19,22 | 170:2 | proponents |
| 62:4 | 58:20 59:1 | 89:8 | 178:19 | prevent 26:2 | 128:1,5 | processes | 156:8 |
| please 148:23 | 97:9 151:17 | portfolios | 179:21 | 85:5 | 144:3 | 59:4 85:13 | proposal |
| plus 13:21 | 153:17,19 | 59:21 74:24 | practice | previous 3:4 | 149:11 | 85:14 | 133:4 |
| 30:1 38:5 | politics 79:19 | 77:24,24 | 49:24 51:11 | price 11:20 | 156:5,6,8 | producers | proposals |
| 41:5,18 | 79:21 | 78:1 86:22 | 107:2 | 13:17 14:20 | 156:10,11 | 122:1,12,15 | 133:7 |
| 44:24 63:4 | poll 143:1,2 | 91:24 93:13 | 135:16 | 31:10,10 | 156:12 | product 58:5 | proposition |
| 90:14 91:17 | polling | 94:5,23 | 143:24 | 48:15 79:23 | 157:5 158:1 | 171:20 | 103:2 |
| 116:22 | 142:22 | 102:19 | 146:12,13 | 80:17 | 158:8,8,15 | production | prospect |
| 118:22 | pony 140:9 | 108:1 | practices | 115:21 | 159:16,18 | 7:19 12:4 | 19:10 |
| 128:21 | Port 105:13 | 110:11 | 52:10 109:9 | 120:7,10,11 | 162:13,14 | 12:20,22 | protect 69:15 |
| pockets 17:12 | 105:14 | 111:8,14 | pre-negotia | 120:18,20 | 164:2 | 13:3 21:1 | protecting |
| point 5:16 | portfolio 8:21 | 131:14 | 65:2 | 121:3,4,9 | privately | 27:11 | 91:23 |
| 10:10 16:10 | 25:21,22 | 149:7 | precedent | 121:13,15 | 138:4 | productivity | protection |
| 22:8 39:10 | 29:3 32:6 | portion 7:5 | 34:20 | 121:21,24 | 148:13 | 115:5 | 69:3 160:24 |
| 39:16 40:2 | 40:22 42:5 | 37:17 | predecessors | 122:3,5 | proactive | professionals | 179:10 |
| 54:5 57:2 | 59:18 60:2 | position | 51:13 | 123:1 | 110:17 | 141:2 142:2 | proud 70:10 |
| 60:11 67:3 | 60:5,15 | 25:22 29:1 | predomina | prices 6:11 | 133:22 | 144:23 | 71:1 109:20 |
| 68:6 77:16 | 62:13 63:8 | 29:4 64:6 | 21:18 | 7:7 8:18 9:4 | 142:4 | profile 6:17 | prove 154:21 |
| 86:18 88:7 | 63:12 64:11 | 69:9 72:14 | 136:15 | 10:4,7 | probability | 38:11 62:16 | provide 47:4 |
| 88:10 91:16 | 64:13,17 | 152:22 | prefer 87:8 | 11:16,19,20 | 82:9 84:18 | 68:2 78:24 | 47:8 57:5 |
| 92:16 93:24 | 66:18 68:14 | 167:19 | premium | 12:1,5,15 | 84:20 85:5 | 157:2,3 | 62:15 63:22 |
| 94:2,6 | 68:17 70:1 | positioning | 18:12 | 12:21 14:18 | probably | profiles 6:8 | 71:21 88:21 |
| 95:21 97:2 | 70:18,18 | 58:17 59:3 | prep 83:17 | 17:5 24:23 | 17:19 19:24 | 58:5,5 | 142:12 |
| 98:12 99:22 | 74:4 77:18 | positions | 98:10,11 | 31:2 80:5 | 21:12 89:8 | profit 13:10 | provided |
| 100:3,19 | 77:21 80:11 | 55:10 63:6 | preparation | 116:3 | 92:9,19 | program 55:2 | 36:22 37:22 |
| 119:4 127:8 | 83:2 85:1 | 124:6 177:4 | 86:15 | 122:13,14 | 98:8 103:14 | 55:6 109:6 | 168:11 |
| 134:19 | 87:14 91:12 | positive 7:8 | presence | 132:7 168:3 | 105:12 | 147:23 | providers |
| 137:13 | 93:15,22 | 22:9,20 | 166:12 | pricy 86:10 | 131:22 | 149:4 | 58:2 |
| 142:3 | 95:14,18,23 | 23:9 24:4,5 | present 1:14 | 86:14 | 146:13 | 150:20 | providing |
| 163:16 | 95:24 96:7 | 33:6 35:10 | 2:8 26:2 | primaries | 155:3 | 152:4 | 36:10 54:1 |
| 165:16 | 97:22 101:8 | 36:3 65:12 | 46:14 | 80:7 | 169:17 | 159:16 | 71:4 |
| 168:15 | 106:13 | positives | presentation | primarily | problem | programs | proxy 61:7 |
| 169:19 | 110:16 | 159:22 | 77:10 | 51:18 | 171:11 | 57:16 | 172:5 |
| 171:4 177:6 | 123:21 | possess | 109:14,24 | 136:12 | 179:15 | prohibited | psychological |
| 180:22 | 125:12 | 178:14 | 135:7,9,17 | 137:8,9 | problems | 173:14 | 27:17 |
| 183:18 | 126:14 | possibility | 151:9 | primary | 26:13 | prohibition | psychology |
| pointed | 127:16 | 20:4 | 165:10 | 149:2 | 169:12 | 173:14 | 28:3 |
| 150:24 | 129:10,11 | possible 20:2 | 166:7 | primed 27:23 | procedure | project | public 1:14 |
| points 26:4 | 135:5 136:3 | 116:10 | presentations | principle | 172:14 | 114:23 | 40:17 52:4 |
| 28:4 39:13 | 141:4 | 149:24 | 49:9 | 172:17 | proceedings | 118:11 | 54:12 70:16 |
| 40:5 44:12 | 142:10 | post 156:15 | presented | principles | 185:4 | projected | 71:16 76:20 |
| 59:11 65:7 | 150:20 | 156:17 | 33:18 67:14 | 70:8,10 | process 34:17 | 9:15 | 76:21,24 |
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | | | | Page 17 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| | I | I | I | I | | I | 1 |
| 85:24 90:20 | 164:19 | 101:14 | 160:12 | 156:12 | 29:13 92:8 | refinement | relay 172:6 |
| 102:4 | 180:3 | 131:19 | 174:19 | 157:22,24 | 92:18 | 112:1 | relieved |
| 131:17 | putting 77:23 | 162:19 | 175:19 | 158:1 | 177:11 | reflecting | 178:23 |
| 135:16 | | quickly 5:22 | 176:4,10,18 | 159:17 | reasonable | 14:13 | rely 176:24 |
| 136:16,21 | Q | 6:2 10:8,9 | 176:23 | 160:17 | 115:22 | reflection | remember |
| 136:23 | qualification | 12:3 17:15 | 177:9,12 | 162:14 | 124:21 | 33:15 | 68:10 |
| 137:8,10 | 97:19 | 33:23 34:16 | 178:17 | 177:17 | 133:13,16 | refrigerators | 117:24 |
| 139:15,16 | qualitative | 40:20 78:22 | 180:12 | realistic | 134:4 181:9 | 12:24 | 173:12 |
| 139:21 | 57:8 | 89:6 119:14 | rates 6:5,10 | 120:23 | 182:1 | refused 48:4 | remind 52:24 |
| 140:5,6,10 | quality 6:23 | 158:4 162:4 | 6:11 13:24 | realize | reasons | regard 171:4 | rent 128:13 |
| 142:15,16 | 33:18,19 | quite 12:6 | 14:3 16:8 | 124:17 | 159:24 | regarding | 128:13 |
| 144:1,5,6 | 35:8 69:13 | 35:7,19 | 16:12 18:8 | really 8:6,8 | reassure | 58:9 | repeal 172:12 |
| 146:12,13 | quarter 5:22 | 62:21 75:11 | 18:12,22 | 8:11 9:18 | 23:18 | regards 76:18 | replacement |
| 147:16,19 | 5:24 6:5 | 88:1,3,4 | 38:14 69:16 | 12:6,8,20 | reate 175:14 | 79:21 97:13 | 87:11 |
| 149:10,17 | 7:15,16,21 | 95:6 97:7 | 79:18 81:4 | 13:13,20 | rebalancing | 98:17 | replicate 90:7 |
| 152:11 | 9:8 14:9,19 | 97:14 98:6 | 114:22 | 15:15 17:4 | 78:1 86:21 | regional | report 5:14 |
| 185:12 | 14:23 15:1 | quotations | 115:16 | 17:15 18:23 | rebound 15:5 | 137:24 | 40:14 45:3 |
| publicly 61:9 | 15:4,11,17 | 18:7 | 124:3 | 27:10 29:13 | 15:10 | registered | reporter 1:13 |
| 68:19 | 16:5,9,15 | | ratio 80:17 | 29:15 31:3 | 130:14 | 52:3 | 185:12,19 |
| 123:11 | 16:18,22 | R | 93:22 | 38:16 43:14 | 132:13 | regulations | reporting |
| publish 153:6 | 29:23 32:3 | R 2:1,10 | ratios 25:18 | 44:19 51:14 | recapture | 180:5,19 | 1:21 50:22 |
| pull 24:10,11 | 32:9,23 | 185:1 | 147:14 | 54:1 55:22 | 52:13 | reiterate 34:6 | 74:5 |
| 54:24 | 35:16,22 | R.V 46:6 | reaction | 56:18,22 | recession | reiterates | reports 99:19 |
| 149:20 | 36:3,13,19 | 47:23 | 131:20 | 60:3,18 | 21:9,12 | 76:15 | represent |
| pulled 145:8 | 37:19 38:15 | raise 18:8,22 | read 10:6 | 61:15 64:12 | 26:14 81:1 | related 34:20 | 51:9,22 |
| pulling 17:10 | 40:1,24 | 19:19 20:13 | 23:2 138:1 | 67:7 77:16 | recognizes | 76:22 | representat |
| 27:2 83:3 | 41:18,20 | 171:3 | 153:19 | 78:21 81:5 | 107:2 | relation | 52:18 76:13 |
| pump 8:8 | 42:14 45:4 | raised 171:4 | readily 11:3 | 87:22 88:13 | recommend | 71:24 | represented |
| 9:11 11:22 | quarterly 1:4 | raising 6:5 | 85:19 | 88:16,20 | 129:16,19 | relations | 41:17 70:21 |
| pumping | 3:10,22 | 17:20 18:3 | reading 25:6 | 95:1 97:22 | recommend | 145:2 | represents |
| 120:17 | 63:23 73:1 | rallied 30:2 | 178:17 | 99:13 100:2 | 59:3 157:17 | relationship | 52:15 54:17 |
| purchases | 83:17 108:4 | rally 30:5 | readjusting | 106:2 | recommend | 50:6 51:2 | reproduction |
| 13:24 | quarters | range 53:6 | 30:23 | 131:11 | 142:7 | 61:23 62:19 | 185:17 |
| pure 38:24 | 113:16 | 65:6 154:18 | ready 49:11 | 132:18 | 155:12 | 70:7 102:11 | reputation |
| purpose | quasi-hedge | ranged 65:13 | 73:14 | 138:15 | recommend | 127:6 | 145:24 |
| 91:11,20 | 156:23 | ranked 143:6 | 100:11 | 140:23 | 96:10 | 135:24 | request |
| 94:14 | 150.25 | 143:8,18 | 129:2 | 140.23 | reconciling | 136:6 | 173:19 |
| 123:13 | question 4:18 | rankings | 135:13,14 | 144:20 | 51:5 | 146:17 | requests |
| purposes | 28:20 85:10 | 72:10 | reaffirmed | 144.20 | record | 140.17 | 67:21 |
| 60:21 | 94:17 109:4 | 143:13,17 | 174:23 | 151:15 | 104:22 | 161:12 | require 134:6 |
| pursuant | 123:16 | 143:13,17 | real 12:3 44:1 | 151.15 | 104.22 | relationships | requirements |
| 1:12,17 | 125:7 153:4 | Rasheia 2:6 | 44:2,24 | 152.9,25 | recruiting | 89:2 95:12 | 86:1 |
| · · | 162:19 | 180:7 | 69:11 78:22 | 157:1,2 | 109:10 | 147:2 | requires |
| pursue 180:22 | questioning | rate 13:21 | 89:19,22 | 157:1,2 | reduce 95:22 | relative 37:15 | 57:21 |
| | 48:15 | 18:18 19:1 | · · | 158:25 | reduce 93:22 reducer 94:2 | | |
| push 18:1 24:1 | questions 3:6 | 20:5 46:23 | 90:12,14,15 | 160:16 | Reduces | 56:18 69:2 69:20 88:24 | requisite 46:17 |
| put 18:6 | 3:16,24 | 47:7 60:19 | 90:17,22 101:14 | | 93:22 | 133:16 | 40:17 research 19:7 |
| 42:15 43:1 | 4:11 43:20 | 67:22 69:17 | 101:14 | 161:13,17 163:8 | reduction | 153:16 | 19:9 20:19 |
| 43:9 57:6 | 4:11 43:20 45:1,8 | 93:1,6 | 120:13 | 163:8 | 129:13 | 154:24 164:18 | 54:22 55:20 |
| | 76:14 98:20 | 105:24 | | | 129:13 167:4 | | |
| 79:8 88:8 | 98:22 | | 125:17 | 179:13,17 | | relatively | 55:20,23 56:4 10 24 |
| 129:18 | | 149:18 | 126:23 | realtime | reenter 49:3 | 34:16 | 56:4,10,24 |
| 158:12,14 | 148:12 | 152:10 | 128:1,5 | 108:2 | refinance | relaxed | 57:11,21 |
| 163:23 | 170:21 | 153:22,24 | 149:20 | reason 28:6 | 26:18 | 173:10 | 59:5 72:14 |
| | quick 69:11 | 154:5 160:9 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 18 |
|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | I | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | |
| 74:19 97:18 | 148:10 | revenue | 142:11,20 | 127:5 | 159:1 | 11:21 13:7 | 4:23 6:14 |
| 101:4 | 183:14 | 52:14 | 143:5 | risks 152:6 | 166:14,18 | savings 8:9 | 45:6 60:13 |
| 102:14,16 | retail 8:10 9:7 | 139:10 | 144:22 | 161:18 | 167:15 | 10:20 11:17 | 108:8 166:6 |
| 106:14,17 | 9:12,14 | reverse 23:22 | 149:17 | 162:2 | 168:1 169:4 | 13:10,22 | 167:14,16 |
| 106:19 | 39:15 | 175:4 | 152:12 | road 56:22 | 169:19 | saw 14:17 | 168:17 |
| 107:8,11,16 | retailers 33:3 | reversed | 153:2 155:7 | 60:9 61:16 | 170:1,5 | 26:15 28:12 | 170:18,19 |
| 107:21 | retention | 23:23 | 157:9 160:8 | roadmap | 172:18 | 29:23 62:22 | seconded |
| 136:5,17 | 105:24 | reversing | 160:19 | 58:14 | 175:20 | 65:11,15,22 | 4:11 5:1 |
| 137:1 141:6 | retired | 20:21 | 163:19 | Rob 175:16 | 183:8,16,22 | 130:13 | 45:8 170:21 |
| 144:7,17,20 | 138:13 | review 52:22 | 164:6,11 | 176:2 180:7 | rules 1:18 | saying 9:1 | seconds 133:9 |
| 144:23 | 148:18 | 53:22 56:3 | 166:15 | 181:15,16 | 180:5,8,18 | 10:15 19:1 | section 60:5 |
| 145:3,5,16 | retreat | 57:23 | 168:2 169:7 | 181:18,20 | rumors | 19:2 23:16 | 109:22 |
| 145:19,21 | 109:16 | reviewed 57:6 | 170:4 | robust 40:12 | 174:20 | 28:23 29:12 | 110:9 |
| 162:5,9,12 | return 33:4 | 88:7 91:10 | 173:11 | 108:19 | run 39:2 43:4 | 29:15,18 | sections |
| 162:21 | 35:16 39:11 | 111:16,19 | 176:10 | role 136:2 | 74:22 75:8 | 78:9 83:8 | 103:15 |
| 163:2,5,6 | 42:16 58:4 | reviewing | 177:15 | rolled 130:18 | 90:3 117:22 | 84:17 97:16 | sector 8:13 |
| reserve 87:5 | 59:16 60:8 | 50:12 56:5 | 178:15 | rolling 70:19 | 124:4 129:3 | 118:2 126:9 | 25:3,8,12 |
| 108:9 | 60:10,10 | 56:16 | 179:22 | Ron 107:17 | 144:13 | 130:6 168:6 | 33:4 102:4 |
| reset 80:5 | 62:16 65:12 | 110:15 | 182:14 | room 1:15 | 151:21 | 178:12 | sectors 17:3,9 |
| resign 179:1 | 68:1,18,21 | reviews 57:9 | rigor 53:17 | 49:3 73:10 | running | 179:8 | 26:3,9 |
| resolve | 69:10 91:22 | RFP 37:5 | 57:7 | 100:10 | 29:14 77:24 | 180:20,24 | 27:11 31:12 |
| 182:24 | 94:4 96:5 | 39:20 75:21 | rigorously | 135:9 | 118:5 | says 29:8 | securing 11:8 |
| resources | 96:23 | 85:13 105:9 | 180:22 | 181:21 | 119:23 | 168:2 174:7 | securities |
| 51:22 106:4 | 111:14,22 | 129:1 130:1 | rings 163:14 | roughly 42:3 | 135:2 | 178:18 | 78:3 |
| 107:7 | 114:16 | 134:7 | rise 115:23 | 53:3 93:21 | rushed 77:8 | 179:2,4 | security |
| 147:21 | 115:7,9,12 | RFPs 129:19 | 119:6 | 110:7 | Russell 31:24 | scenario 69:4 | 61:10 |
| 149:20 | 115:12,18 | Rhumbline | risen 69:18 | 133:24 | Ryan 107:14 | 97:6 128:11 | 111:19 |
| respect 5:21 | 117:9 | 31:22 | rising 6:10,10 | row 106:4,7 | Tay and 107.11 | scenarios | see 7:18 8:3 |
| 32:23 33:2 | 118:17 | right 5:11 | 16:8 38:14 | 125:22 | S | 168:7 | 14:20 15:19 |
| 33:9 165:3 | 119:2 | 14:17 15:10 | 69:15,17 | Royal 29:7,17 | S 2:1 | school 101:17 | 15:20 18:4 |
| respective | 120:15 | 16:2 22:1 | 92:22 | RPR 1:13 | S&P 14:10,11 | Scotland 29:8 | 20:2,3 24:7 |
| 162:18 | 124:11 | 22:12 24:12 | 115:21 | 185:11 | 42:4 65:14 | 29:18 | 28:3 29:21 |
| respectively | 126:1,5 | 26:5,23 | 160:9 | Rubin 2:11 | 69:2 80:18 | Scott 136:11 | 35:6,16 |
| 41:13 | 127:1,1 | 27:17 28:7 | risk 60:14,17 | 8:1 9:1 | 84:21 92:18 | 136:22 | 36:4 38:7 |
| response 3:8 | 128:3,5,11 | 29:24 40:22 | 64:14 66:20 | 39:20,23 | sake 148:10 | 140:17 | 39:2,3 |
| 3:18 4:2,12 | 146:7 | 41:16 50:21 | 67:13 68:2 | 43:9 44:2,7 | sales 8:10 9:7 | 141:3 | 40:23 41:1 |
| 4:19 45:2,9 | 149:16,19 | 50:21 51:1 | 77:21 78:21 | 55:1,9,16 | 9:12,14 | 144:24 | 44:22 47:5 |
| 170:22 | 150:4 | 60:20 67:24 | 78:24 79:7 | 65:18 66:2 | 13:17 | 146:6 | 47:19 48:11 |
| responsibility | 150.4 | 80:11,20 | 79:10 84:20 | 67:14 68:4 | salesman | 148:20,21 | 53:20 57:7 |
| 176:9 | 153:22 | 82:12 84:22 | 84:21 91:13 | 71:11,17 | 150:22 | 150:15,24 | 58:10,22 |
| 178:24 | 153.22 | 86:23 87:4 | 92:3 93:23 | 72:5 87:13 | sampling | 155:14 | 59:5 60:6 |
| 178.24 | 174:19 | 87:21 95:17 | 94:2,6 | 87:16 89:14 | 67:24 | 161:15,16 | 60:13,17 |
| 180:22 | 174.19 | 99:6 100:14 | 95:22 96:5 | 89:21 90:10 | Sandy 70:9 | se 147:18 | 65:23 67:2 |
| responsible | 176:10 | 101:1,17 | 129:13 | 94:7,10,18 | 171:5,19 | search 45:17 | 75:24 76:18 |
| 177:1 | 170.10 | 106:11 | 150:19,20 | 95:3,8 97:8 | 171.5,19 | 50:16 | 81:8 83:7 |
| 177.1 | 177.12,10 | 100.11 | 150.19,20 | 99:2,8 | 172:17,8,12 | searches 57:3 | 83:24 87:2 |
| rest 56:10 | Returning | 117:21 | 151.14,15 | 109:5 123:3 | satisfaction | searches 37.3 season 9:3,8 | 87:17 95:14 |
| result 13:17 | 146:15 | 117.21 | 152:3,13,14 | 130:24 | 142:18 | season/Holi | 101:8 106:5 |
| 17:9 21:1 | returns 43:23 | 123:19 | 152:15,21 | 130:24 | satisfied | 9:3 | 106:9,14 |
| 63:5 65:20 | 44:5,10 | 123.19 | 152.24 | 134:22 | 105:23 | 9.3 seats 27:24 | 100.9,14 |
| 119:14 | 64:12 78:13 | 131:5 | 161:19 | 134.22 | 103.23 | SEC 52:3 | 113:13 |
| results 56:21 | 78:20 79:12 | 131.3 | 161.19 | 147.22 | save 8:7 | second 3:14 | 116:2,5,12 |
| 70:15 | 111:10,12 | 141:3 | risk-reward | 151:5 154:7 | 10:14,18 | 3:15 4:8,9 | 125:22 |
| /0.13 | 111.10,12 | 141.3 | 115K-1 CWal u | 131.3 134.7 | saving 9:10 | 3.13 4.0,3 | 143.44 |
| | | | | | saving 7.10 | | _ |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 19 |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| | İ | Ī | İ | Ī | Ī | Ì | |
| 126:19 | 58:16 81:12 | 21:14,19,19 | short 6:12 | 164:2,2,17 | size 52:23 | sole 107:24 | 63:20 91:24 |
| 127:12 | 96:4 111:19 | 21:20 46:15 | 40:20 69:12 | sign 84:2,3 | 53:1,4 64:1 | 108:16 | 148:2 |
| 129:3 | 111:19 | 47:5,9 | 69:19,21 | 146:8 | 74:11 94:22 | solely 162:10 | 155:20 |
| 132:11 | selective | 49:24 51:10 | 79:16 | signal 129:4 | 159:5,19,20 | Solicitor | speaking |
| 135:5 | 44:18 | 53:24 54:9 | 104:10 | signals | 160:1 | 176:6 | 110:7 |
| 139:13,14 | sell 29:8 | 75:1 139:7 | 108:11,13 | 130:11 | 173:13 | solution | 133:24 |
| 139:20 | 114:11 | 139:9,11 | 124:8 | significant | sizes 95:1 | 94:17 | specialists |
| 140:12 | selling 14:20 | 143:14 | 163:11 | 12:5 18:11 | skews 140:7 | 150:17,23 | 163:11 |
| 142:3,8 | 23:20 31:1 | 144:9 | shortened | 39:18 81:15 | skip 59:9 | solutions | specific 13:19 |
| 143:5,7,10 | 138:16 | 147:21 | 137:18 | 81:16,22 | 142:16 | 50:14 85:22 | 28:19 30:15 |
| 144:3,8 | semiannually | 170:13 | shorter 6:9 | significantly | 144:15 | somebody | 33:7 52:4 |
| 145:17,19 | 88:19 | SERVING | 7:1 38:13 | 35:1 43:8 | 148:7 | 94:18 | 55:6 56:16 |
| 146:2,22,24 | semiconduc | 1:24 | 39:6 | signs 84:12 | sleeves | 162:23 | 88:17 103:1 |
| 148:8 | 33:5 | session 98:16 | show 32:8 | similar 34:10 | 130:18 | 181:7 | 103:4 111:1 |
| 151:14 | Send 168:2 | 183:23 | 50:23 52:18 | 62:12 71:19 | slice 139:15 | somewhat | specifically |
| 153:19 | senior 54:13 | 184:4 | 59:20 70:12 | 72:5 88:4 | 139:24 | 91:4 140:7 | 11:15 16:23 |
| 162:15 | 136:20 | sessions | 70:15,15 | 126:16 | slide 106:21 | soon 117:18 | 30:24 33:1 |
| 176:2 | 138:21 | 98:16 | 71:11,24 | simple 68:22 | 111:5 | sophisticati | 37:10 49:16 |
| seeing 14:24 | sense 36:24 | set 7:4 8:14 | 142:17 | 157:12 | 114:12 | 147:3 | 68:10 71:6 |
| 17:23 18:17 | 37:17 | 27:4 29:6 | 161:20 | Simply 86:21 | slides 97:6 | sorry 7:20 | 90:11 |
| 21:2 66:6 | 128:17,18 | 83:18 | 163:18 | single 63:24 | 110:13 | 23:6 47:16 | 110:13 |
| 92:3 | sensitivity | 111:23 | showed 41:22 | 66:19 123:9 | 148:2 | 122:22 | speed 158:23 |
| seen 10:7 | 28:16 70:8 | 116:16 | 82:24,24 | singular | slight 41:1 | sort 67:4 76:8 | spell 110:3 |
| 12:17 15:2 | sent 5:15 | 117:20 | showing | 92:18 | slightly 37:21 | 76:15 87:16 | spend 9:12 |
| 15:3 18:21 | separates | 126:5 | 60:18 | Sinking 1:2 | 38:17 47:22 | 129:8 135:2 | 10:13,21 |
| 20:10 24:18 | 55:19 | 158:11 | 144:21 | 1:11 3:22 | 47:24 | 182:4 | 133:9 144:7 |
| 24:20 30:17 | SEPTA | 176:23 | shown 54:20 | 4:4 49:15 | slow 22:24 | sorts 177:13 | 158:10 |
| 30:17 36:6 | 101:21 | 180:4 | 67:23 | 184:8 | 23:15,15 | sound 150:21 | spending |
| 37:13 65:19 | 104:16 | sets 62:1 | shows 107:19 | sit 73:21 | 33:20 34:19 | 180:9 | 7:11 9:19 |
| 65:20 68:23 | 105:11 | 175:18 | 152:23 | 74:20,21 | 81:3 | space 36:4 | 11:7 |
| 94:12 138:7 | 166:12 | 176:4,18 | side 7:18 8:20 | 101:10 | slower 24:9 | 62:23 | spent 101:19 |
| 148:16 | September | setting | 13:2 14:2 | 163:9 | slowing 7:24 | 147:17 | spoke 59:6 |
| 156:17 | 30:18 70:13 | 176:22 | 21:3,4,6,7 | sits 163:5 | small 35:4,5 | 156:23,24 | 68:15 |
| sees 20:20 | 128:4 | seven 34:23 | 21:10,21 | 178:4 | 35:13,21 | 160:4 | spot 53:8 |
| segment 6:22 | seriously | 130:3 | 22:3,7,15 | sitting 101:1 | 50:18 86:13 | 172:13 | 85:18 |
| 7:22 13:6 | 124:13 | 137:24 | 23:9 27:7 | 163:7 | 112:3,4,5 | Spagnola | spread 17:16 |
| 14:16 15:14 | serve 52:5 | 138:15 | 31:20 32:6 | situation | 112:13 | 101:18,22 | 25:11 |
| 16:1 31:3,4 | 74:8 91:11 | 140:6 147:5 | 36:2 74:20 | 43:10 80:13 | 128:15 | 101:22 | spreads 8:18 |
| 33:11,12 | 94:14 | seven-year | 78:3 96:24 | 83:13,15 | 181:14 | 102:2 | 17:11 28:22 |
| 35:4 37:1 | served 91:20 | 153:7 | 97:1,8 | 87:5 91:8 | smaller 35:15 | 103:12 | 28:24 69:9 |
| segments | 135:4 | shape 25:19 | 102:18 | 171:21 | 61:21 64:8 | 108:21 | square 70:21 |
| 7:23 12:12 | service 1:21 | 27:6 | 103:17,18 | 172:22 | 66:7,16 | 109:8,20 | 104:7 |
| 17:8 20:10 | 21:5,7,10 | share 5:17 | 104:4,10,18 | 179:20 | 94:24 | 116:8 117:3 | squeezed |
| 21:20 50:18 | 21:17 22:7 | shares 14:8 | 105:15 | 182:16 | 139:23 | 117:24 | 179:19 |
| segue 102:24 | 22:15 58:2 | 172:4 | 107:8,16 | situations | 164:17 | 118:6 119:4 | stability 24:1 |
| 125:16 | 99:1 101:19 | sharing 52:14 | 108:12,14 | 79:4 | smart 137:16 | 119:16 | 44:4 65:20 |
| 151:10 | 102:8 106:6 | 163:8,8 | 124:2 137:3 | six 46:1 55:24 | smooth 64:12 | 127:18 | stabilize |
| segues 126:15 | 106:24 | sharp 93:22 | 139:13 | 75:19 79:15 | 86:4 | 129:20,24 | 116:4 |
| 127:6 | 107:4 | sheets 25:17 | 141:6,8,23 | 80:1 81:5 | smoother | 130:9 131:2 | 117:15 |
| select 166:16 | 110:23 | 26:22 | 142:24 | 85:20 98:8 | 62:15 | 132:9,18 | stable 44:1 |
| 167:3 | 111:2 134:5 | shifted 21:17 | 143:1,23 | 98:24 | sold 17:4 | 134:14,24 | 91:21 |
| 175:13 | 134:15 | ship 13:14 | 156:20 | 108:23 | 114:6 | speak 11:9 | staff 33:24 |
| selection | services | shipping 13:8 | 160:7,15 | 147:4 | 115:19 | 60:4 61:18 | 37:4 39:7 |
| | | | ., - | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | | |

| - | | | | | | | Page 20 |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 42:3 45:14 | statement | stream 58:5 | 112:22 | 111:5 143:3 | 83:11,12 | 88:16 93:12 | tell 28:5 70:5 |
| 45:22 46:12 | 58:13 | street 23:1 | successful | 150:9 | 84:2 108:18 | 103:19 | 83:19 91:13 |
| 46:18 47:11 | 151:17 | 47:10 | 64:10 | 151:17 | 150:10 | 132:2 | 92:8 146:7 |
| 61:13 66:23 | statements | 166:10 | 146:17 | surprise 7:7 | 151:2,24 | 133:10,19 | 166:18 |
| 67:21 85:15 | 3:22 4:1,5 | 169:7 | Sudan 171:7 | surprising | 152:4,5 | 155:14 | 169:15 |
| 97:18 98:20 | 4:16,18,21 | STREHLOW | 171:21 | 48:5,7 | 164:21 | 157:12 | 178:24 |
| 106:15 | States 27:14 | 1:20 | 172:19 | surprisingly | 169:2 | 160:16 | 181:23 |
| 140:24 | 79:21 | stress 27:8,10 | 173:13 | 48:1 | 172:11 | 161:13 | telling 84:7 |
| 142:12 | statistics | strictly 94:4 | sudden 34:24 | survey | 174:8 177:8 | talks 140:17 | 117:21 |
| 145:12 | 148:4 | strive 88:12 | sued 178:6 | 143:19 | 182:18 | tandem 158:6 | 175:9 |
| 149:3,20,22 | stay 14:3 81:8 | strong 7:22 | 182:9 | surveys 143:4 | takeaway | 161:1 | tells 169:1 |
| 157:19 | 145:13 | 20:23,24 | suffered | sustainable | 110:23 | tanking | 178:5 |
| 158:5 | staying 19:24 | 25:17,18 | 16:21 | 120:8 | taken 73:8 | 27:14 | template |
| staffs 62:2 | steadily 10:5 | 31:3 35:7 | suggest 30:14 | sweet 53:8 | 100:8 185:6 | Tara 107:14 | 171:9 |
| stand 105:12 | steady 18:9 | 35:19 87:17 | 34:21 81:21 | Swensen | takes 38:22 | target 20:8 | ten 38:20 |
| standard | 19:16 22:18 | 105:10 | 86:16 89:21 | 131:7 | 115:22 | 40:9 41:13 | 87:10 |
| 28:13 60:14 | stem 8:6 | 155:10 | 93:7 | switched | 155:17 | 59:19 152:7 | 106:14,17 |
| 126:4 127:2 | stenographic | stronger 8:9 | suggested | 34:24 | talent 138:19 | 158:7 | 143:6 |
| standpoint | 185:6 | 18:13 | 94:18 | switching | 141:3,10,16 | targets | 167:10 |
| 27:6 142:18 | step 45:14,19 | structure | 181:11 | 119:8 | talented | 175:12 | 169:22 |
| 168:16 | 51:8 174:15 | 55:21 61:24 | suggestion | symptoms | 124:5,10 | task 108:17 | tense 27:23 |
| start 33:20 | 176:6 | 64:5,11 | 165:16 | 23:14 | 125:8 | 130:17 | term 6:12 |
| 34:19 58:12 | 179:23 | 106:10 | SUITE 1:21 | system 27:5 | talk 6:1,14 | team 5:13 | 28:14 34:21 |
| 73:13 74:20 | steps 181:11 | 157:3 | sum 74:5 | 88:10 | 7:6 12:11 | 50:11,20 | 36:8 38:19 |
| 102:22 | stimulating | 159:14 | summary | 143:16 | 14:7 19:6 | 53:24 54:1 | 56:21 59:16 |
| 107:9 111:8 | 22:15 24:8 | 162:15 | 45:16 | | 20:17 35:24 | 54:9,17 | 59:16 60:8 |
| 113:19 | stimulus | structured | summer | T | 37:9 51:24 | 55:21 56:11 | 64:21 68:16 |
| 114:20 | 24:24 | 64:3 162:7 | 19:20,21 | T 185:1,1 | 54:22 63:21 | 56:14,20 | 77:20 79:16 |
| 115:4 | stock 15:4 | structures | 20:21 80:20 | table 93:21 | 72:21,24 | 57:8 63:13 | 79:24 92:4 |
| 119:18 | 23:21 27:14 | 66:14 157:4 | Sunshine | 127:22 | 74:1,5,13 | 63:13 73:21 | 104:10 |
| 130:14 | 29:10 30:8 | 164:19 | 167:9 | 129:9 | 77:11 93:24 | 77:3 98:19 | 108:11,13 |
| 141:19 | 79:6 81:11 | struggled | 174:12 | 141:23 | 111:3 133:3 | 102:8,14 | 114:2,18,19 |
| 151:21 | 81:12 92:24 | 39:3 | Superbowl | 169:3 | 133:4 | 104:24 | 115:3 116:7 |
| 152:1 | 98:21 | stuck 11:3 | 100:5 | 171:17 | 145:12 | 106:6,14 | 116:14,18 |
| 157:16,24 | stocks 32:24 | student 10:17 | supercycle | tactical 56:2 | 148:24 | 135:20 | 150:3 |
| 158:22 | 78:17 82:20 | studies | 116:2 | 58:17 59:3 | 150:14 | 136:5,21 | 168:24 |
| 161:13 | 92:3 93:1,2 | 111:20 | supervision | 69:9 114:3 | 151:11 | 144:6,7,16 | 180:3 |
| started 51:13 | 93:3 146:23 | study 67:1 | 185:19 | 114:4 130:3 | 156:1 160:6 | 144:17,18 | terms 7:14 |
| 74:22 91:8 | stocks/bonds | 111:16 | supply 17:22 | 150:5,7 | 162:4,5,6 | 145:7 | 14:23,23 |
| 106:15 | 81:18 | 151:20,22 | 118:7 119:7 | 171:12,20 | 165:14 | 149:21 | 15:16,20 |
| 107:15 | straights 67:9 | 161:15 | 121:7,14 | 172:15 | 173:7 | 162:5 163:5 | 23:4 34:10 |
| 108:11 | strategic | stuff 10:5 | 122:2,18 | tactically | talked 14:22 | 163:19,21 | 42:16 47:3 |
| 136:24 | 114:2 150:3 | 44:8 67:5,6 | support | 113:23 | 16:18 24:13 | 163:24,24 | 48:15 50:13 |
| 138:15 | strategies | 167:10 | 24:16,23 | Taft-Hartley | 24:18 33:14 | teams 162:9 | 50:14,23 |
| 156:19 | 50:13 63:21 | 169:13 | 106:10 | 105:17 | 36:12,18 | 162:18,21 | 51:3,7 |
| starting 90:3 | 68:13 82:20 | style 14:24 | 107:6 136:1 | 144:1,5 | 57:13 61:13 | 163:2 | 67:19 71:5 |
| 165:16 | 90:8 123:20 | 57:18 | supporting | tag 137:16 | 69:5 75:2 | technically | 74:3,11,23 |
| state 1:17 | 156:9,10 | sub-500 66:9 | 136:3 | tail 68:22 | 149:9 | 76:3 | 76:7 77:16 |
| 9:22 52:21 | 159:10 | sub-billion | supposed | tails 69:3 | 161:17 | technology | 78:6 80:6 |
| 140:2,6 | 164:4 | 66:8 | 34:3 | take 10:14 | talking 31:6 | 33:4 | 80:23 85:23 |
| 179:4 | strategy 69:5 | substitution | sure 48:20 | 19:21 52:8 | 32:22 59:11 | tee 128:24 | 86:7 92:15 |
| 182:17 | 82:16 124:9 | 121:20 | 72:12 73:2 | 55:7 56:4,7 | 61:2 82:2 | telephone | 92:20,21 |
| stated 48:16 | 157:13 | subtract | 86:6 97:11 | 66:20 76:14 | 85:11 86:24 | 174:13 | 97:4 99:1 |
| | | | | 77:14 81:20 | | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | l | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 21 |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 107.10 | 150.14 | 121.1622 | 10.0 | 100.11 | 157.00 | | |
| 107:10 | 150:14 | 131:16,22 | three 10:3 | 108:11 | 157:23 | trade 17:6 | trend 35:1 |
| 109:11,18 | 152:7 | 132:14 | 36:9 37:21 | 117:18 | 159:9 | 28:10 29:2 | 115:17 |
| 110:15,19 | 155:19 | 133:5,8,21 | 46:4,17,19 | 118:23 | 160:12 | traded 61:9 | Tressler 51:2 |
| 110:20 | 156:2 160:5 | 133:23 | 53:13,20 | 121:16,21 | 163:20 | 68:19 78:2 | tried 179:11 |
| 111:1 117:9 | 167:21 | 134:14,18 | 65:11 73:20 | 122:11,18 | 178:6 | 123:11 | tries 82:11 |
| 129:12 | 170:5 173:8 | 135:1,3 | 79:17 103:4 | 125:17 | today's 138:6 | traders 77:22 | trigger 6:4 |
| 130:21 | 179:18 | 138:2,5 | 105:21,22 | 126:11 | toes 174:15 | trading 18:11 | triggered |
| 133:18 | 183:20 | 141:9,14 | 113:16 | 127:21 | 179:24 | 26:4,6 31:8 | 26:15,16 |
| 154:12 | think 6:3,11 | 142:10 | 137:3 143:9 | 128:6 | told 27:15 | 80:18 84:22 | troubleshoo |
| 172:9 | 7:7 11:1 | 144:14 | 143:24 | 132:19 | 105:22 | 93:2,4 | 77:3 |
| territory 30:9 | 16:10 19:13 | 145:17 | 145:18 | 148:10 | 170:7 175:8 | traditional | true 104:17 |
| 30:12,16,21 | 20:7,9 22:8 | 147:5 | 157:11 | 151:21 | 179:12 | 74:12 155:2 | 119:5 |
| test 113:13 | 34:6 43:18 | 148:11 | 158:11,19 | 153:11 | Tom 73:22 | 157:8,13 | Trust 31:23 |
| tested 176:13 | 44:9,11 | 153:12,13 | three-year | 158:20 | 76:9 183:21 | 159:10 | trusted |
| 178:20 | 47:23 55:18 | 155:9 | 35:18 40:6 | 161:8 | tool 99:11,12 | 162:9 163:6 | 140:20 |
| testimony | 59:8 62:17 | 157:15,20 | 40:7,14,15 | 162:16 | 155:16 | 164:1 | trustee |
| 175:2 | 62:24 66:2 | 158:2,3,5 | 70:19 | timely 105:7 | 161:19 | trailing 15:15 | 141:23 |
| thank 5:8 | 66:5 67:18 | 159:3,10 | throwing | times 10:6 | tools 142:5 | trained 84:24 | trustees |
| 49:10,13 | 68:13 70:2 | 160:1,24 | 8:21 89:11 | 20:6,14 | 151:11 | transcript 3:4 | 149:3 158:4 |
| 53:10 71:9 | 74:15,16,23 | 161:6 | tie 173:21 | 36:13 75:5 | 155:16 | 185:8,16 | truth 179:1 |
| 73:4,5 | 75:16 76:6 | 163:22 | tied 17:1 | 75:24 80:18 | 161:16 | transition | try 48:17 |
| 77:12 100:6 | 77:7,8 | 164:22 | 27:10 | 80:19 84:22 | top 26:19 | 107:22 | 49:19 55:7 |
| 100:16 | 79:14 81:1 | 165:21 | tier 50:23 | 84:23 86:10 | 40:17 46:16 | 138:10 | 78:11 84:15 |
| 135:6 165:7 | 85:23 86:14 | 166:10,22 | tightens 25:1 | 93:3,4 | 55:12,22 | transitioned | 84:23 85:2 |
| 165:8 | 87:24 88:2 | 167:6,7 | till 158:22 | 112:3,4,9 | 58:1 62:24 | 32:7 | 132:12 |
| Thanks 55:16 | 92:1,14 | 169:4,6,10 | Tim 73:12,18 | 112:10,16 | 68:9 71:2 | transitioning | 151:12 |
| 103:12 | 94:6,19 | 170:11 | 75:23 77:11 | 112:17 | 106:4,7,22 | 32:13 | trying 28:8 |
| themes 163:8 | 95:10 96:21 | 171:17 | 94:1 | 163:9 169:8 | 107:22 | translate 8:9 | 40:7 60:17 |
| theoretical | 97:3,20 | 172:1,6,13 | timber 131:1 | timing 34:10 | 109:11 | 13:13,16 | 61:22 79:11 |
| 182:15 | 99:17 | 173:1,2,8 | 131:3,7,8 | 44:16 114:4 | 143:8 | 24:5 27:8 | 79:12 82:4 |
| thin 167:20 | 101:10 | 174:5,22 | 131:10 | Tips 129:6 | 144:22 | 36:7 | 82:8 96:2,4 |
| thing 6:3 | 103:9 109:1 | 175:15,23 | 132:1,6 | today 5:10 | 149:13 | translated | 96:14,15 |
| 24:12 29:11 | 109:15 | 176:15,16 | time 5:16 | 14:1 28:4 | top-down | 7:11 9:3 | 97:9,22 |
| 33:22 54:5 | 111:6 115:8 | 180:15 | 12:8 19:4 | 46:5 67:15 | 56:23 | 10:8 | 117:8 127:4 |
| 70:12 72:8 | 115:11,18 | 181:19 | 23:1 34:13 | 73:23,24 | total 22:4 | translates | 127:5 |
| 80:21 83:1 | 115:22 | 182:3,21 | 34:18 35:2 | 76:9 80:12 | 39:11,24 | 41:8 | 149:23 |
| 106:22 | 116:1,3 | thinking | 35:23 36:22 | 80:16 81:6 | 40:3,16,23 | translating | 179:13,14 |
| 110:22 | 117:11,15 | 85:17 | 37:20 38:6 | 90:12,23 | 42:10 73:15 | 10:21 | turbulence |
| 118:6 138:3 | 117:17 | 119:15 | 39:17 40:21 | 91:15,24 | 99:21 | transportat | 19:17 |
| 140:19 | 118:19 | 124:13 | 43:22 44:23 | 92:3 93:2 | 115:12 | 12:13 | turmoil 169:8 |
| 156:24 | 119:4 120:4 | 181:10 | 51:15,16 | 96:7 101:10 | 139:14,24 | travel 67:4 | 171:24 |
| 176:10 | 120:5,18,22 | third 6:18 7:6 | 66:9 67:13 | 102:9 | totally 52:15 | traveling | turn 34:22 |
| things 11:24 | 121:4 123:1 | 7:16 29:23 | 68:8,11 | 103:20 | touch 49:18 | 73:23 145:9 | 59:8 87:23 |
| 55:18 61:2 | 123:8,11,16 | 142:3 | 70:3,20 | 110:20 | tough 48:14 | travels 45:16 | 127:20 |
| 61:11 74:17 | 125:1,2,8 | third-party | 82:17,23 | 118:17 | town 166:13 | Treasurer 2:6 | 137:11 |
| 80:2 82:5 | 125:13,16 | 58:2 | 84:8,9 85:3 | 130:7 | track 42:16 | Treasury | 148:23 |
| 85:23 90:2 | 127:15,19 | thought 79:9 | 86:11 92:17 | 135:20 | 104:21 | 16:7,11 | turned |
| 91:21 | 127:22,23 | 83:19 101:9 | 97:3 98:12 | 137:8,19,23 | 109:20 | 17:7,22 | 177:19 |
| 106:12 | 128:16,17 | 119:22 | 100:4,4,8 | 138:3 | 132:10 | 26:5 69:18 | TV 82:7 |
| 110:2 125:3 | 128:24 | 137:15,18 | 101:19 | 144:22 | 139:2 141:8 | trees 131:9 | tweak 173:11 |
| 129:5 | 129:11 | 166:6 | 102:5 | 145:19 | traction | tremendous | twelve 75:9 |
| 130:15,21 | 130:1,15,21 | thoughts | 104:21 | 146:6 147:2 | 76:20,22 | 94:13 97:13 | two 1:14 5:12 |
| 149:17 | 131:14,15 | 20:15 176:3 | 105:8 108:4 | 156:8 | 107:5 | 164:13 | 7:3 17:3,9 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | l | I | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 22 |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| 18:18 19:2 | ultimate | unique 55:18 | valuations | vet 56:20 | 85:19 86:3 | 100:13 | 117:17 |
| 19:10 20:2 | 181:19 | United 27:14 | 15:21,23 | 57:20 | 86:19 93:24 | 122:21,23 | 123:1 125:6 |
| 20:3,4 38:2 | ultimately | 79:20 | 16:2 28:12 | vetting 56:6 | 99:24 | 135:12 | 127:3 130:6 |
| 50:10 51:19 | 19:12,20 | universe 72:3 | 80:16 81:6 | Viacom 33:1 | 100:16 | 157:18 | 130:17 |
| 51:23 59:4 | 20:7 24:19 | 99:14 | 83:7 88:24 | view 131:8 | 103:4 107:6 | Washington | 135:14 |
| 59:20,23 | 39:14 | 154:22 | 115:14 | 157:10 | 110:19 | 51:19 | 142:10 |
| 60:1 61:4 | unable 184:1 | university | value 15:1 | 180:23 | 112:3,5,10 | wasn't 10:3 | 146:8,19 |
| 62:1,1,8 | uncorrelated | 141:11 | 17:12 32:6 | viewership | 112:11,13 | 11:15 26:15 | 156:15 |
| 73:20 90:11 | 120:15 | unpreceden | 35:13 36:2 | 33:2 | 112:13,16 | 34:4 44:9 | 158:17 |
| 91:21 92:1 | 128:2 | 30:9,16,21 | 36:4,10 | views 19:8 | 112:17 | water 8:22 | 175:10 |
| 98:3 103:15 | underestim | untrue 175:5 | 37:22 68:14 | 118:19 | 113:20 | 10:17 | 177:7 178:5 |
| 104:7 | 166:11 | unusual | 69:22 74:24 | violated | 114:10,11 | 104:12 | 184:7 |
| 106:24 | underlying | 22:16 | 88:21 90:23 | 180:13 | 117:6 | way 3:5,23 | we've 24:20 |
| 107:15 | 17:24 61:10 | update 15:16 | 96:22 103:1 | violating | 123:17,24 | 4:17 64:3 | 33:14 52:19 |
| 118:21 | 62:21 92:11 | 88:18 | 103:24 | 180:17 | 124:14 | 64:12 94:20 | 70:10 75:2 |
| 125:3 | 123:7 153:8 | 114:14 | 105:6 128:8 | vis-a-vis | 126:13 | 98:23 111:7 | 76:9 77:3 |
| 126:14,17 | 153:20 | updated | 129:7 | 90:19 96:6 | 129:17 | 112:15 | 79:2 96:12 |
| 135:21,23 | underneath | 70:14 | 132:15 | 133:6 | 130:22 | 119:10 | 104:8 107:7 |
| 136:9 139:1 | 87:10 | upper 40:22 | 134:21 | visit 110:4 | 132:11,19 | 123:16,19 | 108:23 |
| 143:3 | underperfo | ups 64:14 | 154:13 | visited 45:22 | 134:11,11 | 135:22 | 130:18,19 |
| 145:18 | 32:18,19 | 74:20 | value-orien | 46:2,4,6,7 | 135:1 140:8 | 140:23 | 143:8 |
| 177:4 | 33:10,13 | upside 7:13 | 15:4 | 103:3 110:1 | 140:10,21 | 141:5,10,15 | 155:19 |
| two-to-one | 40:4 | 84:19 | values 78:16 | 110:1 | 140:23 | 149:6 151:3 | 156:14 |
| 176:12 | underperfo | use 43:16 | variables | visits 57:22 | 150:21 | 152:7,13,14 | Weak 87:18 |
| twofold 150:2 | 35:15 | 93:20 113:2 | 114:24 | voice 108:3 | 152:2,5 | 153:18 | weaken 21:10 |
| type 43:17 | 113:10,17 | 113:3,6,21 | variety 88:15 | 110:20 | 153:18 | 164:12 | weakening |
| 44:15,21 | 113:19 | 115:3,6,21 | 89:20 | volatility | 155:15,20 | 172:3 | 21:5 |
| 57:19 78:2 | underperfo | 121:23 | various 41:10 | 28:15 29:22 | 155:22 | 173:20 | weakness |
| 124:9 | 113:8,15 | 123:17,17 | 41:15 53:18 | 65:15,22 | 158:10,16 | 178:12 | 7:18,18,22 |
| 140:13 | understand | 129:12 | 56:8 59:20 | 68:20 91:19 | 159:4,11,24 | 179:18 | 12:18 13:2 |
| 160:17,21 | 22:12 44:19 | 131:8 | 59:20 88:22 | 124:11 | 160:6,18,22 | ways 44:17 | 22:10 |
| 160:23 | 70:7,7 | 132:19 | Vaughan | 126:4 | 161:3,21 | 131:13 | wealth 144:4 |
| types 79:4 | 82:19 149:8 | 138:19 | 35:12 | Volker.Fra | 164:5,7 | 164:4 | 149:11 |
| 140:16 | 149:15 | 142:6 150:5 | vehicles | 27:3 | 165:1,13,15 | we'll 5:23 | webinars |
| 149:9 | 152:3,5 | 151:11 | 43:15 90:6 | volume 134:7 | 165:19 | 73:16 80:7 | 72:21 |
| 177:14 | 158:20 | 153:11 | 164:16 | vote 166:2 | 166:14,19 | 174:3 | website 57:4 |
| typical 132:9 | 162:2 | 154:15 | verify 121:18 | 168:6 174:9 | 167:23 | we're 14:24 | wed 124:3 |
| typically 7:21 | 167:23 | 155:15,22 | versus 15:23 | 174:21 | 169:24 | 15:21 16:10 | Wednesday |
| 75:3 | 173:4 182:5 | 173:10 | 32:16 35:7 | 175:4 | 172:11 | 27:15 28:23 | 1:8 |
| | 182:22 | useful 99:11 | 35:11 36:10 | 176:12 | 173:4,6,9 | 31:2 40:7,8 | week 5:15,16 |
| $\overline{\mathbf{U}}$ | understand | 99:16 | 37:10,12 | 177:18 | 174:14 | 43:14 48:18 | 10:15 73:1 |
| U.S 7:15 13:9 | 26:1 179:24 | user 12:23 | 38:8,11,24 | | 177:4,21 | 53:2 57:3 | weekend |
| 15:23 16:1 | underweight | uses 155:24 | 41:6 70:22 | W | 179:19,23 | 58:15 60:4 | 109:16 |
| 18:14 21:2 | 41:3 | utility 105:11 | 92:11,16 | wait 90:22 | 182:7,18 | 70:24 76:3 | welcome |
| 21:9,12,17 | underweigh | utilization | 95:19,23 | 158:22 | wanted 170:9 | 76:19 82:2 | 98:13 |
| 24:3 25:1 | 41:6 | 13:4 | 99:23 | walk 49:17 | 171:3 | 83:20 88:16 | went 16:6 |
| 28:13 31:1 | unearth | utilize 160:2 | 111:18 | walked | wants 132:5 | 92:3 93:12 | 39:9 41:20 |
| 31:1 37:11 | 109:3 | | 114:19 | 133:22 | 181:7 | 94:2 96:6 | 41:21 79:8 |
| 56:11 59:24 | Unfortunat | V | 132:14 | Wall 23:1 | warming | 96:23 97:21 | 97:5 122:8 |
| 79:19 81:13 | 100:20 | valid 118:9 | 157:4,9 | want 43:6 | 121:1,12 | 98:5 102:9 | 122:10,14 |
| 84:5 92:15 | union 105:18 | valuation | vertical | 58:19 62:10 | warning | 102:20,24 | 122:16,17 |
| 93:2,7 | unions | 40:21,24 | 106:11 | 70:2,5 71:9 | 73:15 84:1 | 103:19 | 127:1,2 |
| 112:1 113:9 | 149:11 | 41:15 93:5 | vests 176:22 | 73:13 77:9 | 84:3 93:17 | 108:4 117:6 | 160:20 |
| 113:11 | | 114:9,22 | | 81:21 82:6 | | | |
| | <u> </u> | l , | <u> </u> | l | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | Page 23 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | l | l | l | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 170:2 171:6 | 149:19 | wouldn't | year-to-date | 39:16,18 | 74:11 78:10 | 66:23 67:2 | 160:20 |
| whatnot | 158:5,13,15 | 131:18 | 16:9 35:7 | 43:21 69:8 | 90:21 | 86:10 93:12 | 2-3 160:21 |
| 149:11 | 158:21,24 | 168:11 | 36:7 | 69:13,15,19 | 101:16 | 116:23 | 2-4 160:21 |
| whatsoever | 159:15,20 | written | years 34:14 | 69:22,24,24 | 104:24 | 146:5,19 | 2.2 92:20 |
| 52:14 | 166:4,23,24 | 178:13 | 36:9 37:14 | 72:15,17 | 106:3 122:3 | 148:24 | 2.3 16:8 |
| white 10:5 | 170:7,8 | wrong 28:2 | 37:21 38:20 | 90:18 | 122:6 | 150 120:20 | 2:31 184:9 |
| 72:18 | worked 34:17 | 30:13 82:14 | 57:15 70:11 | 113:18 | 124:14 | 155 166:20 | 20 1:8 22:9 |
| 111:15 | 51:2 54:14 | 173:10 | 76:11 77:6 | 115:17,19 | 125:5 128:5 | 167:5,7 | 22:13 25:14 |
| 157:11 | 137:10 | wrote 157:11 | 86:8 91:6 | 115:20 | 144:15 | 168:5,11,17 | 63:2,4 |
| wide 88:15 | 141:5 | | 100:3,20,22 | 126:21 | 10-plus 17:6 | 155,000 | 73:14 80:19 |
| 89:20 | 168:14 | <u>X</u> | 101:4,7,8 | 128:18,20 | 10-year 16:7 | 165:5 166:7 | 90:22 93:21 |
| widen 8:18 | workforce | X 158:10 | 101:16 | 129:1,4 | 16:11 17:16 | 170:13,15 | 96:12 |
| 28:22 | 145:20 | T 7 | 102:3,13 | 130:5,12 | 18:2 69:17 | 170:17 | 101:12 |
| Will's 136:2 | working 5:13 | <u>Y</u> | 103:24 | yielding 84:6 | 84:5 116:24 | 158 42:11 | 102:21 |
| William | 32:21 51:4 | Yale 131:7 | 106:18 | yields 26:5 | 117:2 | 16 86:11 | 124:24 |
| 136:20 | 54:12 70:9 | 132:4,5 | 107:13,19 | 69:14 90:23 | 10.8 127:3 | 103:21 | 125:17 |
| willing 167:2 | 70:10 102:4 | yeah 31:16 | 108:7,10,22 | 130:14 | 100 65:7 | 111:5 147:2 | 127:17 |
| win 100:5 | 102:10 | 34:23 62:11 | 114:17 | York 46:7 | 69:18 | 151:10,14 | 146:19 |
| 147:8,10 | 119:20 | 67:11 89:7 | 115:1,11,14 | 47:7 75:11 | 117:17 | 16-plus 16:24 | 155:4 |
| wind 134:8 | 136:13,14 | 93:16 109:9 | 116:13,15 | 105:14 | 120:7,23 | 160 168:12 | 166:19 |
| winter 11:17 | 136:15 | 119:16 | 116:21,21 | yuan 24:16 | 123:2 | 165 167:12 | 20-plus 17:2 |
| 11:23 | 137:7 145:1 | 124:18 | 116:22,23 | 24:20 | 100,000 47:8 | 16th 1:15 | 76:11 |
| withdrawals | 146:5 147:1 | 133:11 | 117:13 | $\overline{\mathbf{z}}$ | 99:22 | 17 13:20 | 20-year 69:17 |
| 41:19 | 151:18 | 151:4,8 | 118:15,18 | L | 1000 31:24 | 170 167:12 | 20,000 |
| women 97:15 | 155:19 | 162:8 166:1 | 118:21,22 | 0 | 11 15:18 | 168:7 | 166:23 |
| won 177:18 | 156:14,18 | 170:11 | 126:2,8 | | 31:19 107:9 | 175 168:8 | 20/80 81:17 |
| Woolworth | 158:19 | 174:10 | 136:14,24 | 0 43:1 65:8 | 144:15 | 174:8 | 200 105:2 |
| 2:13 12:2 | 160:14 | year 6:1 9:11 | 137:3,6 | 125:24 0-dollar 87:1 | 11.3 126:5 | 175,000 | 200-plus |
| 17:14 20:15 | 164:14 | 12:7 14:19 15:2 16:22 | 138:14,15 | 129:22 | 11:00 1:16 | 47:11 133:18 | 52:16 |
| 20:22 34:23 | 173:7,20 | 18:8,18 | 150:6 | 0.05 96:20 | 116 1:21 | | 2000 82:24 |
| word 173:11 words 129:18 | works 49:16 54:23 | 19:3 20:9 | 153:21 | 0.05 96:20 0.85 96:18 | 12 36:1 84:23 136:14 | 134:4,9 18 3:11 31:4 | 2004 143:8 2008 156:15 |
| work 50:7,16 | 34:23 104:14 | 24:21 38:15 | 154:2,8 155:6,8 | 0.05 90.16 | 144:16 | 53:16,16 | |
| 51:20 54:2 | 149:22 | 39:5 42:7 | 155.0,8 | 1 | 144.16 | 18940 1:22 | 156:17,21 2008/2009 |
| 71:1,1 77:5 | 170:9 | 42:17 62:20 | 157.11 | 1 6:3 22:21 | 127 104:6 | 1976 51:14 | 11:3 |
| 80:1 81:20 | world 27:13 | 62:22 63:2 | 163:4 | 22:21,22 | 13 40:21 59:9 | 1976 31.14 1985 91:7 | 2008/9 68:4 |
| 86:12,17 | 78:16,18 | 65:11,13,14 | 167:10 | 23:16,16 | 59:10,18 | 1986 137:21 | 2009 130:12 |
| 95:18 | 80:24 81:4 | 65:23 67:1 | 169:22 | 41:3 42:2 | 73:2 89:5 | 1990 68:24 | 2010 143:9 |
| 100:21 | 90:24 111:2 | 75:3,6,8,20 | 174:24 | 42:20 43:2 | 93:4 148:9 | 1990 08.24 1990s 122:4 | 143:12 |
| 100.21 | 120:2 | 75:3,6,8,20 | 181:4 | 65:7 66:22 | 153:16 | 1990s 122.4 1995 145:17 | 2013 112:9 |
| 102:10 | 142:23 | 78:22 79:3 | yesterday | 66:23 67:2 | 135 48:11,12 | 1997 146:22 | 116:1 118:3 |
| 104:6,19 | worldwide | 85:21 91:22 | 28:5 42:4 | 81:24 96:16 | 135,000 47:4 | 1777 140.22 | 2014 112:6 |
| 105:16 | 23:15 | 93:2 96:17 | 91:16 | 96:18 117:6 | 13th 5:16 | 2 | 143:8,18 |
| 109:9 114:6 | worried | 101:24 | yield 6:15,16 | 134:2,18,20 | 14 36:20 41:9 | 2 7:16 16:7 | 2015 8:11 |
| 114:7 117:1 | 23:14 121:6 | 105:19 | 6:17,22,24 | 138:14 | 80:18 86:10 | 16:11 22:22 | 10:24 12:18 |
| 130:17 | 121:11 | 114:14 | 7:2,5 8:17 | 169:17 | 87:21 93:2 | 22:22 32:17 | 128:3 |
| 132:22 | worse 30:17 | 117:21 | 8:24 16:6 | 1-plus 20:8 | 110:3 | 41:5,6 50:2 | 2016 1:8 29:9 |
| 135:1,22,23 | 30:18 43:23 | 128:4 130:6 | 16:13,14,23 | 1,000 9:11 | 14.4 41:19 | 73:17 81:3 | 114:12 |
| 136:5,21 | 112:21 | 131:9 | 16:24 17:10 | 17:6 | 145,000 48:9 | 96:11 | 153:18 |
| 137:8 139:5 | worst 30:7,15 | 137:21 | 17:13 25:14 | 1.4 65:15 | 14th 101:24 | 102:22 | 21 68:13 |
| 141:13 | 166:16 | 143:9 | 25:15,21 | 1.6 84:6 | 15 15:12 33:6 | 124:24 | 215 1:23 |
| 144:13,18 | | | 26:4 27:5,9 | 10 24:21 | 41:14 63:4 | 126:19,20 | 23 102:3 |
| | worth 124:12 | 158:16.22 | 20:4 7.7:3.9 | | | | |
| | worth 124:12 181:8 | 158:16,22 160:21 | | | | · · | |
| 145:3 149:2 | worth 124:12 181:8 | 158:16,22 160:21 178:10 | 29:2 39:12 | 29:24 30:1 58:8 63:10 | 63:10 66:22 | 126:20 134:18 | 137:6 |

| | | | | | | Page | 24 |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|------|----|
| | I | I | I | İ |] | | |
| 24 31:4 69:11 | 161:17 | 135:12 | 54:21 91:1 | | | | |
| 102:3 137:6 | 347 139:12 | 5.3 128:7 | 91:22 | | | | |
| 25 49:5 57:15 | 35 60:16 | 5.4 126:2,3 | 115:12 | | | | |
| 73:15 74:10 | 108:10 | 5.6 32:16 | 142:17 | | | | |
| 100:12 | 125:21 | 5.69 154:1,9 | 143:19 | | | | |
| 128:9 | 130:11 | 5.7 115:22 | 154:5,7,9,9 | | | | |
| 135:11 | 350 53:5 | 127:1 | 155:6,8 | | | | |
| 154:19 | 37 162:3,6 | 50 21:5 51:17 | 175:1,10 | | | | |
| 250 137:23 | | 51:22 53:8 | 177:17 | | | | |
| 26 32:15 | 4 | 54:18 91:17 | 178:6 | | | | |
| 163:22 | 4 7:14 52:18 | 125:4 | 7.65 96:1 | | | | |
| 27 108:21 | 75:4 90:24 | 128:10 | 126:6 178:9 | | | | |
| 28 108:7 | 90:24 | 154:16,19 | 7.85 175:9 | | | | |
| 29 127:20 | 119:11 | 155:3,4 | 177:16 | | | | |
| 27 127.20 | 124:10,11 | 164:18 | 7.9 14:12 | | | | |
| 3 | 142:4 | 173:2 | 40:8,10 | | | | |
| 3 8:2 40:1 | 4.7 15:11 | 500 53:2 69:2 | 70 92:12 | | | | |
| 41:2,17 | 40 10:14 67:3 | 80:18 84:21 | 110:7 | | | | |
| 101:14 | 90:5 117:16 | 92:18 | 75 65:6 | | | | |
| 134:16 | 120:21 | 131:14 | 113:14 | | | | |
| 137:11 | 145:21 | | | | | | |
| 139:13 | | 133:19 | 765 46:23 | | | | |
| | 152:19 | 134:5 181:8 | 8 | | | | |
| 3.3 40:2 | 154:16 | 504-4622 | | | | | |
| 30 40:5 42:8 | 400 28:4 | 1:23 | 8 15:20 26:6 | | | | |
| 42:16,20 | 44 52:15 | 50th 70:22 | 42:5 91:22 | | | | |
| 70:13 84:22 | 45 117:16 | 54 1:21 | 8-plus 30:3,5 | | | | |
| 92:10 | 450 53:2 | 55 74:8 | 80 22:9,10 | | | | |
| 116:15 | 483,457,000 | 55/35 72:7 | 25:15 70:19 | | | | |
| 117:12,12 | 40:24 41:16 | | 113:14 | | | | |
| 117:15 | 49 144:22 | 6 | 80/20 81:17 | | | | |
| 120:21 | 145:19 | 6 14:15 42:6 | 800 9:10 | | | | |
| 133:9 | | 42:13,13 | 85 96:17 | | | | |
| 138:14 | 5 | 53:23 55:2 | 113:16 | | | | |
| 150:6 154:1 | 5 14:7 44:11 | 65:14 76:13 | | | | | |
| 154:8 | 53:11,12 | 91:1 103:14 | 9 | | | | |
| 174:23 | 65:8 73:6 | 103:22 | 9 15:13 16:4 | | | | |
| 30-plus 91:6 | 76:8 81:24 | 105:1 | 41:20 57:24 | | | | |
| 30-year 17:16 | 116:13,20 | 115:19 | 82:1 91:22 | | | | |
| 17:22 18:3 | 116:22 | 124:11 | 93:1 115:20 | | | | |
| 150:4 | 117:4 | 142:17,20 | 143:21 | | | | |
| 153:11 | 123:18 | 60 152:19,22 | 90 113:17,18 | | | | |
| 30-years | 124:11,12 | 154:16 | 152:20 | | | | |
| 116:22 | 126:1,8,22 | 600 26:4 | 927 139:19 | | | | |
| 300 53:9 | 126:23 | 639 39:13 | 95 36:16 | | | | |
| 30th 137:21 | 136:23 | 65 60:16 | | | | | |
| 31 3:23 4:17 | 142:15 | 91:17 | | | | | |
| 5:7 70:4 | 153:21 | 125:20 | | | | | |
| 321 52:5 | 154:9 155:6 | 139:16 | | | | | |
| 33 41:12 | 155:8 158:2 | 65/35 41:13 | | | | | |
| 126:7 153:2 | 158:8,15 | 60:16 | | | | | |
| 153:5 | 160:8 | 67 41:12 | | | | | |
| 338 52:6 | 5-minute | | | | | | |
| 34 138:5 | 73:15 | 7 | | | | | |
| 148:18 | 100:13 | 7 14:10 15:8 | | | | | |
| 1.0.10 | 1 | 110 13.0 | 1 | I | | | |
| | | | | | | | |